# HIV/AIDS Behaviour Survey among the General Population in Bhutan, 2006

**Technical report** 

Submitted by: The International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B), Dhaka, Bangladesh

Submitted to: The Ministry of Health, Royal Government of Bhutan, Thimphu, Bhutan

April 2008

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
BHU	Basic Health Unit
Dzongkhag	District
Geog	Administrative Block under District
GUP	Village leader
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
ICDDR,B	International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research,
	Bangladesh
IDU	Injecting Dug User
МоН	Ministry of Health
PSU	Primary Sampling Unit
RGoB	Royal Government of Bhutan
STI	Sexually Transmitted Infection
VCT	Voluntary Counselling and Testing

1.	INTRODUCTION	7
2.	DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	9
2.1.	Methods	9
2.2.	Survey design	9
2.3.	Population sub-groups and geographical areas	9
2.4.	Sample size	10
2.5.	Development of survey instrument	10
2.6.	Training of interviewers	11
2.7.	Data collection	11
2.8.	Ethical issues	12
2.9.	Data entry and analysis	12
3.	RESULTS	14
3.1.	Demographic Characteristics	14
3.2.	Exposure to Mass Media	18
3.3.	Sexual Behaviours	20
3.	3.1. Sexual practices among married respondents	20
3.	3.2. Sexual practices among unmarried respondents	23
3.4.	Condoms	26
3.	4.1. Knowledge about condoms	26
3.	4.2. Access to condoms and barriers to access	30
3. 2	4.3. Condom use in extramarital sex	31
3. 3.5	4.4. Condom use among unmarried respondents	32
3.6	Confidence in ones own ability to ensure protective	39
5.0.	Behaviours	57
3.7.	Knowledge about HIV/STIs	40
3.	7.1. Knowledge about transmission of HIV and STIs	40
3.	7.2. Knowledge about prevention of HIV and STIs	43
3.	7.3. Misconceptions about HIV transmission, prevention and treatment	45
3.	7.4. Perceived risk of acquiring STIs and HIV	52
3.	7.5. Knowledge and experience of voluntary counselling and testing for HIV	53
3	7.6. Sources of knowledge regarding HIV/AIDS	53
20	Socially Transmitted Infections	50
3.8. 3	8.1 Knowledge regarding names of different STIs, symptoms of STIs and	39
5	available treatment facilities	59
3	8.2 Reported symptoms of STI among male and female respondents and	57
U U	treatment sought	62
3.	8.3. Barriers to seeking STI services and ability to overcome those barriers	64
3.9.	Reported illicit drug use and needle sharing behaviour	65
4.	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	68

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

5.	CONCLUSIONS	77
6.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	78
7.	APPENDIX	80

LIST OF TA	BLES	Page Number			
Table 1	Proposed sampling frame for behavioural survey	9			
Table 2	Sites in rural areas	10			
Table 3	Number of respondents interviewed	10			
Table 4	Demographic characteristics	14			
Table 5	Demographic characteristics among males and females	16			
Table 6	Exposure to mass media	19			
Table 7	Exposure to mass media among males and females	19			
Table 8	Extramarital sex among married respondents	21			
Table 9	Extramarital sex among married males and females	22			
Table 10	Sexual practices among unmarried respondents	24			
Table 11	Sexual practices among unmarried males and females	25			
Table 12	Knowledge about condoms	27			
Table 13	Knowledge about condoms among males and females	28			
Table 14	Access to condoms and barriers to access	30			
Table 15	Access to condoms and barriers to access among males and	31			
10010 10	females	01			
Table 16	Condom use in extramarital sex	32			
Table 17	Condom use in extramarital sex among males and females	33			
Table 18	Condom use among unmarried respondents	35			
Table 19	Condom use among unmarried males and females	36			
Table 20	Experience of forced sex among unmarried respondents	38			
Table 21	Experience of forced sex among unmarried males and females	38			
Table 22	Confidence in ones own ability to ensure protective behaviours	39			
Table 23	Confidence in ones own ability to ensure protective behaviours	40			
	among males and females				
Table 24	Knowledge on HIV/AIDS transmission	41			
Table 25	Knowledge on HIV/AIDS transmission among males and				
	females				
Table 26	Knowledge on prevention of HIV and STIs	43			
Table 27	Knowledge on prevention of HIV and STIs among males and	44			
	females				
Table 28	Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS transmission	45			
Table 29	Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS transmission among males and	47			
	females				
Table 30	Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS prevention	49			
Table 31	Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS prevention among males and	49			
	females				
Table 32	Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS treatment	50			
Table 33	Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS treatment among males and	51			
	females				
Table 34	Perceived risk about STI and HIV transmission	52			
Table 35	Perceived risk about STI and HIV transmission among males	52			
Table 26	and remains	50			
1 able 30	for HIV	55			

LIST OF TABLES				
		Number		
Table 37	Knowledge and experience of voluntary counselling and testing	53		
	for HIV among males and females			
Table 38	Source of learning about HIV/AIDS	54		
Table 39	Source of learning about HIV/AIDS among males and females	54		
Table 40	Helpful and most helpful source of learning about HIV/AIDS	56		
Table 41	Helpful and most helpful source of learning about HIV/AIDS among males and females	57		
Table 42	Preferred sources of learning about HIV/AIDS	58		
Table 43	Preferred sources of learning about HIV/AIDS among males and females	59		
Table 44	Knowledge regarding names of different STIs, symptoms of STIs and available treatment facilities	60		
Table 45	Knowledge regarding names of different STIs, symptoms of STIs and available treatment facilities among males and females	61		
Table 46	Reported symptoms of STI among male and female respondents and source of treatment	63		
Table 47	Barriers to seeking STI services and confidence in overcoming barriers	64		
Table 48	Barriers to seeking STI services and confidence in overcoming barriers among males and females	65		
Table 49	Illicit drug use and needle sharing behaviour	66		
Table 50	Illicit drug use and needle sharing behaviour among males and females	66		
LIST OF FIG	URES			
Fig. 1.	Marital status of male and female respondents in urban and rural areas	68		
Fig. 2.	Proportions reporting extramarital sex in the last year and premarital sex ever in their lifetime	69		
Fig. 3.	Proportion of males who bought sex from sex workers in the last year	70		
Fig. 4.	Average number of sex partners in the last six months amongst those who had extra or pre marital sex	71		
Fig. 5.	Condom use in last six months during extra or premarital sex	72		
Fig. 6.	Proportions of respondents who felt they had easy access to condoms	72		
Fig. 7.	Knowledge on HIV/STI transmission	74		
Fig. 8.	Proportions who did not know modes of HIV/STI transmission and prevention	74		
Fig. 9.	Proportions who did not know the symptoms of STIs	75		
Fig. 10.	History of illicit drug use and injecting drugs	76		

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Bhutan is recognised as being a low prevalence nation for HIV (1). Although information on HIV/AIDS in Bhutan is limited (2) there is data available from passive case reporting, a few studies and sentinel sero-surveillance conducted through government health care services. All data confirm that HIV prevalence is still low and the estimated numbers of HIV positive people are approximately 100 (1, 3). Information from the passively reported cases suggest that heterosexual contact is a leading cause of HIV transmission (4).

A few studies have been conducted to assess risk behaviours and vulnerability to sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and HIV/AIDS as well as knowledge and attitudes to HIV/STIs in Bhutan (5-7).

In, 2005, 638 university graduates were given a twenty-question survey to assess their awareness and knowledge, attitude, behaviour and practices about HIV/AIDS and their needs for HIV/AIDS programmes (5). All participants had heard of HIV/AIDS and 95.6% knew that a healthy looking person could be HIV infected but only 65.4% correctly responded as to how the disease progressed. 34.3% of respondents claimed to be sexually inexperienced. Of those who had had sex, 68% had more than one sexual partner but of these, only 28.5% always used condoms while 25.11% did not use condoms at all. Although 73.5% of respondents were ready to undergo HIV testing, only 53% said they would disclose a HIV positive status to a health worker.

The study revealed that discriminatory attitudes existed among the respondents towards those infected with HIV with 8.6% recommending imprisonment, 22.6% for public disclosure and segregation for prevention and 30.4% felt uncomfortable worshiping in a home of an HIV infected person. Most of the graduates (93.9%) felt that HIV/AIDS prevention needs urgent attention in the country with every individual and all institutions taking responsibility.

In 2006, an exploratory study on HIV/AIDS among out-of-school youth in Bhutan surveyed 1312 rural/urban Bhutanese male/female youth between 15-24 years (7). Among the respondents, 80% knew about HIV/AIDS. Major preventative methods mentioned were using condoms (76%), being faithful to one single sexual partner (71%) and abstinence (69%). But misconceptions about transmission of HIV were common.

Premarital sex, multiple sex partners and unprotected sex were reported. More than half (58%) of the youth surveyed had had sex and the mean age for sexual initiation was16 years for males and 18 years for females. The average number of lifetime sex partners for males surveyed was 9.8, with females at 1.5 partners. Some 4% of youth (50 male youth) visited sex workers, 71% of whom used condoms. At first intercourse only 31% males and 13% females used condoms but numbers of both male (64%) and female (49%) using condoms increased since their first sexual encounter.

The study found that illicit drug use was not yet high among this group but alcohol use was common.

The out-of-school youth survey also revealed that there was high awareness on HIV/AIDS. The overall preferred source of health information and messages was from health workers (43%), although rural youth found difficulty in interacting with health workers on reproductive and sexual health problems. The majority of youth (68%) appeared to seek health care at health facilities for STIs but 13% did not do anything.

A survey designed to identify population groups vulnerable to HIV/STIs conducted in six different dzongkhags in 2004 (6) also assessed issues on access to health care. Findings identified access barriers to health services of long waiting times and negative attitudes of health workers, despite a majority preferring to get their health information from heath workers rather than media or friends. However, for discussion on sexual matters, friends rather than health workers were preferred. Embarrassment, guilt and lack of privacy at health facilities were stated as possible discouraging factors for accessing appropriate treatment for STIs.

In 2004, recognising the importance of addressing HIV/AIDS early on in the epidemic, the Royal Government of Bhutan (RgoB) embarked on an HIV/AIDS prevention project funded by the World Bank. The project has four components – Prevention of HIV/AIDS and STIs; Institutional Strengthening and Building Capacity; Care, Support and Treatment of STIs; and Strategic information for HIV/AIDS and STI. The International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B) is providing support to the Ministry of Health, RgoB for Surveillance, Monitoring and Evaluation, which is part of the fourth component.

A component of Surveillance, Monitoring and Evaluation is a survey on risk behaviours, knowledge and treatment seeking for HIV/AIDS/STIs among the general population of Bhutan. This report presents the findings and conclusions from the general population behaviour survey that was conducted between March and June 2006 among 3235 males and females between 15-49 years from urban and rural Bhutan. The survey was conducted by Rabten Associates, a local firm from Bhutan on behalf of the Ministry of Health, RgoB. Technical assistance for the survey was provided by the ICDDR,B.

# 2. DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

## 2.1 Methods

The methodology of the general population survey was developed jointly through discussions with the MoH, RgoB, Rabten Associates and ICDDR,B. This included the survey design, selection of population sub groups and geographical areas and development of the survey instrument.

## 2.2 Survey design

A cross-sectional ecologic survey design was applied to a representative sample of urban and rural males and females.

## 2.3 Population subgroups and geographical areas

It was agreed that sampling would be done from urban and rural areas for males and females in two age groups -15-24 years and 25-49 years as shown in Table 1.

Geographical	Sex	Age Group	Proposed sample size
area			
Urban	Males	Youth (15-24 years)	400
		Adults (25-49 years)	400
	Females	Youth (15-24 years)	400
		Adults (25-49 years)	400
Rural	Males	Youth (15-24 years)	400
		Adults (25-49 years)	400
	Females	Youth (15-24 years)	400
		Adults (25-49 years)	400
Total			3200

#### Table 1: Proposed sampling frame for behaviour survey

For the urban areas, four major cities, Thimphu, Phuntsholing, Samdurpjongkhar and Punakha were purposefully selected for the survey.

For the rural areas, eight of the twenty Dzongkhags (Districts) were selected using simple random selection. From each of these Dzongkhags, about 30% of the geogs (administrative blocks under Districts) were selected, i.e. 26 geogs, again using simple random selection. The selected rural sites are shown in Table 2.

## Table 2: Sites in rural areas

Dzongkhag (District)									
Bumthang	Chukha	Lhuntse	Monggar	Punakha	Tsirang	Trongsa	Wangdue		
Geog (Admir	Geog (Administrative block under District)								
Chumey	Bongo	Khoma	Chili	Kabji	Betini	Tangsibji	Dhagchu		
	Dala	Menbi	Dramitse	Chubu	Tsirang toe	Lanthel	Phobji		
	Logchina		Monggar	Shenga Bjemi	Pataley		Gangtey		
	Phuentsho ling		Thangrong		Mendrelgang		Gase Tshoyom		
			Tsamang				Rubesa		

#### 2.4 Sample size

As the aim of the survey was primarily descriptive the sample size was calculated assuming a fixed level of desired margin of error, power and level of confidence in the estimates to be reported within each cell and for the specified sub-groups as shown in Table 1. The sample size was calculated as 385 using the following formula and parameters

n/group (single proportion) = 
$$\frac{(Z_{alpha})^2 P(1-P)}{d^2} = 385$$

- level of confidence, Z<sub>alpha</sub>: 95%
- power, Z<sub>beta</sub>, 80%
- margin of error, d: +/- 5%
- baseline prevalence, P: this will vary greatly by practice, thus to be set at most conservative level of 50%

As the sample size was calculated for each study area (urban and rural) by population sub groups (male and female) and age structures (15-24 and 25-49), the total sample size was calculated to be 3200 (Table 1).

#### 2.5 Development of survey instrument

The behaviour survey questionnaire was developed jointly by ICDDR,B, MoH of RGoB, and Rabten Associates in Bhutan. The questionnaire is attached in Appendix I. It was pre-tested in the field in both urban and rural areas, by the interviewers

before finalisation. The pre-testing was done in the presence of staff from ICDDR,B. A instruction manual for administering the questionnaire was provided to the interviewers.

## **2.6 Training of interviewers**

A two week training was provided on data collection including methodology for sampling and administering the questionnaire to all staff involved in the survey. Training was provided through classroom sessions, role play and observation, field practice with questionnaire followed by discussions between interviewers and trainers.

## 2.7 Data collection

Three teams each consisting of eight interviewers with an equal mix of males and females and headed by team supervisor collected data over twenty weeks. The areas covered by the three teams were:

- Team A South-West and Central regions which included Chukha and Tsirang
- Team B Central regions which included Punakha, Wangduephodrang and Thimphu (teams A and C assisted Team B in Thimphu)
- Team C Eastern regions which included Bumthang, Mongar and Samdrupjongkhar.

Data collection was carried out simultaneously in the different sites by the three teams. Male and female respondents were interviewed by male and female interviewers respectively. On an average each interview required 35 to 45 minutes.

There were less than 2% refusals which were mainly because the respondents were either busy or in a hurry. Since it was harvesting season in most sites, some of the interviews were conducted early in the mornings or late in the evenings. No major problems were reported by any team.

Information on sites for household figures, number and estimates of ages of respondents were collected from the MoH. After arrival at the site the team leader communicated with the Dzongdhag (Commissioner) in urban areas or the Gup (village head) in rural areas to inform them about the survey and also to collect any further information regarding households and population groups.

Two respondents were not interviewed from the same household for ethical reasons as explained below in section 2.8. If sufficient numbers of respondents in the 15 to 24 years age group were not found in the households, attempts were made to sample them from their schools. However, interviews is schools, were conducted only among those who were between 18 to 24 years, those below 18 years were not interviewed, as this would require consent from parents/guardians who were not present at the school. 231 such interviews were conducted.

The strategy for data collection was different for urban and rural sites. In urban areas, data collection was initiated from a central point of the town and the teams spread out in different directions from that central point. The first household was picked randomly, thereafter, depending on the number of houses in the site and the sample size to be achieved, houses were sampled sequentially, i.e. every third, or every eighth, etc. If no respondent was found in any one of the houses, the next immediate house was visited and after which the sequence was again maintained.

In rural sites the team began the survey from one corner of the village and the first eight houses were sampled. If any interviewer did not find the required respondent, then s/he approached the ninth house. When the required number of respondents were not found in one village, the team moved to the next village.

The final number of respondents who were interviewed are shown in Table 3.

Geographical area	Sex	Age Group	Proposed number for interview
Urban	Males	Youth (15-24 years)	419
		Adults (25-49 years)	368
	Females	Youth (15-24 years)	386
		Adults (25-49 years)	403
Rural	Males	Youth (15-24 years)	397
		Adults (25-49 years)	419
	Females	Youth (15-24 years)	425
		Adults (25-49 years)	418
Total			3235

Table 3: Number of respondents interviewed

# 2.8 Ethical issues

Informed verbal consent was obtained from all respondents who were older than 18 years. Respondents were informed that their participation in the survey was voluntary; they were free to choose not to answer any individual question or all of the questions. They were also assured that all information provided would be kept strictly confidential, no identifiers would be used only identification numbers. Two respondents were not interviewed from the same household to overcome any fears of that privacy may be compromised. For respondents in the age group of 15 years to 17 years, consent was obtained from their parents/guardians. In those cases where interviewed as parents/guardians for those below 18 years were not available in the schools.

# 2.9 Data entry and analysis

Data were entered using Epi-Info. The data were cleaned and converted into STATA data file format by using Stat Transfer Version 7. Data were analyzed using STATA inter-cooled Version 8 for Windows. Data were weighted according to urban-rural,

gender (male and female) and age (15-24 years, 25-49 years). Descriptive statistics such as weighted proportions for categorical and weighted means and medians for numerical variables were reported along with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). For comparison of means or proportions between sites (urban vs. rural) and population groups (males vs. females), overlapping confidence intervals were considered as not significant however in case of 0% and 100% proportions CI was not available and comparison was not done.

# **3. RESULTS**

Data were collected from the field between March and June 2006. As the survey was designed to assess differences between urban and rural populations and males and females, this report will present data accordingly.

## 3.1. Demographic characteristics (Tables 4 and 5)

The demographic characteristics of the respondents are shown in Tables 1 and 2. According to the survey design similar proportions of male and female respondents as well as those in the two age groups (15-24 years and 25-49 years) in both urban and rural areas were sampled. Comparisons between urban and rural respondents showed that more urban respondents were in the younger age group and more were unmarried. Most respondents lived with their own families and this was more common in rural areas than in urban areas. However, living with other relatives was more common in the urban areas as was living alone.

Urban respondents had on average more years of schooling and more were literate. Differences were observed in the nature of jobs held by urban and rural respondents which is a reflection of the geographical differences in the two areas. The proportion of people who were unemployed was low and was higher in the urban compared to the rural area. Income was generally higher in the urban area.

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p-value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Gender				
Male	49.3 (47.6-51.1)	50.1 (43.2-56.9)	NS	49.6 (43.1-56.8)
Female	50.7 (48.9-52.5)	50.5 (47.1-56.8)	NS	50.5 (47.8-53.1)
Age groups				
15-24	52.4 (50.9-54.1)	46.0 (43.5-48.4)	< 0.05	50.3 (48.52-5.2)
25-49	47.6 (46.0-49.1)	54.0 (51.6-56.5)	< 0.05	49.7 (47.4-52.0)
Mean age in years	25.9 (25.7-26.2) M=24	27.7 (27.0-28.4) M=25	<0.05	26.5 (25.9-27.2) M=24
Religion				
Buddhism	92.2 (88.7-94.7)	79.5 (53.4-92.9)	NS	88.0 (76.1-94.4)
Others	7.8 (5.4-11.3)	20.5 (7.1-46.7)	NS	12.0 (5.6-23.9)
Ethic Group				
Ngalop	26.8 (23.0-31.0)	29.8 (14.8-50.9)	NS	27.8 (21.7-34.9)
Scharchop (Tshangla)	36.8 (32.8-41.0)	22.4 (11.7-38.6)	NS	32.0 (26.3-38.4)
Kurtep	7.3 (7.0-7.7)	7.9 (2.7-21.3)	NS	7.5 (5.2-10.8)
Bumthap	5.6 (4.5-7.0)	3.9 (0.7-19.5)	NS	5.0 (3.0-8.3)
Lhotsampa	15.1 (12.6-18.0)	28.6 (11.3-55.7)	NS	19.5 (11.6-30.9)
Khengpa	6.7 (6.1-7.4)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	< 0.05	5.2 (4.0-6.7)
Tibetan	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.2 (0.1-0.9)	NS	0.7 (0.4-1.1)
Others	1.7 (1.2-2.4)	5.4 (1.4-18.5)	NS	2.9 (1.3-6.5)

#### **Table 4: Demographic characteristics**

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless	Rural N=1659 unless	Comparison between urban	Total N=3235 unless otherwise
Marriad         40 1 [349-45.6]         59.1 (4.6-63.4)         NS         46.4 (37.2-55.7)           Former married         23 (1.7-33)         32 (2.0-4.9)         NS         2.6 (2.1-3.2)           Never married         57.5 (52.8-62.1)         37.8 (34.1-41.7)         <0.05         \$51.0 (42.0-60.0)           Respondent lives with         N=1575'         N=1658'         N=3233''           Own family         51.4 (49.4-53.4)         60.9 (54.9-66.6)         <0.05         54.5 (50.0-59.0)           Parents         17.4 (12.8-23.2)         2.5 9 (21.8-30.4)         NS         20.2 (15.2-26.3)           Relatives         17.6 (13.0-23.3)         3.1 (1.8-5.2)         <0.05         57.1 (3.9-8.2)           Parents         1.1 (0.5-2.2)         0.5 (0.2-1.1)         NS         2.9 (2.2-3.8)           Hostel         2.1 (0.6-7.3)         5.2 (3.4-7.8)         NS         3.1 (1.3-7.4)           Alone         7.3 (6.4-8.2)         2.5 (1.8-3.5)         <0.05         57.1 (3.9-8.2)           Others         1.1 (0.5-2.2)         0.5 (0.2-1.1)         NS         0.9 (0.4-1.9)           Education         14.6 (10.5-19.8)         46.6 (39.1-54.3)         <0.05         8.6 (5.8+12.5)           6.10 years         3.8.0 (22.4-44.0)         3.4.2 (9.4-3.3)		otherwise stated	otherwise stated	and rural (p-value)	stated
$\begin{split} \label{eq:marged} & 40.1(34.94.56) & 59.1(4.6-63.4) & NS & 46.4(372.55.7) \\ Former married & 23.1(7.33) & 32.2(20.49) & NS & 2.6(21.32) \\ \mbox{Never married} & 57.5(52.8-62.1) & 37.8(34.1-41.7) & <0.05 & $51.0(42.0-60.0) \\ \hline \mbox{Respondent lives with} & N=1575' & N=1658' & N=3233'' \\ \mbox{Ovn family} & 51.4(49.4.53.4) & 60.9(54.9-66.6) & <0.05 & 54.5(30.0-59.0) \\ \mbox{Parents} & 17.4(12.8-23) & 25.9(21.8-30.4) & NS & 20.2(15.2-26.3) \\ \mbox{Relatives} & 17.6(13.0-23.3) & 3.1(1.8-52) & <0.05 & 12.8(6.8-22.7) \\ \mbox{Friends} & 3.3(3.1-34) & 2.7(0.7-5.3) & NS & 2.9(22.2-3) \\ \mbox{Relatives} & 17.6(13.0-23.3) & 3.1(18.52) & <0.05 & 57.3(39.82) \\ \mbox{Others} & 1.1(0.6-7.3) & 52.(3.4-7.8) & NS & 3.1(1.3^{-7.4}) \\ \mbox{Alone} & 7.3(6.4.82) & 25.(18.3-5) & <0.05 & 57.3(39.82) \\ \mbox{Others} & 1.1(0.5-22) & 0.5(0.2-1.1) & NS & 0.9(0.4-1.9) \\ \mbox{Education} & 1.4.6(10.5-10.8) & 46.6(39.1.54.3) & <0.05 & 25.1(14.5-39.9) \\ \mbox{1-5 years} & 6.0(5.3-6.7) & 13.8(9.5-19.5) & <0.05 & 25.1(14.5-39.9) \\ \mbox{1-5 years} & 41.4(312.44.0) & 5.1(42.6-3) & <0.05 & 22.5(15.0-49.8) \\ \mbox{Mean years of schooling} & 9.0(8.0-9.9) & 3.9(3.3-4.5) & \\ \mbox{Mean years of schooling} & N=340 & N=796 & N=1136 \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.3(0.3-4.5) & NS & 12.1(712.62.6) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.3(0.3-4.5) & NS & 12.1(712.42.6.6) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.3(0.3-4.5) & NS & 12.1(712.42.6.6) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.3(0.3-4.5) & NS & 12.1(712.42.6.6) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.3(0.3-4.5) & NS & 12.1(712.42.6.6) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.3(0.3-4.5) & NS & 12.1(712.42.6.6) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.3(0.3-4.5) & NS & 12.1(74.25.6.9) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 1.2(0.7-7.9) & NS & 10.7(18.3-13.7) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 12.2(17.42.3) & NS & 12.1(74.25.6.9) \\ \mbox{Monstcu institution} & 7.0(12.2.8) & 12.2(17.42.3) & NS & 12.1(74.25.6.9) \\ Monstc$	Marital Status				
$\begin{array}{                                    $	Married	40.1 (34.9-45.6)	59.1 (4.6-63.4)	NS	46.4 (37.2-55.7)
Never married         57.5 ( $52.8-62.1$ )         37.8 ( $34.14.17$ )         <0.05 $51.0 (42.0-60.0)$ Respondent lives with         N=1575"         N=1658"         N=2333"           Own family         51.4 ( $49.45.34$ ) $60.9 (54.9.66.6)$ <0.05	Former married	2.3 (1.7-3.3)	3.2 (2.0-4.9)	NS	2.6 (2.1-3.2)
Respondent lives with         N=1575*         N=1658*         N=233*           Own family         514 (494.4534)         60.9 (54.9 c6.6)         <0.05	Never married	57.5 (52.8-62.1)	37.8 (34.1-41.7)	< 0.05	51.0 (42.0-60.0)
Respondent lives with         N=1575 <sup>+</sup> N=1658 <sup>+</sup> N=233 <sup>++</sup> Own family         51 4 (49 4334)         (6) (54 9-66 )         <0.05					
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	<b>Respondent lives with</b>	N=1575*	N=1658*		N=3233**
Parents         17.4 (12.8-23.2)         25.9 (21.8-30.4)         NS         20.2 (15.2-26.3)           Friends         33.3 (1.3-34)         27.0 (7-5.3)         NS         2.9 (2.2-3.8)           Hostel         2.1 (0.6-7.3)         5.2 (3.4-7.8)         NS         3.1 (1.3-7.4)           Alone         7.3 (6.4-8.2)         2.5 (1.8-3.5)         <0.05	Own family	51.4 (49.4-53.4)	60.9 (54.9-66.6)	< 0.05	54.5 (50.0-59.0)
Relatives         17.6 (13.0-23.3)         3.1 (1.8-5.2)         <0.05         12.8 (6.8-22.7)           Hostel         3.1 (1.3-34)         2.7 (0.7-5.3)         NS         2.9 (2.2-3.8)           Hostel         2.1 (0.6-7.3)         5.2 (3.4-7.8)         NS         3.1 (1.3-7.4)           Alone         7.3 (6.4-8.2)         2.5 (1.8-3.5)         <0.05	Parents	17.4 (12.8-23.2)	25.9 (21.8-30.4)	NS	20.2 (15.2-26.3)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Relatives	17.6 (13.0-23.3)	3.1 (1.8-5.2)	< 0.05	12.8 (6.8-22.7)
Hostel         2.1 (0.6-7.3)         5.2 (3.4-7.8)         NS         3.1 (1.3-7.4)           Alone         7.3 (6.4-8.2)         2.5 (1.8-3.5)         <0.05	Friends	3.3 (3.1-3.4)	2.7 (0.7-5.3)	NS	2.9 (2.2-3.8)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Hostel	2.1 (0.6-7.3)	5.2 (3.4-7.8)	NS	3.1 (1.3-7.4)
Others         1.1 (0.5-2.2)         0.5 (0.2-1.1)         NS         0.9 (0.4-1.9)           Education	Alone	7.3 (6.4-8.2)	2.5 (1.8-3.5)	< 0.05	5.7 (3.9-8.2)
Education         Image: Image state st	Others	1.1 (0.5-2.2)	0.5 (0.2-1.1)	NS	0.9 (0.4-1.9)
Never attended school         14.6 (10.5-19.8)         46.6 (39.1-54.3)         < 0.05         25.1 (14.5-39.9)           1-5 years         6.0 (5.3-6.7)         13.8 (9.5-19.5)         < 0.05	Education				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Never attended school	14.6 (10.5-19.8)	46 6 (39 1-54 3)	< 0.05	25 1 (14 5-39 9)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1-5 years	6.0 (5.3-6.7)	13.8 (9.5-19.5)	< 0.05	8.6 (5.8-12.5)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6-10 years	38.0 (32.4-44.0)	34.3 (29.4-39.5)	NS	36.8 (33.5-40.2)
Mean years of schooling         9.0 (8.0-9.9) M=10         3.9 (3.3-4.5) M=2         < 0.05         7.3 (5.2-9.5) M=8           Other education (those who had no schooling)         N=340         N=796         N=1136           Non-Formal education         23.7 (18.4-30.0)         20.4 (15.1-26.9)         NS         21.7 (17.4-26.6)           Monastic institution         7.0 (1.2-2.8)         6.1 (3.4-10.9)         <0.05	11-19 years	41.4 (31.2-44.0)	5.1 (4.2-6.3)	< 0.05	29.5 (15.0-49.8)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mean years of schooling	9.0 (8.0-9.9)	3.9 (3.3-4.5)	< 0.05	7.3 (5.2-9.5)
Other education (those who had no schooling)         N=340         N=796         N=1136           Non-Formal education         23.7 (18.4-30.0)         20.4 (15.1-26.9)         NS         21.7 (17.4-26.6)           Monastic institution         7.0 (1.2-2.8)         6.1 (3.4-10.9)         <0.05		M=10	M=2		M=8
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
Introduction         23.7 (18.4-30.0)         20.4 (15.1-26.9)         NS         21.7 (17.4-26.6)           Monastic institution         7.0 (1.2-2.8)         6.1 (3.4-10.9)         <0.05	Other education (those who	N=340	N=796		N=1136
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Non-Formal education	23.7 (18.4-30.0)	20.4 (15.1-26.9)	NS	21.7 (17.4-26.6)
None         67.5 (61.4-73.0)         72.3 (63.7-79.5)         NS         70.4 (64.1-76.0)           Others         1.8 (1.2-2.8)         1.3 (0.3-4.5)         NS         1.5 (0.7-3.0)           Can read any language         90.4 (84.6-94.1)         64.3 (55.7-72.0)         <0.05	Monastic institution	7.0 (1.2-2.8)	6.1 (3.4-10.9)	<0.05	6.5 (4.5-9.3)
Others $1.8(1.2-2.8)$ $1.3(0.3-4.5)$ NS $1.5(0.7-3.0)$ Can read any language $90.4(84.6-94.1)$ $64.3(55.7-72.0)$ $<0.05$ $81.8(68.0-90.5)$ Occupation         N=1573*** $  < < < < < < < < < << << <<< <<<>< <<<<>< <<<<>< <<<<>< <<<<>< <<<<>< <<<<>< <<<<><<<>< <<<<><<<>< <<<<><<<<>< <<<<<><<<<>< <<<<><<<<>< <<<<<><<<<><<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<><<<<<<$	None	67.5 (61.4-73.0)	72.3 (63.7-79.5)	NS	70.4 (64.1-76.0)
Can read any language         90.4 (84.6-94.1)         64.3 (55.7-72.0)         <0.05         81.8 (68.0-90.5)           Occupation         N=1573***              Student         23.9 (18.8-29.9)         20.2 (17.4-23.4)         NS         22.7 (19.8-25.9)           Housewife         11.7 (8.4-16.1)         11.3 (6.9-17.9)         NS         11.7 (9.1-15.1)           Civil service (Officer)         9.5 (6.5-13.8)         0.2 (0.1-0.6)         <0.05         6.4 (2.8-13.9)           Civil service (Clark)         17.4 (15.8-19.1)         5.9 (4.2-8.2)         <0.05         10.7 (8.3-13.7)           Business <sup>Φ</sup> 12.3 (10.6-14.3)         7.5 (5.9-9.4)         <0.05         13.8 (5.0-32.8)           Skilled labourer         3.8 (3.2-4.4)         4.6 (2.8-7.6)         NS         4.0 (3.3-4.9)           Low skilled labourer $^{\Omega}$ 8.7 (7.7-9.8)         5.2 (3.7-7.2)         NS         7.5 (6.4-8.8)           Unemployed         6.0 (5.0-7.3)         2.2 (1.2-4.2)         <0.05         4.7 (3.1-7.1)           Others         6.1 (3.2-11.3)         2.0 (0.5-7.3)         NS         4.8 (21.0-10.3)           Unemployed         6.0 (5.0-7.3.4)         2.2 (9.0-98.0)         NS         94.3 (91.1-96.5)           Part time	Others	1.8 (1.2-2.8)	1.3 (0.3-4.5)	NS	1.5 (0.7-3.0)
Can read any language $90.4$ ( $84.6-94.1$ ) $64.3$ ( $55.7-72.0$ ) $<0.05$ $81.8$ ( $68.0-90.5$ )           Occupation         N=1573*** $<$ Student $23.9$ ( $18.8-29.9$ ) $20.2$ ( $17.4-23.4$ )         NS $22.7$ ( $19.8-25.9$ )           Housewife $11.7$ ( $8.4-16.1$ ) $11.3$ ( $6.9-17.9$ )         NS $11.7$ ( $9.1-15.1$ )           Civil service (Officer) $9.5$ ( $6.5-13.8$ ) $0.2$ ( $0.1-0.6$ ) $<0.05$ $6.4$ ( $2.8-13.9$ )           Civil service (Clark) $17.4$ ( $15.8-19.1$ ) $5.9$ ( $4.2-8.2$ ) $<0.05$ $13.6$ ( $10.6-17.1$ )           Business <sup>Φ</sup> $12.3$ ( $10.6-14.3$ ) $7.5$ ( $5.9-9.4$ ) $<0.05$ $13.8$ ( $5.0-32.8$ )           Skilled labourer $3.8$ ( $3.2-4.4$ ) $4.6$ ( $2.8-7.6$ )         NS $4.0$ ( $3.3-4.9$ )           Low skilled labourer $3.8$ ( $3.2-4.4$ ) $4.6$ ( $2.8-7.6$ )         NS $4.0$ ( $3.3-4.9$ )           Low skilled labourer $3.8$ ( $3.2-4.4$ ) $4.6$ ( $2.8-7.6$ )         NS $4.0$ ( $3.3-4.9$ )           Low skilled labourer $3.8$ ( $3.2-4.4$ ) $4.6$ ( $2.8-7.6$ )         NS $4.0$ ( $3.3-4.9$ )           Low skilled labourer $8.7$ ( $7.7-9.8$ ) $5.2$ ( $3$					X /
Occupation         N=1573 <sup>**</sup> N           Student         23.9 (18.8-29.9)         20.2 (17.4-23.4)         NS         22.7 (19.8-25.9)           Housewife         11.7 (8.4-16.1)         11.3 (6.9-17.9)         NS         11.7 (9.1-15.1)           Civil service (Officer)         9.5 (6.5-13.8)         0.2 (0.1-0.6)         <0.05	Can read any language	90.4 (84.6-94.1)	64.3 (55.7-72.0)	< 0.05	81.8 (68.0-90.5)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· · · · · ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Occupation	N=1573***			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Student	23.9 (18.8-29.9)	20.2 (17.4-23.4)	NS	22.7 (19.8-25.9)
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Civil service (Officer) & 9.5 (6.5-13.8) & 0.2 (0.1-0.6) & <0.05 & 6.4 (2.8-13.9) \\ \hline Civil service (Clark) & 17.4 (15.8-19.1) & 5.9 (4.2-8.2) & <0.05 & 13.6 (10.6-17.1) \\ \hline Business^{\Phi} & 12.3 (10.6-14.3) & 7.5 (5.9-9.4) & <0.05 & 10.7 (8.3-13.7) \\ \hline Farmer & 0.5 (0.2-1.5) & 40.8 (31.0-51.5) & <0.05 & 13.8 (5.0-32.8) \\ \hline Skilled labourer & 3.8 (3.2-4.4) & 4.6 (2.8-7.6) & NS & 4.0 (3.3-4.9) \\ \hline Low skilled labourer ^{\Omega} & 8.7 (7.7-9.8) & 5.2 (3.7-7.2) & NS & 7.5 (6.4-8.8) \\ \hline Unemployed & 6.0 (5.0-7.3) & 2.2 (1.2-4.2) & <0.05 & 4.7 (3.1-7.1) \\ \hline Others & 6.1 (3.2-11.3) & 2.0 (0.5-7.3) & NS & 4.8 (21.0-10.3) \\ \hline \\ $	Housewife	11.7 (8.4-16.1)	11.3 (6.9-17.9)	NS	11.7 (9.1-15.1)
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \text{Civil service (Clark)} & 17.4 (15.8-19.1) & 5.9 (4.2-8.2) & <0.05 & 13.6 (10.6-17.1) \\ \hline \text{Business}^{\Phi} & 12.3 (10.6-14.3) & 7.5 (5.9-9.4) & <0.05 & 10.7 (8.3-13.7) \\ \hline \text{Farmer} & 0.5 (0.2-1.5) & 40.8 (31.0-51.5) & <0.05 & 13.8 (5.0-32.8) \\ \hline \text{Skilled labourer} & 3.8 (3.2-4.4) & 4.6 (2.8-7.6) & \text{NS} & 4.0 (3.3-4.9) \\ \hline \text{Low skilled labourer} & 8.7 (7.7-9.8) & 5.2 (3.7-7.2) & \text{NS} & 7.5 (6.4-8.8) \\ \hline \text{Unemployed} & 6.0 (5.0-7.3) & 2.2 (1.2-4.2) & <0.05 & 4.7 (3.1-7.1) \\ \hline \text{Others} & 6.1 (3.2-11.3) & 2.0 (0.5-7.3) & \text{NS} & 4.8 (21.0-10.3) \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Civil service (Officer)	9.5 (6.5-13.8)	0.2 (0.1-0.6)	< 0.05	6.4 (2.8-13.9)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Civil service (Clark)	17.4 (15.8-19.1)	5.9 (4.2-8.2)	< 0.05	13.6 (10.6-17.1)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Business <sup>Φ</sup>	12.3 (10.6-14.3)	7.5 (5.9-9.4)	< 0.05	10.7 (8.3-13.7)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Farmer	0.5 (0.2-1.5)	40.8 (31.0-51.5)	< 0.05	13.8 (5.0-32.8)
Low skilled labourer $^{12}$ 8.7 (7.7-9.8)5.2 (3.7-7.2)NS7.5 (6.4-8.8)Unemployed6.0 (5.0-7.3)2.2 (1.2-4.2)<0.05	Skilled labourer	3.8 (3.2-4.4)	4.6 (2.8-7.6)	NS	4.0 (3.3-4.9)
Unemployed $6.0 (5.0-7.3)$ $2.2 (1.2-4.2)$ $<0.05$ $4.7 (3.1-7.1)$ Others $6.1 (3.2-11.3)$ $2.0 (0.5-7.3)$ NS $4.8 (21.0-10.3)$ Working status (among those who were employed)N=1531N=1640N=3171Full time $93.4 (90.4-95.5)$ $96.2 (93.0-98.0)$ NS $94.3 (91.1-96.5)$ Part time $2.4 (1.7-3.4)$ $1.5 (0.8-2.9)$ NS $2.1 (1.4-3.2)$ Occasionally $4.2 (2.8-6.3)$ $2.3 (1.1-4.6)$ NS $3.5 (2.2-5.8)$ Monthly family income of the respondentN=1658*N=3234*Nu 0-1999 $3.0 (2.1-4.3)$ $28.0 (21.4-35.7)$ $<0.05$ $11.2 (5.1-22.9)$ Nu 2000-4999 $11.9 (8.1-17.4)$ $24.8 (21.0-29.0)$ $<0.05$ $16.2 (10.3-24.4)$	Low skilled labourer <sup>22</sup>	8.7 (7.7-9.8)	5.2 (3.7-7.2)	NS	7.5 (6.4-8.8)
Others         6.1 (3.2-11.3)         2.0 (0.5-7.3)         NS         4.8 (21.0-10.3)           Working status (among those who were employed)         N=1531         N=1640         N=3171           Full time         93.4 (90.4-95.5)         96.2 (93.0-98.0)         NS         94.3 (91.1-96.5)           Part time         2.4 (1.7-3.4)         1.5 (0.8-2.9)         NS         2.1 (1.4-3.2)           Occasionally         4.2 (2.8-6.3)         2.3 (1.1-4.6)         NS         3.5 (2.2-5.8)           Monthly family income of the respondent         N=1658*         N=3234*           Nu 0-1999         3.0 (2.1-4.3)         28.0 (21.4-35.7)         <0.05         11.2 (5.1-22.9)           Nu 2000-4999         11.9 (8.1-17.4)         24.8 (21.0-29.0)         <0.05         16.2 (10.3-24.4)	Unemployed	6.0 (5.0-7.3)	2.2 (1.2-4.2)	< 0.05	4.7 (3.1-7.1)
Working status (among those who were employed)         N=1531         N=1640         N=3171           Full time         93.4 (90.4-95.5)         96.2 (93.0-98.0)         NS         94.3 (91.1-96.5)           Part time         2.4 (1.7-3.4)         1.5 (0.8-2.9)         NS         2.1 (1.4-3.2)           Occasionally         4.2 (2.8-6.3)         2.3 (1.1-4.6)         NS         3.5 (2.2-5.8)           Monthly family income of the respondent         N=1658*         N=3234*           Nu 0-1999         3.0 (2.1-4.3)         28.0 (21.4-35.7)         <0.05	Others	6.1 (3.2-11.3)	2.0 (0.5-7.3)	NS	4.8 (21.0-10.3)
Working status (among those who were employed)         N=1551         N=1640         N=3171           Full time         93.4 (90.4-95.5)         96.2 (93.0-98.0)         NS         94.3 (91.1-96.5)           Part time         2.4 (1.7-3.4)         1.5 (0.8-2.9)         NS         2.1 (1.4-3.2)           Occasionally         4.2 (2.8-6.3)         2.3 (1.1-4.6)         NS         3.5 (2.2-5.8)           Monthly family income of the respondent         N=1658*         N=3234*           Nu 0-1999         3.0 (2.1-4.3)         28.0 (21.4-35.7)         <0.05		N. 1721	NT 1640		NI 2171
those who were employed)       Image: constraint of the respondent       93.4 (90.4-95.5)       96.2 (93.0-98.0)       NS       94.3 (91.1-96.5)         Part time       2.4 (1.7-3.4)       1.5 (0.8-2.9)       NS       2.1 (1.4-3.2)         Occasionally       4.2 (2.8-6.3)       2.3 (1.1-4.6)       NS       3.5 (2.2-5.8)         Monthly family income of the respondent       N=1658*       N=3234*         Nu 0-1999       3.0 (2.1-4.3)       28.0 (21.4-35.7)       <0.05	Working status (among	N=1531	N=1640		N=31/1
Part time       2.4 (1.7-3.4)       1.5 (0.8-2.9)       NS       2.1 (1.4-3.2)         Occasionally       4.2 (2.8-6.3)       2.3 (1.1-4.6)       NS       3.5 (2.2-5.8)         Monthly family income of the respondent       N=1658*       N=3234*         Nu 0-1999       3.0 (2.1-4.3)       28.0 (21.4-35.7)       <0.05	Eull time	03 1 (00 1 05 5)	06.2 (02.0.09.0)	NC	04 2 (01 1 06 5)
Nation       2.4 (1.7-3.7)       1.5 (0.3-2.7)       1NS       2.1 (1.4-3.2)         Occasionally       4.2 (2.8-6.3)       2.3 (1.1-4.6)       NS       3.5 (2.2-5.8)         Monthly family income of the respondent       N=1658*       N=3234*         Nu 0-1999       3.0 (2.1-4.3)       28.0 (21.4-35.7)       <0.05	Part time	2.4 (20.4-23.3) 2.4 (1.7-2.4)	<u>70.2 (93.0-98.0)</u> 1 5 (0 8-2 0)	IND NIC	$\frac{74.3(71.1-70.3)}{21(1.4-2.2)}$
Monthly family income of the respondent         N=1658*         N=3234*           Nu 0-1999         3.0 (2.1-4.3)         28.0 (21.4-35.7)         <0.05	Occasionally	42.7(1.7-3.4)	1.3(0.0-2.9) 23(11-16)	NS	2.1(1.4-3.2) 3.5(2.2-5.8)
Monthly family income of the respondent         N=1658*         N=3234*           Nu 0-1999         3.0 (2.1-4.3)         28.0 (21.4-35.7)         <0.05		т.2 (2.0-0.3)	2.3 (1.1-4.0)		5.5 (2.2-5.0)
the respondent         11/1000         11/1020           Nu 0-1999         3.0 (2.1-4.3)         28.0 (21.4-35.7)         <0.05	Monthly family income of		N=1658*		N=3234*
Nu 0-1999         3.0 (2.1-4.3)         28.0 (21.4-35.7)         <0.05         11.2 (5.1-22.9)           Nu 2000-4999         11.9 (8.1-17.4)         24.8 (21.0-29.0)         <0.05	the respondent		11 1020		
Nu 2000-4999         11.9 (8.1-17.4)         24.8 (21.0-29.0)         <0.05         16.2 (10.3-24.4)	Nu 0-1999	3.0 (2.1-4 3)	28.0 (21.4-35.7)	< 0.05	11.2 (5.1-22.9)
	Nu 2000-4999	11.9 (8.1-17.4)	24.8 (21.0-29.0)	< 0.05	16.2 (10.3-24.4)

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p-value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Nu 5000-9999	31.3 (25.8-37.3)	20.9 (15.4-27.7)	NS	27.9 (24.2-31.8)
Nu 10000+	42.8 (32.9-53.3)	13.4 (10.0-17.6)	< 0.05	33.1 (19.6-50.1)
No answer, do not know	11.0 (9.3-12.8)	13.0 (9.9-16.9)	NS	11.6 (9.8-13.7)

Note: M refers to median

<sup> $\Phi$ </sup> Business, small trading <sup> $\Omega$ </sup> Artisan, domestic help, day labour, bus /truck driver, restaurant worker, lay monk <sup>\*1</sup> lobservation is missing <sup>\*\*2</sup> lobservations are missing <sup>\*\*3</sup> lobservations are missing

3 observations are missing

Comparison of males and females in urban and rural areas showed that irrespective of area more males than females were never married. In urban areas more females than males were currently married and in rural areas more were previously married. In urban areas more males were living on their own but there were no differences in the proportions of males and females living on their own in rural areas. Females were on the whole less educated than males in both areas but there were no differences in the working status of those males and females who were employed.

#### Table 5: Demographic characteristics among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban		Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)
Age						
15-24	52.1 (48.2-56.0)	52.7 (49.4-56.1)	NS	48.5 (44.4-52.6)	43.4 (41.7-45.2)	NS
25-49	47.9 (44.0-51.8)	47.3 (43.9-50.6)	NS	51.5 (47.4-55.6)	56.6 (54.9-58.3)	NS
Mean age in years	25.8 (25.3-26.4) M=24	26.0 (25.7-26.4) M=24	NS	27.7 (26.6-28.9) M=25	27.6 (27.1-28.2) M=26	NS
Religion						
Buddhism	93.9 (88.2-97.0)	90.5 (88.3-92.3)	NS	73.9 (44.1-91.0)	85.1 (64.6-94.7)	NS
Others	6.1 (3.0-11.9)	9.5 (7.7-11.7)	NS	26.1 (9.0-56.0)	14.9 (5.3-35.4)	NS
Ethic Group						
Ngalop	28.0 (24.3-32.0)	25.7 (21.6-30.3)	NS	31.0 (13.6-56.3)	28.6 (15.5-46.7)	NS
Scharchop (Tshangla)	34.4 (30.6-38.5)	39.0 (34.8-43.5)	NS	17.3 (8.1-33.3)	27.4 (15.3-44.1)	NS
Kurtep	8.3 (7.8-8.9)	6.3 (5.8-6.9)	< 0.05	7.3 (2.5-19.7)	27.4 (15.3-44.1)	NS
Bumthep	7.0 (5.3-9.1)	4.2 (3.6-4.9)	< 0.05	4.2 (3.6-4.9)	8.6 (2.8-23.4)	NS
Lhotsampa	12.7 (10.0-16.0)	17.4 (14.8-20.2)	NS	33.6 (12.7-63.8)	3.7 (0.6-20.1)	NS
Khengpa	7.5 (6.8-8.2)	6.0 (5.0-7.1)	NS	2.2 (0.9-5.5)	1.8 (1.0-3.2)	NS
Others	2.2 (1.6-2.9)	1.3 (0.9-2.1)	NS	4.4 (1.0-17.5)	6.4 (1.8-20.2)	NS

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban		Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)
Marital Status						
Married	30.8 (23.8-38.8)	49.2 (45.3-53.0)	< 0.05	54.6 (48.3-60.7)	63.6 (58.3-68.6)	NS
Former married	1.5 (1.2-2.0)	3.2 (1.9-5.3)	NS	1.1 (0.5-2.5)	5.2 (3.3-8.3)	< 0.05
Never married	67.7 (59.6-74.9)	47.6 (45.0-50.2)	< 0.05	44.3 (38.4-50.5)	31.2 (27.3-35.4)	< 0.05
Respondent lives with	N=786*				N=842*	
Own family	44.6 (43.1-46.0)	58.0 (55.3-60.7)	< 0.05	57.1 (48.0-65.8)	64.7 (59.0-70.0)	NS
Parents	19.9 (14.7-26.4)	14.9 (11.0-19.9)	NS	27.6 (22.5-33.5)	24.0 (19.1-29.7)	NS
Relatives	19.6 (13.8-27.0)	15.6 (12.1-19.9)	NS	2.8 (1.4-5.7)	3.3 (2.1-5.2)	NS
Friends	3.5(3.2-3.9)	3.0(2.5-3.6)	NS NS	3.6(1.2-10.6)	0.5(0.2-1.6)	NS NS
Alone	1.8(0.3-9.4) 10.7(9.3-12.2)	2.4(0.9-0.0) 4.0(3.4-4.5)	<0.05	0.0(5.3-9.8) 27(15-49)	3.1(2.8-7.6) 2.2(1.4-3.6)	INS NS
Others	2.1 (1.1-4.2)	0.5(0.1-1.6)	<u> </u>	0.6 (0.1-1.6)	0.5 (0.2-1.3)	NS
Education						
Never attended school	6.1 (3.4-10.9)	22.7 (17.1-29.5)	< 0.05	33.5 (26-42.0)	59.7 (53.2-65.9)	< 0.05
1-5 years	7.3 (6.2-8.7)	4.7 (4.1-5.3)	< 0.05	18.0 (12.0-26.3)	9.5 (6.0-13.4)	NS
6-10 years	34.4 (25.3-44.8)	41.6 (39.2-44.0)	NS	39.3 (34.7-44.2)	29.2 (24.0-35.0)	NS 10.05
11-19 years	52.1 (38.1-65.8)	31.1 (23.6-39.6)	NS	8.7 (6.7-11.3)	1.6 (0.9-2.8)	<0.05
Mean year of schooling	10.1 (9.0-11.3) M=11	7.8 (7.0-8.7) M=10	<0.05	4.9 (4.2-5.5) M=5	3.0 (2.4-3.5) M=0	<0.05
Other education (those who had no schooling)	N=77	N=263		N=317	N=480	
Non-Formal education	0	30 (24.4-36.2)	-	10.0 (5.4-17.8)	26.2 (20.1-33.5)	< 0.05
Monastic institution	32.4 (16.7-53.5)	0.3 (0.0-3.6)	< 0.05	16.6 (7.9-31.7)	0.2 (0.0-1.3)	< 0.05
None	61.7 (33.8-83.6)	69.0 (64.0-73.6)	NS	69.9 (54.6-81.8)	73.6 (66.3-79.8)	NS
Others	5.9 (1.4-21.1)	0.8 (0.1-8.6)	NS	3.4 (1.0-11.4)	0	-
Can read any language	97.1 (88.4-99.3)	83.9 (77.4-88.7)	NS	77.6 (65.0-86.6)	51.0 (44.7-57.2)	<0.05
Occupation	N=784**					
Student	29.4 (24.7-34.4)	18.7 (13.4-25.4)	NS	26.3 (22.8-30.0)	14.2 (11.4-17.5)	< 0.05
Housewife	0	23.1 (15.9-32.2)	-	0	22.6 (14.6-33.4)	-
Civil service (Officer) and professional	16.2 (10.4-24.2)	3.2 (2.4-4.1)	<0.05	0.3 (0.1-1.0)	0	-
Civil service (Clerk)	13.3 (5.9-27.2)	21.4 (14.6-30.2)	NS	8.8 (6.3-12.1)	2.9 (1.8-4.8)	<0.05

Indicators	Urban				Rural	
% (95 % CI)	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)
Business $^{\Phi}$	11.3 (9.2-13.8)	13.3 (11.9-14.8)	NS	5.2 (3.9-7.0)	9.8 (7.1-13.1)	< 0.05
Farmer	1.0 (0.3-2.7)	0.1 (0.0-0.6)	NS	38.6 (29.7-48.3)	43.1 (31.7-55.3)	NS
Skilled labourer	4.9 (3.8-6.3)	2.7 (2.5-2.9)	< 0.05	7.4 (4.1-13.2)	1.8 (0.6-2.9)	< 0.05
Low skilled labourer $^{\Omega}$	11.2 (10.1-12.3)	6.3 (5.4-7.4)	<0.05	7.1 (4.8-10.4)	3.2 (1.9-5.4)	NS
Unemployed	6.0 (5.1-7.1)	6.0 (4.9-7.4)	NS	3.1 (1.7-5.6)	1.3 (0.5-2.9)	NS
Others	6.9 (3.5-13.0)	5.4 (2.9-9.4)	NS	3.1 (0.8-10.8)	1.2 (0.4-3.9)	NS
Working status (among those who were employed)	N=763	N=768		N=804	N=836	
Full time	90.6 (87.0-93.4)	96.1 (93.2-97.7)	NS	96.1 (90.8-98.4)	96.3 (92.3-98.2)	NS
Part time	3.1 (2.2-4.4)	1.7 (1.2-2.6)	NS	0.7 (0.2-1.8)	2.4 (1.2-4.6)	NS
Occasionally	6.2 (4.4-8.7)	2.2 (1.1-4.3)	< 0.05	3.2 (1.3-7.8)	1.4 (0.4-4.9)	NS
Monthly family income					N=842*	
Nu 0-1999	4.0 (2.9-5.3)	2.1 (1.3-3.4)	NS	27.2 (19.7-36.3)	28.8 (21.0-38.1)	NS
Nu 2000-4999	9.9 (5.1-18.2)	13.9 (11.2-17.2)	NS	27.1 (22.8-31.8)	22.5 (17.9-27.9)	NS
Nu 5000-9999	32.5 (27.4-38.1)	30.1 (23.8-37.3)	NS	20.6 (14.4-28.5)	21.1 (15.3-28.5)	NS
Nu 10000+	42.7 (33.0-53.0)	42.9 (32.8-53.6)	NS	12.4 (9.9-15.5)	14.3 (8.9-22.1)	NS
No answer, do not know	10.9 (9.6-12.4)	11.0 (9.0-13.4)	NS	12.7 (10.2-15.9)	13.3 (9.2-18.2)	NS

Note: M refers to median

\* 1 observation is missing \*\* 3 observations are missing

## 3.2. Exposure to mass media (Tables 6 and 7)

Most respondents read the newspaper once or more than once a week and not surprisingly newspapers were more frequently read in urban areas where newspapers are available and the literacy rate is higher. Listening to the radio was more common in rural areas while watching television was more common in urban areas.

#### Table 6: Exposure to mass media

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N =1576	Rural N =1659	Comparison between urban and rural (p-value)	Total N=3235
Reading newspaper				
Everyday	13.7 (10.2-18.3)	2.0 (1.3-3.2)	< 0.05	9.9 (5.2-18.0)
Once/more than once a week	45.3 (41.0-49.7)	23.0 (18.5-28.3)	< 0.05	37.9 (28.8-48.1)
Less than once a week	22.5 (20.8-24.4)	27.8 (23.9-32.2)	NS	24.3 (21.4-27.5)
Do not read	18.5 (12.0-27.4)	47.1 (38.7-55.7)	< 0.05	27.9 (16.4-43.3)
Listening to the radio				
Everyday	12.6 (11.9-13.3)	40.9 (33.9-48.4)	< 0.05	21.9 (13.9-32.7)
Once/more than once a week	21.5 (18.6-24.7)	24.7 (21.3-28.4)	NS	37.9 (28.8-48.1)
Less than once a week	25.4 (20.5-31.1)	17.9 (14.5-21.9)	NS	22.9 (17.7-29.2)
Do not listen	40.5 (32.0-49.7)	16.5 (12.4-21.8)	< 0.05	32.6 (27.2-38.5)
Watching television				
Everyday	77.2 (71.3-82.2)	19.2 (11.5-30.3)	< 0.05	58.1 (36.2-77.2)
Once/more than once a week	14.5 (11.5-18.0)	15.3 (12.0-19.4)	NS	14.8 (12.2-17.7)
Less than once a week	5.8 (4.6-7.3)	13.0 (9.6-17.4)	<0.05	8.2 (5.4-12.4)
Do not watch	2.6 (1.4-4.6)	52.5 (40.2-64.4)	< 0.05	19.0 (7.4-40.9)

As more males than females were educated it is not surprising that more males said that they read the newspaper than females or that the frequency of reading newspapers was higher in males. Similar proportions of males and females watched television or listened to the radio in both areas (Table 7).

Table 7	7: Exposure	to mass media a	among males and	females
---------	-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787	Female N=789	Comparison between males and females (p-value)	Male N=816	Female N=843	Comparison between males and females (p- value)	
Reading newspaper							
Everyday	18.0 (11.9-26.2)	9.6 (8.3-11.1)	< 0.05	2.7 (1.6-4.6)	1.3 (0.6-3.2)	NS	
Once/more than once a week	50.6 (45.7-55.5)	40.1 (36.1-44.3)	<0.05	27.5 (20.9- 35.3)	18.5 (15.2- 22.4)	NS	
Less than once a week	20.4 (16.5-24.9)	24.6 (23.3-25.9)	NS	36.4 (31.8- 41.1)	19.3 (15.0- 24.5)	< 0.05	
Do not read	11.0 (4.5-24.5)	25.7 (19.6-33.1)	NS	33.5 (24.1- 44.4)	60.8 (52.8- 68.3)	<0.05	
Listening to the radio							
Everyday	12.8 (12.6-13.1)	12.3 (11.1-13.6)	NS	47.4 (38.0- 56.9)	34.4 (29.9- 39.3)	NS	
Once/more than once a week	23.7 (18.6-29.8)	19.4 (18.2-20.5)	NS	21.7 (18.5- 25.3)	27.7 (23.0- 32.6)	NS	

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787	Female N=789	Comparison between males and females (p-value)	Male N=816	Female N=843	Comparison between males and females (p- value)	
Less than once a week	25.1 (22.2-28.2)	25.7 (18.8-34.1)	NS	16.9 (12.1- 23.2)	18.6 (15.6- 22.6)	NS	
Do not listen	38.3 (30.0-47.4)	42.7 (33.7-52.2)	NS	14.1 (9.7-19.8)	19.1 (14.2- 25.1)	NS	
Watching television							
Everyday	77.0 (67.4-84.4)	77.4 (74.3-80.1)	NS	17.5 (11.3- 26.1)	20.9 (11.6- 34.5)	NS	
Once/more than once a week	15.4 (10.7-21.7)	13.6 (12.2-15.0)	NS	18.5 (14.2- 23.8)	12.2 (8.5-17.1)	NS	
Less than once a week	5.7 (4.0-8.1)	5.8 (5.0-6.9)	NS	17.3 (12.0- 24.4)	8.7 (6.3-12.0)	NS	
Do not watch	1.9 (0.7-5.0)	3.2 (2.2-4.8)	NS	46.7 (35.2- 58.5)	58.2 (43.5- 71.6)	NS	

## **3.3. SEXUAL BEHAVIOURS**

#### 3.3.1. Sexual practices among married respondents (Tables 8 and 9)

Questions were asked on extramarital sex in the last year which was defined as having sex with partners who were not their spouse or regular sex partner. Extramarital sex was reported by approximately one fifth of the participants but the proportions reporting this in the last year were higher in urban than in rural areas. The most common sex partner in the urban setting was girlfriend/boyfriend while in rural areas casual acquaintance or neighbour was more common. More respondents in the urban areas bought sex from sex workers than in rural areas and concomitantly more in the urban area said they had paid cash for sex.

The most common location for extramarital sex was either own or a friend's house in both urban and rural settings. Hotels were common in urban areas while the workplace was common in rural areas.

Multiple sex partners were reported by those who had extramarital sex in the last six months and there was no significant difference between the mean number of extramarital sex partners in urban and rural areas.

#### Table 8: Extramarital sex among married respondents

Indicators	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
% (95 % CI)	N=1576 unless	N=1659 unless	between urban and	N=3235 unless
	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	rural	otherwise stated
			(p-value)	
Sex with partners other than	23.0 (20.4-25.8)	13.9 (10.7-17.9)	< 0.05	19.2 (15.3-23.8)
spouse/regular partner in last 1	N=775	N=956		N=1731
year (among those who were				
married)				
Type of partners other than	N=142	N=123		N=265
spouse/regular partner in last 1				
year (among those who had sex				
in last 1 year)			210	
Girlfriend/Boyfriend	53.6 (41.7-65.8)	35.1 (23.8-48.5)	NS	48.2 (35.1-61.6)
Sex worker	9.8 (9.2-10.4)	1.0 (0.1-7.4)	<0.05	7.1 (4.6-10.9)
Casual acquaintance/neighbour	32.4 (22.7-43.8)	60.9 (42.9-76.3)	NS	41.1 (26.4-57.5)
Relative	3.7 (1.6-8.4)	1.8 (0.5-6.7)	NS	3.1 (1.3-7.3)
Stranger	18.0 (13.6-23.4)	10.7 (6.6-17.0)	NS	15.8 (11.1-21.9)
Others	3.3 (2.3-4.5)	2.3 (0.6-8.1)	NS	3.0 (2.1-4.3)
Place of sex with partners other	N=142	N=123		N=265
than spouse/regular partner in				
last 1 year (among those who				
had sex in last 1 year)				
Own house/Partner's house	65.2 (64.0-66.4)	75.2 (66.9-80.4)	NS	67.9 (64.0-66.4)
Some one else's house	10.6 (8.5-13.2)	7.9 (4.0-15.0)	NS	9.7 (7.7-12.3)
Hotel	44.3 (36.0-53.0)	9.2 (4.9-16.7)	< 0.05	33.6 (20.3-50.3)
Workplace	1.8 (0.8-4.2)	16.7 (10.3-25.8)	< 0.05	6.3 (2.8-13.5)
Shop	0.0 (0.0-0.8)	1.0 (0.1-7.4)	NS	0.3 (0.03-2.8)
Others	2.6 (1.2-5.4)	6.7 (2.0-19.7)	NS	3.8 (1.7-8.6)
Paid/received cash/kind during	N=142	N=123		N=265
sex with partner other than				
spouse/regular partner in last 1				
year (among those who had sex				
In last 1 year)	22.5(10.7,20,2)	4.0 (2.2, 10.2)	<0.05	17.0 (10.0.20.2)
Paid cash	23.5(18.7-29.2)	4.9 (2.3-10.3)	<0.05	1/.9 (10.8-28.2)
	12.4 (11.8-13.0)	9.7 (4.8-18.6)	NS	11.6 (9.6-13.9)
Received cash	0.7 (0.1-5.2)	4.4 (1./-11.1)	NS	1.8 (0.5-6.0)
Received kind	0.1(0.1-2.2)	1.9(0.5-7.0)	NS (0.05	0.7 (0.1-0.3)
Not paid	64.7 (55.6-72.9)	81.2 (/4.3-86./)	<0.05	69.7 (58.8-78.8)
Mean number of sex partners	2./(2.1-3.3)	3.0 (2.5-3.5)	NS	2.7 (2.3-3.2)
other than spouse/regular	M=2	M=2		M=2
those who had see in last 6	N=00	IN=4 /		N=113
months)				
Hed say with portnor of same	17(0820)	0.7(0.1.2.1)	NC	1 2 (0 5 2 2)
nau sex with partner of same	1.7 (0.8-3.9)	0.7 (0.1-3.1)	IND	1.3 (0.3-3.2)
sex in fast 1 year (among those who were mannied)				
who were marrieu)		1		

Note: M refers to median

\* Multiple responses

Not surprisingly males more commonly than females reported extramarital sex in both urban and rural areas (Table 9). However, although buying sex from sex workers was only reported by males, a small proportion of females in both areas said they had paid cash for sex. There were significant differences between males and females in urban areas in the proportions who reported different types of extramarital sex partners and in the locations they chose for extramarital sex. For partners, more females reported

extramarital sex with boyfriends compared to males with girlfriends and none of the females reported sex with strangers. For locations, more females than males reported having extramarital sex in partner's or own home while more males than females reported someone else's house, hotels, shops and other venues.

In the last six months, both males and females reported more than one extramarital sex partner although males had significantly more partners than females in both areas.

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural	
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between males and females (p-value)
Sex with partners other than spouse /regular partner in last 1 year (among those who were married)	43.6 (34.8- 52.8) N=310	10.6 (9.4-11.9) N=465	<0.05	23.0 (17.1- 30.4) N=437	6.5 (4.0-10.4) N=519	<0.05
Type of partners other than spouse/regular partner in last 1 year (among those who had sex in last 1 year)*	N=103	N=39		N=87	N=36	
Girlfriend/Boyfriend	45.2 (34.1- 56.8)	75.5 (60.2- 86.3)	< 0.05	29.8 (18.3- 44.6)	50.4 (32.3- 68.4)	NS
Sex worker	13.8 (12.8- 14.8)	0	-	1.4 (0.2-10.4)	0	-
Casual acquaintance or neighbour	43.1 (33.8- 52.9)	6.0 (0.6-38.5)	NS	69.0 (47.0- 84.8)	37.7 (16.9- 64.2)	NS
Relatives	2.6 (1.1-6.0)	6.4 (2.8-14.0)	NS	1.8 (0.4-8.6)	2.1 (0.2-15.2)	NS
Stranger	25.2 (18.7- 33.2)	0	-	12.8 (8.2-19.3)	4.8 (1.4-15.4)	NS
Others	0	11.4 (7.9-16.1)	-	0	9.0 (1.8-34.1)	-
Place of sex with partners other than spouse/partner in last 1 year (among those who had sex in last 1 year)*	N=103	N=39		N=87	N=36	
Own house/Partners house	53.7 (53.4- 54.1)	93.4 (86.2- 97.0)	<0.05	71.3 (65.0- 76.8)	82.6 (61.3- 93.4)	NS
Some one else house	14.8 (12.0- 18.2)	0.1 (0.0-2.4)	< 0.05	8.7 (3.7-19.5)	5.3 (1.2-20.8)	NS
Hotel	59.4 (48.1- 69.8)	7.1 (3.7-13.3)	< 0.05	11.6 (6.0-21.2)	2.3 (0.3-14.3)	NS
Workplace	2.6 (1.1-6.0)	0	-	18.8 (11.7- 29.0)	10.5 (3.7-26.4)	NS
Shop	0.1 (0.0-1.0)	0	-	0	3.9 (0.5-23.2)	_
Others	3.6 (1.7-7.6)	0	=	9.0 (2.9-24.9)	0	-
Paid/received cash/kind during sex with partner other	N=103	N=39		N=87	N=36	

 Table 9: Extramarital sex among married males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between males and females (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between males and females (p-value)		
than spouse/partner in last 1 year (among those who had sex in last 1 year)								
Paid cash	27.4 (24.5- 30.6)	13.9 (6.0-29.1)	NS	5.1 (1.9-12.5)	4.5 (1.2-15.4)	NS		
Paid kind	17.4 (16.3- 18.5)	0	-	13.1 (7.5-21.9)	0	-		
Received cash	0	2.2 (0.3-17.0)	-	0	17.0 (7.4-34.5)	-		
Received kind	0.2 (0.0-3.0)	0	-	0	7.4 (2.0-24.1)	-		
Not paid	62.6 (57.3- 67.6)	70.0 (49.6- 84.6)	NS	82.9 (76.2- 88.0)	76.4 (54.9- 89.6)	NS		
Mean number of sex	3.0 (2.5-3.6)	1.2 (1.2-1.2)	< 0.05	3.4 (2.7-4.6)	1.8 (1.5-2.2)	< 0.05		
partners other than	M=2	M=1		M=3	M=2			
spouse/partner in last	N=53	N=13		N=33	N=14			
6 months (among those who had sex in last 6 months )								
Had sex with same sex	2.3 (0.9-5.9)	1.4 (0.6-2.9)	NS	1.5 (0.4-6.0)	0	-		
in last 1 year (among	N=310	N=465		N=437	N=519			
those who were married)								

Note: M refers to median

\* Multiple responses

## 3.3.2. Sexual practices among unmarried respondents (Tables 10 and 11)

Close to half of the unmarried respondents reported having ever had sex and the mean age at first sex was below 18 years in both urban and rural areas. The majority of the sex acts were non-commercial. In both the areas the most common sex partner was a girlfriend/boyfriend. However, there were significant differences in partner types between urban and rural areas with girlfriends/boyfriends, sex workers and strangers being more common in urban than in rural areas. The location for sex was mostly in own or partner's house in both urban and rural settings but in urban areas, hotels were also very common sites. On average the respondents reported two sex partners in both urban and rural areas.

Sex with partners of the same sex was rarely reported from either urban or rural areas.

#### **Table 10: Sexual practices among unmarried respondents**

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless	Rural N=1659 unless	Comparison between urban	Total N=3535 unless
	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	and rural (p-value)	otherwise stated
Ever had sex (among those who	50.6 (45.3-55.1)	39.2 (33.1-45.7)	NS	47.5 (41.0-54.1)
were unmarried)	N=801	N=703		N=1504
Mean age at first sex (among	17.7 (17.3-18.0)	16.3 (16.0-16.7)	< 0.05	17.4 (16.8-18.0)
those who ever had sex and	M=18	M=16		M=17
were unmarried)	N=359	N=239		N=598
Paid/received cash/kind during	N=359	N=239		N=598
sex (among those who ever had				
sex and were unmarried)				
Paid cash	9.5 (5.7-15.4)	9.9 (4.7-19.8)	NS	9.6 (6.2-14.6)
Paid kind	1.7 (0.4-6.3)	2.9 (1.3-6.4)	NS	1.9 (0.6-5.7)
Received cash	2.9 (1.9-4.2)	2.0 (0.8-5.2)	NS	2.7 (1.7-4.2)
Received kind	1.8 (1.5-2.0)	1.5 (0.4-4.7)	NS	1.7 (1.4-2.2)
Not paid	85.9 (84.3-87.4)	85.6 (77.2-91.3)	NS	85.9 (83.8-87.7)
Type of sex partners in last	N=296	N=171		N=467
year (among those who had sex				
in last 1 year) <sup>*</sup>				
Girlfriend/Boyfriend	78.7 (77.1-80.2)	65.6 (55.7-74.3)	< 0.05	76.6 (72.1-80.6)
Sex worker	13.0 (6.9-23.3)	2.7 (0.9-7.7)	NS	11.4 (6.9-18.3)
Casual acquaintance/neighbour	31.6 (28.3-35.1)	34.5 (26.3-43.6)	NS	32.0 (28.5-35.8)
Relative	3.0 (1.8-4.9)	5.9 (2.2-14.9)	NS	3.5 (2.4-5.0)
Stranger	12.5 (11.3-13.9)	6.1 (2.4-14.4)	NS	11.5 (10.2-12.9)
Others	0.2 (0.0-3.3)	0.7 (0.2-3.2)	NS	0.3 (0.0-2.2)
Place of sex in last 1 year	N=296	N=171		N=467
(among those who had sex in				
last 1 year) <sup>*</sup>				
Own house/Partner's house	68.2 (66.7-69.6)	64.4 (51.9-75.1)	NS	67.6 (64.9-70.2)
Some one else's house	18.3 (12.8-25.6)	14.9 (7.1-28.4)	NS	17.8 (13.1-23.7)
Hotel	31.8 (23.5-41.4)	12.1 (6.6-21.1)	< 0.05	28.7 (23.2-34.8)
Workplace	4.5 (3.0-6.8)	2.6 (1.2-5.6)	NS	4.2 (2.6-6.6)
Shop	0.0 (0.0-0.9)	0.4 (0.1-3.3)	NS	0.1 (0.0-1.0)
Others	7.1 (4.9-10.1)	14.2 (8.8-22.7)	NS	8.3 (6.3-10.8)
Mean number of sex partners in	2.3 (2.0-2.5)	2.0 (1.6-2.5)	NS	2.2 (2.0-2.4)
last 6 months (among those who	M=18	M=16		M=17
had sex in last 6 months)	N=212	N=92		N=304
Had sex with partner of same	0.1 (0.0-1.6)	0.6 (0.1-4.4)	NS	0.2 (0.0-1.5)
sex in last 1year (among those	N=359	N=239		N=598
who ever had sex and were				
unmarried)				

Note: M refers to median

\* Multiple responses

The proportion of females reporting premarital sex was significantly lower than males in both urban and rural areas. The average age at first sex was higher for females than males especially in urban areas. None of the females paid cash for premarital sex but some in the rural area paid in kind. Concomitantly, none reported having sex with a sex worker. In both areas, more females than males reported receiving cash or kind in exchange for sex. In the rural area there were no gender differences in the types of partners for premarital sex. However, there were significant differences between males and females in urban areas in their premarital sex partners; females more than males reported boyfriends and casual acquaintances or neighbours while males more than females reported sex with strangers. Both males and females who had premarital sex had multiple sex partners and the mean number was significantly higher for males than females in urban areas.

Indicators		Urban			Rural	
% (95 % CI)	Male	Female	Comparison	Male	Female	Comparison
	N=787 unless	N=789 unless	between male	N=816 unless	N=843 unless	between
	otherwise	otherwise	and female	otherwise	otherwise	male and
	stated	stated	(p-value)	stated	stated	female
						(p-value)
Ever had sex	74.0 (69.8-	17.2 (12.1-	< 0.05	61.5 (54.5-	7.6 (3.3-16.4)	< 0.05
(among those who	(//./)	23.9)		68.0)	N=324	
A go of 1 <sup>st</sup> gov	N=4//	N=324	<0.05	N=3/9	19 2 (15 0 20 6)	NC
Age at 1 sex	17.5 (17.0-	19.8 (19.8-	<0.05	16.2 (13.9-	18.2(15.9-20.0) M=18	IN S
ever had sex and	M=17	M=20		M=16	N=13	
were unmarried)	N=329	N=30		N=216	1 25	
Paid/received	N=329	N=30		N=216	N=23	
cash/kind during						
having sex with						
partners in last						
one year (among						
those who ever						
had sex and were						
unmarried)	111((0174)	0		10.9 (5.2.20.0)	0	
Paid cash	11.1(6.9-1/.4)	0	-	10.8(5.2-20.9)	0	- NC
Palu Killu Received cash	2.0(0.3-7.1)			2.0(1.0-0.3)	0.4(1.4-24.1) 14.0(8.2.22.0)	INS <0.05
Received cash	1.4 (0.8-2.7)	11.4 (11.1-	<0.03	1.0 (0.2-4.3)	14.0 (8.2-22.9)	<0.03
Received kind	12(0.7-2.2)	51(35-73)	<0.05	0.6 (0.1-2.6)	117(38-308)	<0.05
Not paid	86 3 (83 9-	83 5 (81 5-	NS	86 6 (77 1-	74 2 (56 8-86 3)	NS
roopana	88.4)	85.3)	110	92.5)	,	110
Type of partners	N=266	N=30		N=150	N=21	
with whom had						
sex in last one						
year* (among						
those who ever						
had sex and were						
Unmarried)	76.0 (75.6	00 2 (07 2	<0.05	626 (52 )	916(526045)	NC
d	78 3)	88.2 (87.3-	<0.05	03.0 (52.4-	81.0 (33.0-94.3)	IN S
Sex worker	15 5 (8 4-26 8)	0	_	31(11-86)	0	-
Casual	34 4 (30 9-	169(154-	<0.05	38 2 (28 3-	41(06-234)	<0.05
acquaintance or	38.1)	18.4)	0.00	49.3)	(0.0 20)	0.00
neighbour	,	,		,		
Relative	2.6 (1.6-4.3)	5.1 (3.5-7.3)	NS	6.1 (2.1-16.8)	4.2 (0.5-27.3)	NS
Stranger	13.7 (12.8-	6.3 (4.7-8.5)	< 0.05	5.7 (1.9-15.8)	9.1 (1.9-33.5)	NS
	14.7)					
Others	0.2 (0.0-3.8)	0	-	0.4 (0.0-3.3)	3.1 (0.3-25.0)	NS
Place of sex with	N=266	N=30		N=150	N=21	
partners in last						
one year (among						
in last 1 wards						
Our house/northers	67 1 (65 7	72 8 (71 0	<0.05	60 1 (19 7	06.6(72.4.00.7)	<0.05
own nouse/partners	07.4 (03.7-	/2.0 (/1.0-	~0.03	00.4 (48.7-	<i>7</i> 0.0 ( <i>73</i> .4-99.7)	~0.03

 Table 11: Sexual practices among unmarried males and females

Indicators		Urban		Rural			
% (95 % CI)	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (p-value)	
house	69.0)	74.5)		71.5)			
Someone else house	19.9 (13.1- 29.0)	10.2 (7.0-14.5)	NS	16.7 (8.2-31.1)	16.7 (8.2-31.1)	NS	
Hotel	33.6 (14.2- 44.5)	22.1 (21.5- 22.8)	NS	13.1 (7.1-22.9)	3.4 (0.3-26.6)	NS	
Workplace	4.4 (2.9-6.7)	5.1 (3.5-7.3)	NS	3.0 (1.3-6.6)	0	-	
Shop	0.1 (0.0-1.1)	0	-	0.5 (0.1-4.1)	0	-	
Others	7.5 (5.1-10.8)	5.1 (3.5-7.3)	NS	16.2 (10.0- 25.2)	0	-	
Mean number of sex partners in past 6 months (among those who had sex in last 6 months)	2.4 (2.1-2.6) M=2 N=193	1.4 (1.4-1.4) M=1 N=19	<0.05	2.1 (1.6-2.6) M=1 N=83	1.5 (1.3-1.7) M=1 N=9	NS	
Had sex with same sex in last year (among those who ever had sex and were unmarried)	0.1 (0.0-1.8) N=329	0 N=30	-	0.6 (0.1-4.8) N=216	0 N=23	-	

Note: M refers to median

\* Multiple responses

## **3.4. CONDOMS**

#### 3.4.1. Knowledge about condoms (Tables 12 and 13)

Most of the respondents had heard about condoms and they also knew that condoms are used for contraception as well as for prevention of HIV; fewer knew that STIs could also be prevented by condoms. Most of the respondents had learnt about condoms from health workers. In rural areas, friends/peers, radio and health workers played a significant role in providing information on condoms while in urban areas TV, schools, general awareness programs and spouses played a prominent role.

Among those who had heard about condoms, very few did not know where condoms could be obtained and this was more common in rural than in urban areas. There were significant differences between rural and urban areas regarding where condoms were available which reflects the type of services available in the geographical areas. Irrespective of area, most said that condoms were easily accessible and knew the nearby source for condoms. About reuse of condoms, although most respondents knew that condoms can be used only once, more in the rural area did not know the number of times a condom can be used and believed that condoms can be used more than once.

More respondents in rural versus urban areas were taught by someone else how to use condoms and many felt that it was important that correct condom use be taught.

Table 12	: Knowl	edge a	bout	condoms
----------	---------	--------	------	---------

Indicators	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
% (95 % CI)	N =1576 unless otherwise stated	N =1659 unless otherwise stated	between urban and rural	N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Heard about condoms	99.1 (98.5-99.5)	98.9 (98.0-99.4)	(p-value) NS	99.0 (98.7-99.3)
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	110	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Knew why condom is used	N=1570	N=1637		N=3207
(among those who had heard				
about condoms)	<u>90 2 (94 6 02 7)</u>	<u> </u>	NC	<u> 96 2 (70 9 01 2)</u>
Provent HIV	89.3(84.0-92.7) 01.3(00.2.02.3)	80.5 (74.4-85.1)	NS NS	80.5 (79.8-91.5)
Prevent STI	36.6(32.5-41.0)	30.9(26.5-35.5)	NS	34.7(31.1-38.5)
Others	0.0 (0.0-0.19)	0.8(0.0-0.4)	NS	0.0(0.0-0.2)
Do not know	0.9 (0.6-1.3)	2 1 (1 1-4 4)	NS	13(07-23)
Sources of learning about	N=1570	N=1637	110	N=3207
condoms (among those who had				
heard about condoms)*				
Friend/peers	52.6 (49.3-55.9)	35.2 (31.4-39.1)	< 0.05	46.9 (41.1-52.7)
Radio	22.8 (22.4-23.3)	47.7 (41.4-54.0)	< 0.05	31.0 (24.0-39.0)
TV	66.5 (62.6-70.2)	22.0 (14.9-31.3)	< 0.05	51.9 (36.0-67.3)
School teacher/curriculum	35.0 (31.3-38.9)	22.5 (19.0-26.5)	< 0.05	30.9 (27.5-34.5)
Health workers	64.3 (60.2-68.1)	80.8 (77.3-83.9)	< 0.05	69.7 (61.5-76.8)
Husband/Wife	6.2 (5.1-7.4)	2.3 (1.6-3.8)	<0.05	5.0 (3.8-6.4)
Family/relatives	3.6 (2.4-5.1)	1.2 (0.7-2.2)	<0.05	2.8 (2.0-3.8)
Awareness program	33.0 (29.7-36.6)	27.0 (22.9-31.5)	NS	31.0 (28.7-33.5)
Uthers	3.6 (1.3-9.6)	$\frac{8.}{(0.0-11.3)}$	NS	5.3(2.5-10.8)
Knew where condoms are	N=1570	N=1637		N=3207
heard about condoms)*				
Hospital	94 1(93 3-94 8)	49 7 (39 0-60 5)	<0.05	79 5 (62 3-90 1)
ORC/BHU	150(131-172)	63 2 (52 9-72 5)	<0.05	30.9 (18.9-46.1)
Pharmacy	44.8 (39.1-50.7)	7.2 (3.5-14.3)	< 0.05	32.5 (19.2-49.2)
Shop	30.9 (29.2-32.6)	10.4 (6.5-16.2)	< 0.05	24.1 (17.3-32.6)
Village Health Worker	4.3 (3.6-5.1)	13.2 (9.1-19.1)	< 0.05	7.3 (4.9-10.7)
Condom/Daechong Box	29.1 (27.1-31.3)	18.7 (11.5-29.1)	NS	25.7 (21.2-30.9)
Others	4.5 (2.9-6.9)	3.2 (2.1-4.8)	NS	4.0 (2.7-6.1)
Do not know	0.4 (0.2-0.7)	2.3 (1.1-4.7)	< 0.05	1.0 (0.4-2.6)
Knew how many times condoms	N=1570	N=1637		N=3207
can be used (among those who				
had heard about condoms)				
Once	86.0 (81.8-88.7)	75.4 (71.8-78.6)	< 0.05	82.2 (76.4-86.9)
More than once	0.4 (0.2-1.3)	2.4 (1.6-3.6)	< 0.05	1.1 (0.5-2.6)
Do not know	14.0 (11.1-17.4)	22.2 (18.8-26.1)	< 0.05	16.7 (12.7-21.6)
Ever been taught how to use	44.6 (37.3-52.2)	71.2 (59.7-80.4)	< 0.05	52.7 (43.8-61.4)
condoms by anybody (among	N=142	N=123		N=265
those who had extramarital sex in				
last 1 year)	597(121725)	(42, 5, (42, 1, 90, 0))	NC	(0, 1, (17, 7, 71, 4))
use is needed (among those who	N=142	N=123	IND	N=265
had extramarital sex in last 1	11 172	11 123		11 203
vear)				
Ever been taught how to use	35.8 (27.8-44.7)	23.5 (16.6-32.1)	NS	33.3 (24.4-43.6)
condoms by anybody (among	N=359	N=239		N=598
those who were unmarried and				
ever had sex)				
Felt that teaching correct condom	52.5 (37.8-66.8)	63.5 (54.9-71.4)	NS	54.8 (44.1-65.8)

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N =1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N =1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p-value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
use is needed (among those who were unmarried and ever had sex)	N=359	N=239		N=598

\* Multiple responses

In rural areas the only difference between males and females on knowledge about the uses of condoms was that more females than males knew that condoms could prevent STI transmission. In contrast, in urban areas more males knew this. Although the proportions were small, more females in the urban areas did not know why condoms were used. In urban areas, more females than males learnt about condoms from their spouses, while more males than females learnt from other family members. Also, males were more likely to learn from awareness programs than females. In rural areas, the only difference between males and females was that more males than females knew that condoms from friends and peers. Irrespective of area, more males than females knew that condoms can be used only once while more females did not know how many times a condom can be used.

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban		Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compari son between male and female (p-value)	
Heard about condom	99.9 (98.7-100.0)	98.4 (96.7-99.2)	NS	99.9 (99.4- 100.0)	97.7 (96.2- 98.8)	NS	
Knew why condom is used (among those who had heard about condom) <sup>*</sup>	N=785	N=785		N=814	N=823		
For contraception	85.0 (79.8-89.0)	93.6 (89.4-96.2)	<0.05	74.9 (68.2- 80.6)	85.8 (80.0- 90.1)	NS	
Prevent HIV	93.8 (92.1-95.1)	88.9 (85.6-91.5)	<0.05	89.8 (85-93.2)	82.2 (69.7- 90.3)	NS	
Prevent STI	44.6 (49.2-50.8)	28.8 (24.1-34.0)	<0.05	39.8 (33.3- 46.7)	21.7 (16.5- 27.9)	< 0.05	
Others	0	0.0 (0.0-0.4)	NS	0.2 (0.0-0.9)	0.1 (0.0-0.9)	NS	
Do not know	0.5 (0.4-0.6)	1.3 (0.8-2.1)	< 0.05	0.4 (0.1-1.1)	1.3 (0.7-2.3)	NS	
Sources of learning about condoms (among those who heard about condoms)*	N=785	N=785		N=814	N=823		
Friends/peers	57.7 (56.2-59.3)	52.5 (47.5-57.5)	<0.05	28.7 (24.5- 33.2)	46.9 (41.1- 52.7)	< 0.05	
Radio	22.8 (21.5-24.2)	22.9 (20.8-25.1)	NS	45.6 (38.4-	49.8 (42.5-	NS	

Table	13: K	Knowledg	e about	condoms	among	males	and	females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban		Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compari son between male and female (p-value)
				52.9)	57.2)	
TV	62.8 (61.3-64.2)	70.2 (63.6-76.1)	NS	22.5 (15.8- 31.0)	21.5 (13.1- 33.1)	NS
School teacher/curriculum	35.8 (31.6-40.2)	34.3 (31.1-37.6)	NS	23.9 (19.9- 28.5)	21.1 (16.6-26.4)	NS
Health workers	64.2 (56.9-70.8)	64.4 (60.6-68.0)	NS	84.1 (78.0- 88.8)	77.4 (72.6- 81.6)	NS
Husband/Wife	2.2 (0.9-5.2)	10.1 (9.2-11.1)	< 0.05	1.3 (0.6-2.7)	3.7 (2.6-5.3)	NS
Awareness program	41.2 (37.4-45.1)	25.1 (20.6-30.1)	< 0.05	25.4 (20.5- 31.0)	31.1 (28.7-	NS
Family/relatives	1.7 (1.3-2.2)	5.3 (3.5-8.3)	< 0.05	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	1.4 (0.8-2.4)	NS
Others	2.4 (1.3-4.5)	6.2 (1.8-18.9)	NS	6.8 (4.9-9.4)	13.5 (9.2-19.3)	NS
Knew where condoms are available (among those who had heard about condoms)*	N=785	N=785		N=814	N=823	~
Hospital	91.7 (91.2 -92.1)	96.4 (95.1-97.4)	< 0.05	47.9 (38.7- 57.3)	51.6 (37.4- 65.5)	NS
ORC/BHU	18.3 (15.3-21.9)	11.8 (10.8-12.8)	< 0.05	72.5 (63.2- 80.2)	53.7 (39.4- 67.5)	NS
Pharmacy	50.2 (43.5-56.9)	39.5 (27.0-53.3)	NS	12.7 (5.7-25.7)	1.7 (0.8-3.5)	NS
Shop	33.2 (27.8-39.0)	28.6 (24.5 - 33.1)	NS	9.0 (4.3-17.8)	11.9 (8.2-17.1)	< 0.05
Village Health Worker	4.9 (3.4-7.0)	3.7 (3.4-4.0)	NS	13.8 (8.9-20.6)	12.9 (8.6-18.9)	NS
Condom / Daechong Box	38.6 (35.9-41.3)	19.8 (17.8-22.0)	< 0.05	20.7 (12.7- 31.8)	16.7 (9.1-28.8)	< 0.05
Others	5.5 (3.5-8.4)	3.5 (2.2-5.5)	NS	4.4 (3.0-6.5)	1.9 (1.0-3.7)	< 0.05
Do not know	0.1 (0.0-1.1)	0.6 (0.4-0.9)	NS	1.4 (0.7-2.8)	3.2 (1.4-7.4)	NS
Knew how many times a condom can be used (among those who had heard about condom)	N=785	N=785		N=814	N=823	
Once	95.1 (90.7-97.5)	76.2 (71.7-80.2)	< 0.05	85.5 (80.2- 89.5)	65.0 (59.5- 70.2)	< 0.05
More than once	0.9 (0.3-2.4)	0.0 (0.0-0.4)	NS	2.9 (1.8-4.5)	1.9 (1.1-3.3)	NS
Do not know	4.0 (2.1-7.6)	23.8 (19.8-28.2)	<0.05	11.7 (7.8-17.0)	33.0 (27.7- 38.9)	< 0.05
Ever been taught how to use condoms by anybody (among those who had extramarital sex in last 1 year)	43.1 (32.6-54.3) N=103	48.3 (44.0-52.7) N=39	NS	74.5 (61.7- 84.0) N=87	61.7 (38.3- 80.7) N=36	NS
Felt that teaching correct condom use is needed (among those who had	56.7 (37.1-74.4) N=103	63.5 (54.9-71.3) N=39	NS	56.9 (32.6- 78.2) N=87	82.5 (65.1- 92.2) N=36	NS

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural	
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compari son between male and female (p-value)
extramarital sex in last 1 year)						
Ever been taught how to use condoms by anybody (among those who are unmarried and ever had sex)	46.7 (40.2-57.2) N=329	19.1 (12.2-29.0) N=30	<0.05	62.9 (50.7- 73.6) N=216	53.6 (34.2- 71.8) N=23	NS
Felt that teaching correct condom use is needed (among those who were unmarried and ever had sex)	52.4 (36.6-67.7) N=329	53.5 (45.0-61.7) N=30	NS	62.7 (53.8- 70.6) N=216	73.5 (54.8- 86.5) N=23	NS

Multiple responses

#### 3.4.2. Access to condoms and barriers to access (Tables 14 and 15)

Most said they had easy access to condoms but this was less common for females than males. A substantial proportion, particularly in rural areas, felt shy to buy a condom which was also more often reported by females. Many worried about a negative community reaction towards unmarried people buying condoms and this again was a more common feeling among females compared to males.

#### Table 14: Access to condoms and barriers to access

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N =1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N =1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (P- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Had easy access to condoms (among those who had heard about condoms)	76.0 (72.4-79.2) N=1570	74.2 (67.9-79.7) N=1637	NS	75.4 (72.0-78.5) N=3207
Felt shy to get condoms	31.9 (30.4- 33.5)	43.5 (40.3-46.8)	<0.05	35.7 (31.1-40.6)
Worried that people will think badly if an unmarried person buys condoms	46.4 (45.0-47.8)	53.7 (50.8-56.6)	<0.05	48.8 (45.9-51.7)

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (p-value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (p-value)
Had easy access to condoms (among those who had heard about condoms)	83.3 (82.1- 84.3) N=785	68.8 (62.0-74.9) N=785	<0.05	83.3 (77.7- 87.7) N=814	65.0 (54.9- 73.9) N=823	<0.05
Felt shy to get condoms	24.0 (21.6- 26.5)	39.7 (36.1-42.6)	< 0.05	30.9 (25.3- 37.1)	56.1 (51.7- 60.4)	< 0.05
Worried that people will think badly if an unmarried person buys condoms	33.3 (29.9- 36.8)	59.1 (53.5-64.5)	<0.05	38.1 (32.1- 44.6)	69.3 (65.8- 72.5)	<0.05

 Table 15: Access to condoms and barriers to access among males and females

## 3.4.3. Condom use in extramarital sex (Tables 16 and 17)

As described before (in section 3.3.1.) extramarital sex was defined as sex with partners other than spouses or regular sex partners. Of the married respondents, 113 reported having extramarital sex in the last six months (Table 5) of whom 18% never used condoms. Among those who used condoms, consistent use was more commonly reported by urban respondents. Although the most common reason cited for using condoms was for contraception, a substantial proportion said they used condoms for protection against HIV and STIs and interestingly this was more commonly stated in rural areas compared to urban areas.

Sometimes it is possible that a person considers or thinks of using condoms during sex but for different reason does not do so. Therefore, those respondents who had not used condoms were asked if they had considered using one and if so, why they had not used it. Only about 10% said that they had thought of using condoms and among these, more than half (54.7%) did not do so because a condom was not handy at that time.

#### Table 16: Condom use in extramarital sex

Indicators	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
% (95 % CI)	N=775 unless otherwise stated	N=956 unless otherwise stated	between urban and rural	N=1731 unless otherwise stated
			(p-value)	
Condom use in last extramarital	76.2 (71.6-80.2)	63.6 (46.7-77.7)	NS	79.7 (66.5-80.0)
sex in last 6 months (among those	N=66	N=47		N=113
who had extramarital sex in last 6				
months)				
Frequency of using condoms in	N=66	N=47		N=113
last 6 months in extramarital sex				
(among those who had				
extramarital sex in last 6 months)				
Always	59.9 (57.0-62.7)	30.1 (12.3-25.4)	<0.05	59.4 (55.7-63.0)
Sometimes	25.3 (24.0-26.7)	12.2 (5.2-25.9)	NS	22.6 (18.0-28.1)
Never	14.8 (11.8-18.5)	30.2 (11.4-47.0)	NS	18.0 (12.3-25.4)
Reasons for using condoms during	N=57	N=35		N=92
extramarital sex in last 6 months				
(among those who had				
extramarital sex and used condoms				
In last 6 months)	02.0 (95.4.05.9)	97.2 (69.0.05.4)	NC	011(9(4042))
Contraception / prevent pregnancy	92.0 (85.4-95.8)	87.2 (08.9-95.4)	NS	91.1 (80.4-94.3)
Prevent HIV	65.4(63.8-67.0)	98.6 (88.5-99.8)	< 0.05	71.2(61.5-79.3)
Prevent S11	30.8 (25.7-36.5)	60.2 (44.6-74.1)	< 0.05	36.0 (26.4-46.8)
Reasons for not using condoms in	N=9	N=12		N=21
extramarital sex in last 6 months				
(among those who had				
condoms in last 6 months)*				
L do not like	34 3 (25 6-44 2)	35.0.(6.2-81.4)	NS	34 5 (10 5-53 5)
Partner does not like	67(03-598)	0	115	$\frac{1}{1}$
Bad experience	0.7 (0.3-37.8)	120(22.449)		4.1(0.7-7.1)
Was not available at that time	13.7 (0.6-80.9)	$\frac{12.0(2.2-44.9)}{46.9(14.6-82.0)}$	NS	4.1(0.7-2.1) 25.1(4.8-69.0)
No reason	15.7(0.0-30.9)	13.8(2.1-53.8)	NS	57(0.9-3.3)
Others	50.5(12.3-88.1)	0	115	331(60.794)
Thought of using condoms but did	17.9(16.5-10.3)	$\frac{0}{23.0(14.6-34.2)}$	NS	10.0(16.5-21.7)
not use (among those who were	$N=65^{\Phi}$	N=47	110	$N=112^{\Phi}$
married and who had extramarital	1005	18 4/		11 112
sex in last 6 months)				
Reasons for not using condoms	N=9	N=11		N=20
(among those who had	/			
extramarital sex and thought of				
using condoms but did not use in				
last 6 months)				
Partner did not like	14.6 (0.5-85.5)	17.8 (3.5-56.2)	NS	15.4 (1.3-71.4)
Was not available at that time	65.3 (34.3-87.1)	82.3 (43.8-96.5)	NS	69.6 (47.1-85.5)
Others	20.2 (9.0-39.3)	0	NS	15.0 (4.8-38.4)

\*Multiple responses <sup>Φ</sup> 1 observation is missing

In rural areas, the number of females having extramarital sex and using condoms during this sex were very few (n=13). The reasons cited for using condoms were similar for males and females in rural areas; in urban areas none of the female mentioned prevention of STI as a reason for using condoms. More males than

females said they always used condoms during extramarital sex in last six months in urban areas.

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural	
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
Condom use in last extramarital sex in last 6 months (among those who had extramarital sex in last 6 months)	84.4 (83.9-85.0) N= 53	44.0 (35.2-53.1) N=13	<0.05	74.6 (59.8- 85.3) N=33	35.9 (12.4- 68.9) N=14	NS
Frequency of using condoms in last 6 months in extramarital sex (among those who had extramarital sex in last 6 months)	N= 53	N=13		N=33	N=14	
Always	69.1 (67.3-70.8)	23.6 (22.8-24.5)	< 0.05	72.3 (57.6- 83.4)	20.8 (4.6-58.5)	NS
Sometimes	26.6 (24.7-28.7)	20.3 (13.1-30.1)	NS	11.0 (3.2-31.6)	15.2 (2.7-53.5)	NS
Never	4.3 (2.4-7.5)	56.0 (46.9-64.8)	<0.05	16.7 (6.5-36.6)	64.1 (31.1- 87.6)	NS
Reasons for using condoms during extramarital sex in last 6 months (among those who had extramarital sex and used condom in last 6 months)	N=52	N=5		N=30	N=5	
For contraception / prevent pregnancy	91.1 (84.0-95.2)	100.0	-	89.0 (69.5- 96.6)	76.5 (30.5- 96.0)	NS
Prevent HIV	67.7 (65.3-70.0)	46.2 (36.5-56.2)	< 0.05	98.3 (86.8- 99.8)	100.0	-
Prevent STI	34.4 (29.1-40.2)	0	-	63.8 (47.4- 77.5)	39.7 (4.9-89.3)	NS
Reasons for not using condoms in extramarital sex in last 6	N=1	N=8		N=3	N=9	

<b>Table 17: Condom</b>	use in extra	marital sex an	nong males :	and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	
months (among those who had extramarital sex and did not use condom in last 6 months)*							
I do not like	100.0	14.6 (0.05-98.3)	-	88.1 (0.8- 100.0)	0	-	
Partner does not like	0	8.7 (0.6-60.5)	-	0	0	-	
Bad experience	0	0	-	0	19.9 (4.2-58.5)	-	
Was not available at that time	0	17.8 (1.0-82.7)	-	19.2 (1.1-83.6)	65.1 (26.5- 90.6)	NS	
No reason	0	2.0 (0.1-36.8)	-	11.9 (0.7-72.9)	15.0 (1.4-69.4)	NS	
Others	0	65.6 (4.5-98.7)	-	0	0	-	
Thought of using a condom but did not use (among those who were married and had extramarital sex in last 6 months)	17.3 (14.3-20.5) N=52	20.1 (13.1-30.1) N=13	NS	20.6 (18.8- 41.0) N=33	8.8 (1.9-33.0) N=14	NS	
Reasons for not using condoms (among those who were married and thought of using condoms but did not use in last 6 months)	N=27	N=34		N=24	N=36		
Partner did not like	4.3 (0.2-46.0)	52.8 (50.3-55.3)	<0.05	22.5 (10.5- 41.8)	33.4 (18.6- 52.3)	NS	
Was not available at that time	64.5 (61.1-67.7)	45.9 (44.2-47.6)	<0.05	56.7 (25.8- 83.1)	58.4 (47.6- 68.6)	NS	
Others	31.3 (19.0-46.9)	1.2 (0.0-23.3)	NS	20.8 (8.6-42.4)	8.2 (2.0-28.0)	NS	

\* Multiple responses

## 3.4.4. Condom use among unmarried respondents (Tables 18 and 19)

Among 304 respondents who had premarital sex in the last six months, 212 were in urban areas and 92 in rural areas (Table 10). Although reported condom use during premarital sex was high, a substantial proportion used condoms some of the times. The most common reasons for using condoms were for contraception and for protection from HIV and STIs.

Approximately one third of respondents thought of using a condom during sex but did not use it. The most common reason for not using condoms despite thinking of it was the lack of availability of condoms at the time. Additionally, more than one quarter of the respondents in the urban area said that a reason for not using a condom was that their partner did not like condoms; this response was significantly lower in the rural areas.

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=801 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=703 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p-value)	Total N=1504 unless otherwise stated
Used condom in last sex	73.5 (63.7-81.4)	73.3 (61.9-82.2)	NS	73.5 (64.7-80.7)
during last 6 months	N=212	N=92		N=304
(among those who had sex				
in last 6 months)				
Frequency of using	N=212	N=92		N=304
condoms in last 6 months				
(among those who had sex				
in last 6 months)			210	
Always	49.0 (41.1-56.9)	55.7 (46.8-64.3)	NS	49.7 (41.8-57.6)
Sometimes	38.5 (34.4-42.8)	27.1 (18.1-38.4)	NS	37.3 (32.2-42.8)
Never	12.5 (9.2-16.7)	17.2 (9.9-28.3)	NS	13.0 (10.3-16.3)
Reasons for using condoms	N=190	N=74		N=264
during sex in last 6 months				
(among those who had sex				
in last 6 months and used				
Condoms)	90.0 (95.5.02.0)	90.5 (72.0.0(.2)	NC	20.2 (25.2 02.2)
For contraception	89.9 (85.5-95.0)	89.5 (73.9-90.5)	INS NC	<u>89.8 (85.8-92.8)</u>
Prevent HIV	$\frac{60.3(55.7-64.7)}{24.0(21.8.2(2))}$	/1.6 (54.5-84.1)	INS NG	$\frac{61.4(55.5-67.0)}{25.2(21.(-20.0))}$
Prevent S11	34.0 (31.8-36.3)	46.2 (33.0-	NS	35.2 (31.6-39.0)
Otheres	0.1(0.(.1.4))	60.0)		0.1 (0.0.1.1)
Others	0.1 (0.6-1.4)	0	-	0.1 (0.0-1.1)
Do not know	1.0 (0.6-1.9)	U N. 10	-	0.9 (0.5-1.9)
Reasons for not using	N=22	N=18		N=40
condom during sex in last o				
months (among those who				
and never used condoms)*				
I do not like	18 9 (11 0-30 6)	35 8 (13 5-66 6)	NS	21 3 (11 5-36 0)
Partner does not like	30.6 (23.3-39.1)	0	110	26 3 (15 1-41 8)
Bad experience with condom	07(00-161)	5 5 (0 7-34 0)	NS	14 (0 1-14 1)
Was not available at that	27.5 (20 5-35 8)	32.3 (10 7-35 8)	NS	28.2 (20 5-37 5)
time	2,10 (2010 0010)			
Expensive	0.3 (0.0-8.6)	0		0.3 (0.0-6.2)
Using other family planning methods	7.3 (5.6-9.4)	10.9 (1.6-48.1)	NS	7.8 (5.4-11.2)

#### Table 18: Condom use among unmarried respondents

Indicators	Urban Rural		Comparison	Total	
% (95 % CI)	N=801 unless	N=703 unless	between urban	N=1504 unless	
/* (/** /*****)	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	and rural	otherwise stated	
			(p-value)		
No reason	9.1 (7.5-10.9)	0		7.8 (5.3-11.4)	
Others	7.7 (6.4-9.3)	10.2 (2.0-39.0)	NS	8.1 (5.9-10.9)	
Thought of using condoms	35.8 (27.8-44.7)	23.5 (16.6-32.1)	NS	33.3 (24.4-43.6)	
but did not use (among	N=359	N=239		N=598	
those who were unmarried					
and ever had sex)					
Reasons for not using	N=95	N=42		N=137	
condoms (among those who					
were unmarried and					
thought of using condoms					
but did not use)					
Partner did not like	28.8 (24.8-33.2)	7.7 (3.0-18.6)	< 0.05	25.8 (18.4-35.0)	
Was not available at that	57.2 (48.3-65.6)	79.9 (66.6-88.7)	NS	60.4 (47.9-71.7)	
time					
Others	14.0 (10.0-19.3)	12.4 (3.8-33.9)	NS	13.8 (9.8-19.2)	

\* Multiple responses

In the rural area, only nine females had premarital sex in the last six months of whom 39.3% never used condoms during extramarital sex. Of the five women who ever used condoms all did so as prevention for HIV. In urban areas, condom use was more commonly reported by males than females. More males than females said this was for HIV or STI prevention while more females than males used for contraception.

Indicators	Urban			Rural		
% (95% CI)	Male	Female	Comparison	Male	Female	Comparis
× ,	N=787 unless	N=788 unless	between male	N=815 unless	N=843 unless	on
	otherwise	otherwise stated	and female	otherwise stated	otherwise	between
	stated		(P- value)		stated	male and
						female
						(P- value)
Used condom in	77.9 (70.9-83.6)	44.7 (25.4-65.8)	< 0.05	74.5 (62.7-83.6)	60.8 (43.0-	NS
last sex during	N=193	N=19		N=83	76.1)	
last 6 months					N=9	
(among those						
who had sex in						
last 6 months)						
Frequency of	N=193	N=19		N=83	N=9	
using condoms						
in last 6 months						
(among those						
who had sex in						
last 6 months)						
Always	50.5 (42.4-58.7)	38.7 (34.0-43.7)	NS	55.2 (45.6-64.5)	60.8 (43.0-	NS
~ .					76.1)	
Sometimes	40.9 (34.2-48.0)	22.8 (13.6-35.5)	NS	29.8 (20.8-40.5)	0	-
Never	8.6 (7.3-10.0)	38.5 (24.6-54.7)	< 0.05	15.1 (7.9-26.9)	39.2 (23.9-	NS
Reasons for	N=176	N=14		N=69	N=5	
using condoms	1, 170	1, 17		1, 0)	11.5	
during sex in						
last 6 months						
iast v montils	l	l	1	1	l	
Indicators		Urban		Rural		
---	---	--	--	--	---	---
% (95% CI)	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparis on between male and female (P- value)
(among those who had sex in last 6 months and used condoms)*						
For contraception	88.9 (84.1-92.4)	99.3 (86.1-100.0)	NS	90.0 (73.3-96.7)	82.4 (28.8- 98.2)	NS
Prevent HIV	61.9 (55.1-68.4)	44.1 (29.0-60.4)	< 0.05	69.6 (52.7-82.4)	100.0	-
Prevent STI	35.7 (33.4-38.0)	17.5 (15.4-19.9)	< 0.05	45.3 (32.0-59.4)	59.1 (9.5-95.2)	NS
Others	0	0.7 (0.0-13.9)	-	0	0	-
Do not know	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	0	-	0	0	-
Reasons for not using condoms during sex in last 6 months (among those who had sex in	N=17	N=5		N=14	N=4	
last 6 months and never used condoms) <sup>*</sup>						
I do not like	31.8 (21.8-43.9)	0	-	45.0 (17.5-75.8)	0	-
Partner does not like	12.2 (7.8 - 18.6)	57.6 (57.6-57.6)	< 0.05	0	0	-
Bad experience with condom	1.2 (0.0-22.9)	0	-	0	27.2 (5.0-72.6)	-
Was not available at that time	31.8 (21.8 - 43.9)	21.2 (21.2-21.2)	NS	33.7 (8.9-72.3)	27.0 (5.0-72.3)	NS
Expensive	0.6 (0.0-12.7)	0	-	0	0	-
Using other family planning methods	12.3 (7.8-18.7)	0	-	2.0 (0.2-16.1)	45.8 (3.6-95.0)	NS
No reason	0.8 (0.0-16.7)	21.2 (21.2-21.2)	< 0.05	0	0	-
Others	13.0 (9.4-17.8)	0	-	12.8 (2.4-46.3)	0	-
Thought of using condoms but did not use (among those who were unmarried and ever had sex)	35.8 (27.8-44.6) N=329	36.1 (27.6-45.6) N=30	NS	23.9 (17.0-32.6) N=216	18 (5.3-46.2) N=23	NS
Reasons for not using condoms (among those who were unmarried and thought of using a condom but did not use)	N=87	N=8		N=38	N=4	
Partner did not like	23.9 (19.8-28.5)	57.8 (52.8-62.6)	<0.05	4.2 (1.1-14.3)	62.7 (15.8- 93.8)	< 0.05
Was not available at that time	59.7 (49.1-69.5)	42.2 (37.4-47.2)	NS	82.6 (64.5-92.5)	37.3 (6.2-84.2)	NS
Others	16.4 (11.2-23.4)	0	-	13.2 (4.2-34.7)	0	-

\* Multiple responses

### 3.5. Experience of forced sex among unmarried respondents (Tables 20 and 21)

Only unmarried respondents were asked questions on forced sex. Forced sex was reported by approximately six percent of unmarried respondents from both urban and rural areas (Table 20) and it was more commonly reported by females (Table 21). The most common perpetrators of forced sex were girlfriend/boyfriend, casual acquaintance/neighbour and strangers. Few males in urban areas said that sex workers forced them for sex (Table 21).

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=801 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=703 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p-value)	Total N=1504 unless otherwise stated
Ever experienced forced sex	6.3 (6.0-6.7)	6.3 (2.8-13.6)	NS	6.3 (5.4-7.5)
(among those who ever had sex)	N=359	N=239		N=598
Perpetrators of forced sex (among those who experienced forced sex)	N=18	N=17		N=35
Girlfriend/Boyfriend	41.2 (32.9-50.0)	42.8 (19.2-70.3)	NS	41.5 (32.7-51.0)
Casual acquaintance/neighbour	38.7 (33.6-44.0)	50.1 (24.7-75.5)	NS	41.0 (33.2-49.2)
Relative	0	3.1 (0.3-26.5)	-	0.6 (0.0-7.6)
Stranger	16.2 (7.1-32.9)	7.1 (1.1-34.1)	NS	14.4 (7.5-25.6)
Sex worker	9.8 (5.0-18.3)	0	-	7.8 (2.9-19.3)

#### Table 20: Experience of forced sex among unmarried respondents

Tabla 21. Fv	norionco of	forced cov	omona	unmarriad	malas and	famalas
I abit 21. EA	per lence or	IUICEU SEA	among	ummarricu	maits and	ICHIAICS

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
Ever experienced	5.5 (5.2-5.8)	11.4 (11.1-	< 0.05	5.2 (1.9-13.3)	19.4 (7.3-	NS
forced sex	N=329	11.3) N-20		N=216	42.4)	
(among those who ever had		N=30			N=23	
sex)						
Perpetrators of	N=15	N=3		N=13	N=4	
forced sex						
(among those						
who experienced						
forced sex)	40.0 (22.9	445 (27.4	NC	22.0 (15.7	71 (10 2 0( 2)	NC
Girimiend/Boymie	40.0 (23.8-	44.5 (27.4-	NS	59.2)	/1 (19.3-96.2)	IN S
llu Casual	30.0)	(05.0)	NS	30.3) 66.2 (41.7	0	
acquaintance/peig	50.6	44.3 (27.4- 63.0)	110	84 3)	U	-
hbour	50.0)	05.0)		0.5)		
Relative	0	0	-	4.0 (0.4-33.3)	0	-

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
Stranger	18.0 (14.7- 21.8)	11.0 (0.3-21.8)	NS	0	29.0 (3.9- 80.7)	-
Sex worker	13.2 (6.1-26.3)	0	-	0	0	-

### 3.6. Confidence in ones own ability to ensure protective behaviours (Tables 22 and 23)

Several questions were asked to determine how confident the respondent felt that s/he could take action that would protect herself/himself from unwanted situations and infections. The questions were on saying no to sex when not desired, confidence in buying condoms and seeking STI services. The majority of the respondents in both areas expressed confidence in being able to handle difficult situations and to be able to protect themselves.

	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
Indicators	N =1576 unless	N =1659 unless	between urban	N=3235 unless
% (95 % CI)	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	and rural	otherwise stated
			(p- value)	
Confident to refuse when they do not	69.1 (62.6-75.0)	75.0 (70.7-79.0)	NS	71.1 (67.3-74.6)
want to have sex	N=1575*	N=1658*		N=3233**
Confident to ask for STI services at a	92.2 (88.6-94.8)	93.9 (91.0-95.9)	NS	92.8 (90.6-94.5)
nearby health centre	N=1575*	N=1658*		N=3233**
Confident to buy condoms from nearby	52.0 (50.4-53.6)	53.1 (48.0-58.2)	NS	52.4 (50.5-54.3)
shop even if the owner knows	N=1575*	N=1658*		N=3233**
respondent's family				
Confident to ask spouse/partner to use	80.1 (73.5-85.5)	82.7 (77.0-87.2)	NS	81.0 (75.8-85.3)
condoms (among female respondents)	N=788*	N=843		N=1631*
Confident to convince/negotiate with	74.6 (67.5-80.6)	79.7 (73.4-84.8)	NS	76.2 (70.8-10.1)
spouse/partner to use condoms (among	N=788*	N=843		N=1631*
female respondents)				

#### Table 22: Confidence in ones own ability to ensure protective behaviours

\*1 observation is missing \*\*2 observations are missing

More females than males felt that they could say no to sex while more males than females were confident that they could buy condoms.

Table 23: Confidence in ones own ability to ensure protective behaviours among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
Confident to refuse	51.3 (48.1-	86.4 (76.4-	< 0.05	66.1 (62.8-69.3)	83.9 (77.4-	< 0.05
when they do not	54.6)	92.6)		N=815*	88.9)	
want to have sex		N=788*				
Confident to ask for	93.4 (84.9-	91.1 (90.3-	NS	95.2 (88.6-98.1)	92.5 (89.5-	NS
STI services at a	97.3)	91.8)		N=815*	94.7)	
nearby health		N=788*				
centre						
Confident to buy	61.2 (58.1-	43.1 (40.9-	< 0.05	61.7 (54.5-68.4)	44.5 (37.4-	< 0.05
condom from	64.1)	45.4)		N=815*	51.9)	
nearby shop even if	·	N=788*				
the owner knows						
respondent's family						

1 observation is missing

### **3.7. KNOWLEDGE ABOUT HIV/STIs**

#### 3.7.1. Knowledge about transmission of HIV and STIs (Tables 24 and 25)

Most of the respondents in both urban and rural areas had heard about HIV/AIDS and STIs and knew that unprotected sex with an infected person can lead to infection with HIV or STIs. In general a greater proportion of urban respondents knew about the routes of HIV and STI transmission compared to rural respondents but the knowledge level for HIV was higher than that for STIs.

# Table: 24: Knowledge on HIV and STI transmission

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
	N=1576 unless	N=1659 unless	between urban and	N=3235 unless
	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	rural	otherwise stated
Hoard about HIV/AIDS	00 / (00 1-00 6)	07.5 (06.0.08.5)	(p- value)	08 7 (07 6 00 3)
Heard about STIs	<u>99.4 (99.1-99.0)</u> <u>81 1 (70 4-82 7)</u>	72.8 (66.1-78.6)	NS	78.7(97.0-99.3)
Knowledge on modes of	$\frac{01.1(79.4-02.7)}{N-1567}$	N-1619	INS	10.4(74.3-82.0) N=2185
HIV transmission (among	IN-1307	IN-1018		N=3163
those who had heard about				
HIV/AIDS) <sup>§</sup>				
Sex with HIV infected person	74.7 (72.1-77.0)	69.3 (62.5-75.3)	NS	72.9 (69.1-76.4)
Receiving HIV infected blood	55.0 (52.5-57.5)	34.5 (25.9-44.3)	< 0.05	48.5 (41.9-54.9)
Using non-sterile	54.0 (52.3-55.8)	31.5 (26.2-37.2)	< 0.05	46.7 (38.4-55.1)
needles/syringes				
Through pregnancy/delivery	19.6 (15.8-24.0)	4.2 (2.6-6.5)	< 0.05	14.6 (8.6-23.7)
by an HIV infected mother				
Through breast feeding by an	5.3 (4.8-5.8)	1.6 (0.7-3.7)	< 0.05	4.1 (3.0-5.6)
HIV infected mother				
Not using a condom during	47.6 (44.7-50.7)	37.9 (30.6-45.8)	NS	44.5 (40.7-48.3)
sex				
Having sex with a sex a	20.0 (17.6-22.7)	12.5 (9.0-17.2)	NS	17.6 (13.9-22.0)
worker				
Having multiple sex partners	28.4 (22.0-35.9)	24.7 (22.6-26.9)	NS	27.2 (23.2-31.7)
Others	6.5 (4.0-10.2)	9.5 (7.4-12.1)	NS	7.5 (5.3-10.4)
Do not know	2.6 (1.7-3.9)	9.0 (5.7-13.7)	< 0.05	4.7 (2.5-8.4)
Knowledge on modes of STI	N=1575	N=1658		N=3233
transmission (among all				
respondents) <sup>s</sup>		50 1 (50 1 (7 5)	210	
Unprotected sex with a	69.5 (66.3-72.6)	59.1 (50.1-67.5)	NS	66.1 (60.3-71.4)
person who has S11	15 4 (12 2 17 7)	7.0 (4.0.11.()	-0.07	12 ( (10 0 15 0)
Receiving blood	15.4 (13.3-17.7)	/.0 (4.2-11.6)	< 0.05	12.6 (10.0-15.8)
Through an an an an (daliaam)	72((295))	2 4 (2 0 5 0)	<0.05	(0(4074))
when a mother has STI	7.3 (0.3-8.3)	5.4 (2.0-5.9)	<0.05	0.0 (4.9-7.4)
Not using a condom	128(108118)	27.6(10.4, 37.7)	<0.05	27.8 (21.4.44.6)
during sex	72.0 (40.0-44.0)	27.0 (17.4-37.7)	~0.05	57.0 (51.4-44.0)
Having sex with a sex worker	15 7 (14 9-16 5)	62(39-99)	<0.05	126(94-166)
Having multiple sex partners	264(256-272)	17.3(14.2-20.8)	<0.05	23 4 (20 4-26 6)
Others	3 2 (1 5-6 7)	72(49-104)	NS	45(24.84)
Do not know	$\frac{5.2(1.3-0.7)}{15.6(11.3-21.3)}$	30.2 (22.7-30.0)	<0.05	204(139-200)
DO HOU KHOW	13.0 (11.3-21.3)	50.2(22.7-59.0)	~0.05	20.4 (13.3-23.0)

<sup>§</sup>Multiple responses <sup>1</sup> observation is missing <sup>\*\*</sup> 2 observations are missing

Generally males provided more correct responses than females to questions on modes of HIV and STI transmission particularly in the urban area.

Indicators		Urban		Rural		
70 (93 70 CI)	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)
Heard about	99.6 (96.2-99.9)	99.0 (98.6- 99.4)	NS	98.2 (97.0- 98.9)	96.9 (93.9-98.4)	NS
Heard about STIs	87.3 (83.4-90.4)	75.1 (73.2- 76.8)	< 0.05	73.9 (65.7- 80.6)	71.8 (64.6-78.0)	NS
Knowledge on modes of HIV transmission (among those who had heard about	N=782	N=785		N=804	N=814	
HIV/AIDS)*	82.0 (81.2.82.0)	67 1 (62 8	<0.05	73 2 (67 9	65 3 (55 5 73 0)	NS
infected person	82.0 (81.2-82.9)	71.8)	<0.05	77.9)	05.5 (55.5-75.9)	115
Receiving HIV infected blood	50.7 (44.1-57.3)	59.2 (54.6- 63.6)	NS	31.5 (24.6- 39.3)	37.6 (27-49.6)	NS
Using non-sterile needles /syringes	57.0 (53.3-60.6)	51.1 (48.4- 53.8)	NS	30.7 (27.1- 34.6)	32.2 (23.5-42.4)	NS
Through pregnancy/ delivery by a HIV infected mother	21.1 (19.1-23.2)	18.1 (11.2- 28.0)	NS	4.1 (2.6-6.6)	4.2 (2.6-6.8)	NS
Through breast feeding by a HIV infected mother	5.4 (4.8-6.1)	5.2 (4.7-5.6)	NS	1.2 (0.4-3.8)	1.9 (0.7-5.1)	<0.05
Not using a condom during sex	56.2 (46.7-65.2)	39.3 (35.3- 43.5)	< 0.05	45.5 (36.1- 55.3)	30.1 (23.0-38.4)	NS
Having sex with sex workers	29.1 (25.8-32.6)	11.2 (8.6-14.3)	< 0.05	18.4 (12.5- 26.3)	6.6 (4.3-9.8)	< 0.05
Sex with multiple sex partners	23.4 (20.5-26.5)	33.4 (23-45.8)	NS	11.7 (9.0-15.0)	37.9 (33.1-43.0)	< 0.05
Others	8.2 (4.5-14.3)	4.8 (3.4-6.7)	NS	12.8 (9.2-17.4)	6.3 (4.9-8.8)	< 0.05
Do not know	1.4 (0.6-2.9)	3.8 (2.8-5.1)	NS	8.1 (5.3-12.0)	9.8 (5.6-16.8)	NS
Knowledge on modes of STI transmission (among all respondents)*	N=787	N=788		N=815	N=843	
Unprotected sex with STI infected person	81.0 (75.0-85.8)	58.1 (55.5- 61.2)	< 0.05	62.4 (54.0- 70.1)	55.8 (44.4-66.6)	NS
Receiving STI infected blood	17.0 (14.1-20.3)	13.8 (12.6- 15.1)	NS	7.8 (4.3-13.7)	6.3 (3.8-10.3)	NS
Through pregnancy/delivery when a mother has STI	8.1 (7.4-8.9)	6.5 (4.6-9.2)	NS	1.2 (0.5-2.7)	5.0 (2.9-8.4)	NS
Not using a condom during sex	55.2 (5.8-56.5)	30.8 (25.9- 36.1)	NS	31.1 (21.9- 42.1)	24.0 (15.7-35.0)	NS
Having sex with sex workers	23.6 (21.0-26.4)	8.0 (6.6-9.7)	<0.05	9.0 (4.9-15.9)	3.5 (2.0-5.9)	NS
Sex with multiple	22.9 (21.9-23.9)	29.7 (28.2-	< 0.05	12.5 (7.8-19.4)	22.0 (17.3-27.7)	NS

# Table 25: Knowledge on HIV and STI transmission among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	
partners		31.2)					
Others	3.1 (1.4-6.9)	3.2 (1.5-6.7)	NS	8.6 (5.2 - 14.0)	65.7 (3.5-9.1)	NS	
Do not know	8.0 (3-19.6)	23.1 (19.8-	NS	28.4 (20.1-	32.1 (22.7-43.1)	NS	

<sup>\*</sup>1 observation is missing

#### 3.7.2. Knowledge about prevention of HIV and STIs (Tables 26 and 27)

The knowledge on HIV prevention was higher among urban respondents compared to rural respondents and the difference is significant. More rural respondents said that they did not know how to prevent infection with HIV or STIs. This was also true for more females than males (Table 26).

#### Table 26: Knowledge on prevention of HIV and STIs

Indicators	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
% (95 % CI)	N=1576 unless	N=1659 unless	between urban and	N=3235 unless
	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	rural	otherwise stated
			(p- value)	
Knowledge on modes of HIV	N=1567	N=1618		N=3185
prevention (among those who				
had heard about HIV/AIDS)*				
Limit sex within marriage	13.3 (12.3-14.4)	9.1 (6.0-13.7)	NS	11.9 (9.8-14.4)
Use condoms during sex	90.4 (89.4-91.2)	81.5 (70.6-89.0)	NS	87.5 (82.4-91.3)
Avoid unscreened blood	31.9 (29.1-34.9)	14.7 (11.3-18.8)	< 0.05	26.3 (19.6-34.3)
transfusion				
Use sterile syringes/needles	34.0 (32.4-35.8)	16.6 (13.5-20.3)	< 0.05	28.4 (22.4-35.2)
HIV infected women should	3.8 (3.1-4.8)	0.6 (0.3-1.1)	< 0.05	2.8 (1.6-4.8)
consult doctors before				
becoming pregnant				
Have faithful partner	21.7 (18.8-24.8)	9.2 (7.0-11.9)	< 0.05	17.6 (12.6-24.1)
Avoid sex with HIV infected	25.6 (24.3-26.9)	15.8 (13.6-18.3)	< 0.05	22.4 (18.9-26.4)
person				
Avoid sex with sex workers	18.3 (15.8-21.0)	10.6 (7.0-15.8)	NS	15.8 (12.1-20.4)
Avoid sex with multiple	22.6 (19.5-25.9)	19.9 (16.8-23.4)	NS	21.7 (19.6-24.0)
partners				
Others	5.6 (3.6-8.6)	5.7 (3.6-8.8)	NS	5.6 (4.0-7.8)
Do not know	3.7 (3.1-4.4)	8.6 (5.4-13.3)	< 0.05	5.3 (3.4-8.0)
Knowledge on modes of STI	N=1575 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=1658 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=3233**
prevention (among all				
respondents) <sup>*</sup>				
Limit sex within marriage	9.3 (8.5-10.2)	7.9 (5.3-11.8)	NS	8.9 (7.5-10.4)
Use condoms during sex	79.7 (73.9-84.5)	60.9 (48.9-71.7)	NS	73.5 (63.1-81.8)
Have a faithful partner	25.0 (21.6-28.7)	11.7 (9.2-14.7)	< 0.05	20.6 (14.9-27.9)
Use new/ sterile	7.6 (6.5-8.8)	3.3 (2.1-5.3)	< 0.05	6.2 (4.7-8.1)
syringes/needles				
Avoid HIV infected /	10.3 (9.2-11.7)	3.7 (2.0-6.9)	< 0.05	8.2 (6.5-10.2)
unscreened blood transfusion				

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Avoid sex with sex workers	17.0 (14.5-19.8)	6.4 (3.7-10.9)	< 0.05	13.5 (9.1-19.6)
Avoid sex with multiple partners	25.4 (24.4-26.4)	13.9 (10.7-17.8)	<0.05	21.6 (17.6-26.3)
Others	4.2 (2.9-6.0)	9.5 (5.5-16.0)	NS	6.0 (3.5-9.9)
Do not know	14.5 (10.1-20.3)	29.0 (21.2-38.3)	< 0.05	19.3 (12.7-28.1)

\* Multiple responses
 <sup>Φ</sup> 1 observation is missing
 \*\* 2 observations are missing

# Table 27: Knowledge on prevention of HIV and STIs among males and females

Indicators		Urban		Rural			
% (95 % CI)	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	
Knowledge on modes of HIV prevention (among those who had heard about HIV/AIDS)*	N=782	N=785		N=804	N=814		
Limit sex within marriage	10.2 (6.4-16.9)	16.3 (11.4-22.7)	NS	10.0 (4.4-21.4)	8.1 (5.3-12.3)	NS	
Use condoms during sex	94.3 (93.7-64.6)	86.7 (85.0-88.2)	NS	84.1 (72.6- 91.4)	78.9 (67.1- 87.2)	NS	
Avoid HIV infected/ unscreened blood transfusion	30.9 (27.3-34.8)	32.9 (26.1-40.5)	NS	12.5 (10.0- 15.7)	16.8 (11.2- 24.4)	NS	
Use sterile syringes/needle	33.8 (29.1-38.8)	34.3 (30.4-38.5)	NS	18.7 (15.0- 23.1)	14.5 (10.2- 20.2)	NS	
HIV infected women should consult doctors before getting pregnant	1.6 (0.6-4.1)	6.1 (3.7-9.7)	NS	0.1 (0.0-0.4)	1.2 (0.6-2.3)	<0.05	
Have faithful partner	21.0 (18.2-24.1)	22.4 (19.5-25.5)	NS	9.4 (6.3-13.8)	9.0 (6.8-11.9)	NS	
Avoid sex with HIV infected person	30.1 (26.1-34.4)	21.2 (17.2-26)	NS	16.3 (13.1- 20.2)	15.2 (11.3- 20.3)	NS	
Avoid sex with sex workers	28.3 (23.4-33.8)	8.5 (7.6-9.5)	< 0.05	16.8 (10.1- 26.6)	4.4 (2.2-8.3)	<0.05	
Avoid sex with multiple partners	21.7 (18.3-25.5)	23.5 (20.6-26.6)	NS	14.7 (10.0- 21.2)	25.2 (21.5- 29.3)	NS	
Others	8.3 (4.8-14.1)	2.9 (2.3-3.7)	< 0.05	7.1 (4.0-12.3)	4.3 (2.9-6.4)	NS	
Do not know	1.3 (0.7-2.5)	6.0 (5.3-6.8)	< 0.05	7.2 (4.0-12.7)	10.0 (6.5-15.6)	NS	
Knowledge on modes of STI	N=787	N=788 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=815 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=843		

Indicators		Urban			Rural			
% (95 % CI)	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)		
prevention (among all respondents)*								
Limit sex within marriage	10.8 (9.8-11.9)	7.8 (6.8-9.1)	NS	8.3 (4.1-16.2)	7.6 (5.2-11.0)	NS		
Use condoms during sex	88.9 (79.9-94.2)	70.7 (66.0-75.0)	< 0.05	63.6 (50.4- 75.1)	58.1(44.9- 70.3)	NS		
Have faithful partner	23.2 (21.1-25.4)	26.8 (22.0-32.1)	NS	12.5 (9.2-16.6)	10.9 (7.6-15.4)	NS		
Use new/ sterile syringes/needles	9.5 (7.1-12.6)	5.6 (4.6-6.9)	< 0.05	2.7 (1.5-4.7)	4 (2.2-7.0)	NS		
Avoid HIV infected/ unscreened blood transfusion	12.1 (10.5-13.9)	8.7 (7.9-9.5)	NS	3.7 (1.8-7.6)	3.7 (2.0-6.8)	NS		
Avoid sex with sex workers	28.4 (22.9-34.6)	6 (5.1-6.9)	< 0.05	7.8 (4.1-14.5)	5.0 (2.6-9.3)	NS		
Avoid sex with multiple partners	25.7 (23.5-28.0)	25.2 (24.5-25.8)	NS	11.2 (8.1-15.2)	16.6 (12.1- 22.4)	NS		
Others	3.9 (2.0-7.7)	4.4 (3.6-5.4)	NS	10.7 (5.1-21.2)	8.2 (5.7-11.7)	NS		
Do not know	7.1 (2.3-20.3)	21.6 (18.5-25.1)	NS	28.6 (19.4-	29.4 (21.2-	NS		

 $^{\Phi}$  1 observation is missing

\* Multiple responses

# 3.7.3. Misconceptions about HIV transmission, prevention and treatment (Tables 28-33)

On the whole, misconceptions were more common among rural respondents. Most respondents in both rural and urban areas believed that babies born from HIV positive mothers would all be infected with HIV. Respondents in rural areas were more likely to believe that kissing can spread HIV compared to those in urban areas. However, more in rural areas knew that HIV could be transmitted by oral sex.

Table	28:	Miscone	ceptions	about	HIV	transmission
-------	-----	---------	----------	-------	-----	--------------

% (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
			(p- value)	
Coughing/ sneezing spreads HIV		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	13.2 (9.2-18.6)	40.2 (36.3-44.2)	< 0.05	22.1 (12.9-35.1)
Do not know	4.8 (3.2-7.3)	12.6 (9.5-16.6)	< 0.05	7.4 (4.4-12.1)
Sharing food/water with HIV infected person can spread HIV		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	13.8 (11.4-16.6)	36.7 (31.6-42.2)	< 0.05	21.3 (13.8-31.5)
Do not know	3.1 (2.0-4.8)	7.8 (6.7-9.2)	<0.05	4.7 (2.8-7.6)
People are likely to get AIDS quickly and show serious signs of being infected		N=1658*		N=3234*

% (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless	Rural N=1659 unless	Comparison between urban	Total N=3235 unless
	other wise stated	other wise stated	(p- value)	otherwise stated
True	19.1 (15.5-23.3)	28.9 (24.8-33.3)	<0.05	22.3 (17.4-28.1)
Do not know	14.2 (12.2-16.6)	19.4 (14.3-25.8)	NS	16.0 (12.9-19.6)
A healthy looking person may be HIV positive		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	72.1 (70.9-73.3)	67.6 (62.4-72.4)	NS	70.6 (68.6-72.5)
Do not know	13.5 (12.6-14.4)	16.7 (13.1-21.2)	NS	14.5 (13.1-16.1)
A person can get HIV by bathing in the same pool /tub with an HIV infected person		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	15.1 (13.2-17.1)	38.8 (33.2-44.6)	< 0.05	22.9 (15.2-33.0)
Do not know	7.1 (6.9-7.3)	8.3 (7.1-9.6)	NS	7.5 (7.0-8.0)
Injecting drugs can be a source of HIV		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	81.8 (80.2-83.3)	71.6 (68.5-74.4)	< 0.05	78.4 (74.7-81.8)
Do not know	11.0 (9.9-12.2)	21.0 (17.6-24.9)	< 0.05	14.3 (11.6-17.5)
Having sex with more than one partner can increase a person's chance of becoming infected		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	94.4 (93.6-95.0)	94.8 (93.6-95.7)	NS	94.5 (93.9-95.1)
Do not know	2.8 (2.3-3.4)	2.6 (1.8-3.6)	NS	2.7 (2.3-3.2)
Unscreened blood can increase risk of HIV transmission		N=1658 <sup>*</sup>		N=3234*
True	91.4 (89.3-93.1)	90.7 (87.5-93.2)	NS	91.2 (89.4-92.7)
Do not know	5.9 (4.5-7.6)	7.7 (5.6-10.7)	NS	6.5 (5.0-8.3)
All pregnant women infected with HIV will have babies born with HIV		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	86.1 (83.2-88.5)	88.1 (85.6-90.2)	NS	86.7 (84.7-88.5)
Do not know	5.5 (3.9-7.8)	6.6 (4.6-9.3)	NS	5.9 (4.5-7.8)
Kissing will spread HIV if the partner is HIV infected		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	31.1 (29.8-32.4)	46.9 (42.5-51.4)	< 0.05	36.3 (30.7-42.4)
Do not know	8.2 (8.0-8.3)	9.5 (7.9-11.4)	NS	8.6 (7.9-9.3)
A person can get HIV from oral sex		N=1658*		
True	34.3 (29.2-39.7)	43.0 (40.4-45.6)	<0.05	37.2 (31.4-43.3)
Do not know	30.9 (27.6-34.3)	35.9 (32.1-39.8)	NS	32.5 (28.9-36.4)
A woman can get HIV if she has anal sex		N=1658*		N=3234*
with a HIV infected man				
True	61.4 (59.6-63.1)	57.9 (53.0-62.7)	NS	60.2 (58.1-62.3)
Do not know	26.1 (24.1-28.1)	30.1 (25.8-34.9)	NS	27.4 (24.9-30.0)

<sup>\*</sup>1 observation is missing

Gender differences in misconceptions were more marked in urban areas and females were likely to have more misconceptions and they were more likely to respond by saying that they did not know than males.

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural	
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
Coughing/ sneezing spreads HIV spread HIV/AIDS				N=815*		
True	11 (6.0-19.3)	15.3 (12.2-19.0)	NS	37.5 (32.5-42.8)	42.8 (38.6-47.2)	NS
Do not know	3.2 (1.3-8.0)	6.4 (5.3-7.7)	NS	10.5 (6.7-16.1)	14.7 (11.3-19.0)	NS
Sharing food/water with HIV infected person can spread HIV				N=815*		
True	12 2 (8 0-18 2)	152(137-169)	NS	36 2 (31 5-41 2)	37 3 (30 6-44 5)	NS
Do not know	2.5 (1.5-4.2)	3.7 (2.4-5.7)	NS	6.2 (4.3-8.9)	9.4 (7.2-12.2)	NS
People are likely to get AIDS quickly and show serious signs of being infected				N=815*		
True	18.9 (13.0- 26.7)	19.2 (17.1-21.6)	NS	32.9 (28.5-37.5)	24.9 (19.8-30.9)	NS
Do not know	13.3 (10.3-	15.1 (14.1-16.3)	NS	20.2 (13.2-29.5)	18.7 (14.9-23.1)	NS
A healthy looking person may be HIV positive				N=815*		
True	76.7 (74.6- 78.8)	67.5 (63.3-71.5)	NS	73.2 (66.5-79.1)	61.9 (56.8-66.7)	NS
Do not know	11.9 (10.2- 13.8)	15.0 (13.8-16.3)	NS	16.7 (11.0- 24.50)	16.7 (14.1-19.8)	NS
A person can get HIV by bathing in the same pool /tub with an HIV infected person				N=815*		
True	11.5 (7.8-16.6)	18.6 (17.4-19.7)	< 0.05	39.0 (33.3-45.1)	38.5 (32.5-37.0)	NS
Do not know	3.0 (2.0-4.5)	11.1 (10.0-12.4)	< 0.05	7.1 (5.2-9.8)	9.4 (7.4-11.8)	NS
Injecting drugs can be a source of HIV				N=815*		
True	85.5 (81.0- 89.1)	78.2 (75.3-81.0)	<0.05	71.0 (66.1-75.4)	72.2 (66.9-76.8)	NS
Do not know	8.2 (7.1-9.5)	13.7 (11.6-16.1)	< 0.05	22.1 (17.4-27.7)	19.9 (15.5-25.2)	NS
Having sex with more than one partner can increase a				N=815*		

# Table 29: Misconceptions about HIV transmission among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural	
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
person's chance of becoming						
infected						
True	96.2 (95.0- 97.1)	92.6 (91.8-93.3)	NS	94.9 (92.4-96.6)	94.6 (92.4-96.2)	NS
Do not know	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	3.9 (3.3-4.7)	< 0.05	2.3 (1.5-3.4)	2.8 (1.8-4.5)	NS
Unscreened blood can increase risk of HIV transmission				N=815*		
True	91.8 (90.5- 92.9)	91.1 (87.7-93.6)	NS	90.7 (87.5-93.2)	90.8 (85.8-94.1)	NS
Do not know	6.1 (5.2-7.1)	5.7 (3.3-9.5)	NS	7.5 (5.0-11.0)	8.0 (5.0-12.7)	NS
All pregnant women infected with HIV will have babies born with HIV				N=815*		
True	81.3 (78.9- 83.5)	90.7 (87.1-93.5)	< 0.05	89.2 (86.4-91.5)	87.0 (83.0-90.1)	NS
Do not know	6.4 (5.4-7.5)	4.7 (2.5-8.8)	NS	6.4 (3.9-10.3)	6.2 (3.9-9.8)	NS
Kissing will spread HIV if the partner is HIV infected				N=815*		
True	32.6 (30.0- 31.4)	29.6 (28.3-31.0)	NS	46.6 (43.3-50)	47.2 (40.4-54.2)	NS
Do not know	6.5 (5.6-7.5)	9.7 (8.8-10.8)	< 0.05	8.6 (5.6-13.0)	10.3 (8.3-12.7)	NS
A person can get HIV from oral sex				N=815*		
True	65.3 (62.4- 68.1)	57.6 (56.8-58.3)	< 0.05	60.5 (51.0-69.2)	55.4 (50.3-60.4)	NS
Do not know	19.9 (17.2- 22.9)	32.1 (30.3-33.9)	< 0.05	27.3 (19.6-36.7)	32.9 (28.6-37.5)	NS
A woman can get HIV if she has anal sex with a HIV infected man				N=815*		
True	34.0 (26.7- 42.1)	34.6 (31.4-37.9)	NS	47.1 (43.0-51.3)	38.8 (35.2-42.6)	< 0.05
Do not know	24.8 (20.4- 29.8)	36.8 (33.1-40.6)	<0.05	26.8 (20.1-34.7)	44.9 (41.3-48.6)	<0.05

As shown in Table 24, knowledge regarding condom use as a means of HIV prevention was high. However, misconceptions on HIV prevention were also prevalent and this was more common in rural than in urban areas with more rural

respondents believing that sex during menstruation, washing genitals after sex and the use of lubricants all prevent HIV transmission.

% (95 % CI)	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
	N=1576 unless	N=1659 unless	between urban	N=3235 unless
	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	and rural	otherwise stated
		*	(p- value)	*
<b>Risk of HIV transmission can be</b>		N=1658*		N=3234*
reduced by using condoms				
True	95.8 (95.4-96.2)	92.2 (87.8-95.1)	NS	94.6 (92.6-96.1)
Do not know	2.5 (2.0-3.2)	4.9 (3.3-7.3)	NS	3.3 (2.3-4.8)
Transmission of HIV can be		N=1658*		N=3234*
reduced by limiting sex to one				
faithful partner				
True	89.6 (87.1-91.6)	91.0 (89.3-92.5)	NS	90.0 (88.5-91.4)
Do not know	4.3 (3.6-5.1)	4.6 (3.2-6.6)	NS	4.4 (3.7-5.2)
A woman cannot get HIV if she		N=1658*		N=3234*
has sex while menstruating				
True	10.0 (7.8-12.6)	17.2 (13.2-22.1)	< 0.05	12.4 (9.0-16.7)
Do not know	32.9 (31.0-34.8)	30.1 (24.7-36.1)	NS	31.9 (29.3-34.7)
Showering, or washing one's		N=1658*		N=3234*
genitals/private parts after sex				
prevents HIV infection				
True	11.0 (10.0-12.0)	20.1 (14.5-27.1)	< 0.05	14.0 (110-17.6)
Do not know	18.2 (16.5-20.0)	19.5 (15.5-24.3)	NS	18.6 (16.8-20.6)
Using oil or a lubricant lowers the		N=1658*		N=3234*
chance of getting HIV				
True	5.0 (3.9-6.2)	10.9 (7.8-15.0)	< 0.05	6.9 (4.7-10.2)
Do not know	41.2 (37.4-45.1)	47.4 (40.3-54.6)	NS	43.2 (38.7-47.9)

### Table 30: Misconceptions about HIV prevention

1 observation is missing

Misconceptions were more common in female than in male respondents especially in urban areas.

### Table 31: Misconceptions about HIV prevention among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban			Rural	
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)
Risk of HIV transmission can be reduced by using condoms				N=815*		
True	97.1 (96.9-97.4)	94.6 (93.8-95.3)	<0.05	93.1 (87.5- 96.3)	91.2 (86.6-94.3)	NS
Do not know	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	4.0 (3.1-5.1)	< 0.05	2.8 (1.3-5.9)	7.1 (4.5-11.1)	NS
Transmission of HIV can be reduced by limiting sex to one				N=815*		

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban		Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)
faithful partner						
True	93.9 (90.1-96.3)	85.3 (83.4-87.1)	< 0.05	94.3 (92.9- 95.4)	87.8 (84.1-90.6)	<0.05
Do not know	1.9 (1.0-3.8)	6.6 (5.8-7.5)	< 0.05	3.9 (2.5-6.1)	5.3 (3.3-8.4)	NS
A woman cannot get HIV if she has sex while menstruating				N=815*		
True	9.5 (6.9-12.9)	10.4 (8.7-12.5)	NS	19.1 (14.0- 25.6)	15.3 (11.8-19.6)	NS
Do not know	27.5 (24.8-30.3)	38.1 (36.1-40.1)	< 0.05	28.3 (20.9- 37.0)	31.9 (27.1-37.1)	NS
Showering, or washing one's genitals parts after sex prevents HIV infection				N=815*		
True	11.5 (10.0-13.3)	10.4 (7.7-14)	NS	23.7 (17.7- 30.9)	16.4 (11.6-22.8)	NS
Do not know	12.7 (10.1-15.9)	23.5 (21.4-25.7)	< 0.05	16.9 (11.3- 24.4)	22.2 (18.0-26.9)	NS
Using oil or a lubricant lowers the chance of getting HIV				N=815*		
True	5.5 (4.2-7.3)	4.4 (3.7-5.3)	NS	10 (7.0-14.0)	11.9 (8.2-16.9)	NS
Do not know	28.1 (23.9-32.8)	53.9 (49.3-58.4)	<0.05	38.8 (29.5- 49.0)	55.9 (49.7-61.9)	<0.05

Although very few respondents in both rural and urban areas had misconceptions about the treatments available for HIV, amongst those who did there were more in rural than in urban areas.

# Table 32: Misconceptions about AIDS treatment

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Taking a test for HIV within 1 week		N=1658*	(p (unic)	N=3234*
after having sex will tell a person if				
s/he has HIV				
True	20.0 (16.5-24.0)	34.1 (30.9-37.4)	< 0.05	24.6 (18.5-31.9)
Do not know	21.4 (17.9-25.3)	18.8 (15.6-22.4)	NS	20.5 (18.1-23.2)
A vaccine that can prevent adults		N=1658*		N=3234*
from getting HIV				
True	17.9 (15.9-20.1)	32.7 (29.1-36.5)	< 0.05	22.8 (17.3-29.3)
Do not know	17.2 (13.5-21.8)	20.6 (16.9-24.9)	NS	18.4 (14.9-22.4)
A person will not get HIV if s/he is		N=1658*		N=3234*
taking antibiotics				

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
True	14.0 (13.4-14.5)	28.6 (25.4-32.1)	< 0.05	18.8 (14.2-24.4)
Do not know	18.8 (13.9-25.1)	24.2 (19.2-30.1)	NS	20.6 (15.8-26.3)
AIDS is a curable disease		N=1658*		N=3234*
True	8.2 (7.3-9.2)	16.0 (11.4-21.9)	< 0.05	10.8 (7.9-14.6)
Do not know	3.7 (2.6-5.3)	8.0 (5.3-11.9)	NS	5.1 (3.3-8.0)

<sup>\*</sup>1 observation is missing

More females in urban areas believed that there is a vaccine against HIV while in the rural areas they believed that antibiotics were effective against HIV.

ales
ł

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	
Taking a test for HIV within 1 week after having sex will tell a person if s/he has HIV				N=815*			
True	22.9 (18.6- 27.8)	17.1 (14.4- 20.2)	NS	38.7 (35.0-42.5)	29.5 (23.3- 36.5)	NS	
Do not know	16.2 (10.0- 25.3)	26.4 (24.4- 28.6)	NS	19.4 (15.4-24.2)	18.1 (15.1- 21.7)	NS	
A vaccine that can prevent adults from getting HIV				N=815*			
True	13.8 (10.9- 17.3)	21.9 (19.3- 24.8)	< 0.05	30.6 (26-35.7)	34.7 (27.4- 42.9)	NS	
Do not know	14.3 (10.0- 20.0)	20.1 (16.7-24)	NS	19.0 (14.7-24.4)	22.2 (18.1- 26.9)	NS	
A person will not get HIV if s/he is taking antibiotics				N=815*			
True	9.6 (8.2-11.3)	18.1 (17.9- 18.4)	NS	23.9 (21.0-27.1)	33.3 (28.0- 39.1)	< 0.05	
Do not know	14.6 (7.3-27.0)	23.0 (20.8- 25.3)	NS	25.9 (19.0-34.3)	22.5 (18.5- 27.1)	NS	
AIDS is a curable disease				N=815*			
True	8.8 (8.1-9.6)	7.7 (6.5-9.1)	NS	15 (10.8-20.3)	16.9 (11.5- 24.4)	NS	
Do not know	2.5 (2.1-3.0)	4.9 (3.0-7.7)	<0.05	5.6 (3.6-8.7)	10.3 (6.4.0- 16.1)	NS	

<sup>\*</sup>1 observation is missing

# 3.7.4. Perceived risk of acquiring STIs and HIV (Tables 34 and 35)

Similar proportions of respondents felt that they were either likely or unlikely to get an STI or to become infected with HIV in the future (Table 34). The proportions who could not gauge their risk for STI or HIV were higher in urban than in rural areas and higher in females than males (Table 35). Females in general considered themselves to be less likely to become infected with either HIV or STIs (Table 35).

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Likely to get an STI	N=1575*	N=1658*		N=3233**
Likely	46.7 (45.3-48.1)	48.0 (45.6-51.4)	NS	47.2 (45.6-48.9)
Unlikely	37.2 (35.6-38.9)	40.3 (36.4-44.4)	NS	38.3 (36.3-40.2)
Do not know	16.1 (15.3-16.9)	11.4 (9.5-13.6)	< 0.05	14.5 (12.7-16.6)
Likely to become infected with HIV	N=1575*	N=1658*		N=3233**
in future				
Likely	41.5 (38.5-44.5)	40.7 (35.3-46.2)	NS	41.2 (38.6-43.8)
Unlikely	40.2 (37.9-42.6)	47.9 (41.6-54.3)	NS	42.8 (39.5-46.1)
Do not know	18.3 (17.1-19.6)	11.4 (9.7-13.4)	< 0.05	16.1 (13.3-19.3)

#### Table 34: Perceived risk about STIs and HIV transmission

\*1 observation is missing \*\* 2 observations are missing

#### Table 35: Perceived risk about STIs and HIV transmission among males and females

Indicators		Urban			Rural	
% (95 % CI)	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
Likely to get an STI		N=788*		N=815*		
Likely	52.1 (50.2-54.1)	41.4 (39.3-43.5)	<0.05	51.5 (46.5-56.4)	45.1 (39.7- 50.6)	NS
Unlikely	37.4 (34.5-40.4)	37.1 (33.7-40.6)	NS	39.5 (34.8-44.5)	41.2 (35.1- 47.5)	NS
Do not know	10.5 (9.4-11.7)	21.5 (19.6-23.6)	< 0.05	9.0 (6.8-11.9)	13.8 (11.3- 16.7)	NS
Likely to become infected with HIV in future		N=788*		N=815*		
Likely	44.7 (37.3-52.4)	38.3 (33.5-43.2)	NS	45.6 (39.0-52.3)	35.7 (30.3- 41.6)	NS
Unlikely	41.9 (33.8-50.5)	38.6 (31.8-45.9)	NS	47.4 (40.2-54.6)	48.5 (42.5- 54.6)	NS
Do not know	13.4 (12.4-14.4)	23.2 (20.2-26.4)	<0.05	7.1 (4.9-10.2)	15.7 (12.8- 19.2)	<0.05

<sup>1</sup> observation is missing

# 3.7.5. Knowledge and experience of voluntary counselling and testing for HIV (Tables 36-41)

Knowing about Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) services is not only a reflection on knowledge about HIV but also about the services available. That urban respondents were more likely to have heard about VCT services and to have been tested for HIV is likely due to the fact that more services are available in urban areas.

Table 36: Knowledge and experience of voluntary counselling and testing for HIV

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (p- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Heard of VCT for HIV	46.9 (37.9-56.2)	18.4 (13.9-23.9) N=1658*	<0.05	37.5 (24.3-52.9) N=3234 <sup>*</sup>
Ever tested for HIV	26.8 (21.533.0)	10.4 (7.9-13.5) N=1658*	< 0.05	21.4 (13.9-31.4) N=3234*

<sup>\*</sup>1 observation is missing

Males more than females in rural areas had heard of VCT and in urban areas more males had been tested for HIV than females.

# Table 37: Knowledge and experience of voluntary counselling and testing forHIV among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban		Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
Heard of VCT for HIV	55.2 (42.4- 67 3)	39.0 (32.6-45.7)	NS	26.9 (19.6-35.6) N=815*	9.9 (6.6-14.7)	< 0.05
Ever tested for	36.7 (30.1-	17.2 (12.4-23.3)	< 0.05	12.7 (9.2-17.2)	8.1 (4.9-12.9)	NS
HIV	43.9)			N=815*		

\*1 observation is missing

# 3.7.6. Sources of knowledge regarding HIV/AIDS (Tables 38-41)

Respondents were asked where or from whom they had learnt about HIV/AIDS, which sources they found helpful and of those which were the most helpful. Respondents were also asked what their preferred source of information for HIV/AIDS would be for the future.

With regards to where or from whom they had learnt about HIV/AIDS, the most common source stated by both urban and rural respondents was hospital/clinic/BHU followed by health workers. There were differences in sources of information

between urban and rural areas which probably reflect differences in the services available and social settings of urban and rural areas.

% (95 % CI)	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
	N=1576 unless	N=1659 unless	between urban	N=3235 unless
	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	and rural	otherwise
			(p- value)	stated
Sources of learning about HIV/AIDS	N=1567	N=1618		N=3185
(among those who had heard about HIV/AIDS) <sup>*</sup>				
Peer group/youth	47.8 (43.6-51.9)	33.4 (28.7-38.5)	< 0.05	43.1 (38.7 (47.7)
Radio	32.2 (30.1-34.3)	63.1 (57.6-68.3)	< 0.05	42.2 (33.7-51.3)
TV	81.3 (77.5-84.6)	31.4 (22.7-41.7)	< 0.05	65.1 (46.3-80.1)
Booklet	22.5 (19.8-25.4)	10.2 (6.7-15.3)	< 0.05	18.5 (13.5-24.9)
School teacher/curriculum	34.9 (28.9-41.4)	24.6 (21.6-27.9)	< 0.05	31.6 (28.3-34.9)
Book/newspaper/magazine/leaflet	35.6 (32.0-39.4)	14.0 (10.3-18.8)	< 0.05	28.6 (20.4-38.4)
Poster	6.2 (4.9-7.8)	1.6 (0.9-2.9)	< 0.05	4.7 (2.8-7.8)
Bill Board/Sign Board	6.3 (5.9-6.6)	2.8 (1.5-5.1)		
Health workers	45.0 (42.0-48.0)	52.1 (46.2-57.9)	NS	47.3 (43.0-51.7)
Hospital/clinic/BHU	47.5 (36.9-58.3)	57.8 (53.1-62.4)	NS	50.9 (41.0-60.7)
Father	3.6 (2.5-5.1)	0.9 (0.4-1.6)	< 0.05	2.7 (2.0-3.7)
Mother	3.8 (2.7 (5.4)	1.6 (1.2-2.2)	NS	3.1 (2.4-4.0)
Husband/Wife	3.5 (3.1-3.8)	2.0 (1.4-3.0)	NS	3.0 (2.4-3.7)
Relatives	5.2 (4.0-6.8)	2.4 (1.5-3.7)	NS	4.3 (3.4-5.4)
Neighbour	4.1 (3.4-5.0)	2.6 (1.8-3.6)	NS	3.6 (2.7-4.8)
Community events (tshechus etc)	3.5 (3.4-3.7)	4.0 (3.0-5.5)	NS	3.7 (3.3-4.1)
Awareness Campaigns	34.0 (29.3-38.9)	30.5 (25.4-36.2)	NS	32.9 (29.9-36.1)
Others	2.0 (1.7-2.4)	2.1 (1.1-3.8)	NS	2.0 (1.6-2.6)

#### Table 38: Source of knowledge on HIV/AIDS

\* Multiple responses

In both urban and rural areas a similar gender difference in sources through which knowledge on HIV/AIDS was acquired was observed; more males reported printed materials including newspapers/books/magazines than females. However, in urban areas, in addition to newspapers, TV, billboards, community events and awareness programs were reported more by males than females. On the other hand, more females than males said that they learnt from spouses and neighbours.

Table 39: Source of knowledge on HIV/AIDS among males an	nd females
--	------------

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Rural				
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female	Male N=816 unless otherwise	Female N=843 unless otherwise	Comparison between male and female (P- value)
			(P- value)	stated	stated	
Source of learning about	N=782	N=785		N=804	N=814	
HIV/AIDS (among those						
who had heard about						
HIV/AIDS) <sup>*</sup>						
Peer group/youth	51.0 (49.5-	44.7 (36.7-	NS	36.3 (30.6-	30.4 (25.3-	NS
	52.4)	53.0)		42.5)	36.1)	
Radio	33.1 (31.0-	31.2 (28.9-	NS	61.3 (55.2-	64.9 (57.9-	NS

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	
	35.4)	33.7)	(I - value)	67 2)	71 3)		
TV	77.3 (75.9- 78.6)	85.2 (78.6- 90.1)	NS	33.2 (24.0- 43.8)	29.6 (19.6- 42.2)	NS	
Booklet	25.1 (22.7- 27.7)	19.9 (16.7- 23.5)	NS	10.4 (6.4- 16.4)	10.1 (6.5- 15.2)	NS	
School teacher/curriculum	32.1 (22.5- 43.5)	37.6 (35.2- 40.0)	NS	26.5 (23.6- 29.6)	22.7 (19.0- 26.9)	NS	
Book/newspaper/magazine/ leaflet	43.6 (38.6- 48.7)	27.8 (25.1- 30.6)	< 0.05	18.8 (12.8- 26.8)	9.1 (6.7- 12.3)	< 0.05	
Poster	6.0 (5.2-7.0)	6.4 (4.7-8.8)	NS	2.0 (0.9- 4.4)	1.2 (0.7- 2.3)	NS	
Bill Board/Sign Board	8.5 (7.2-10.0)	4.2 (3.1-5.5)	< 0.05	4.2 (1.9- 9.0)	1.4 (0.6- 3.1)	NS	
Health workers	46.7 (42.1- 51.4)	43.3 (38.7- 48.0)	NS	52.8 (43.9- 61.5)	51.4 (45.3- 57.5)	NS	
Hospital/clinic/BHU	45.4 (34.7- 56.5)	49.6 (39.0- 60.3)	NS	55.0 (48.4- 61.5)	60.7 (51.1- 69.5)	NS	
Father	6.1 (5.1-7.2)	1.2 (0.3-4.1)	< 0.05	1.7 (1.0- 3.0)	0	-	
Mother	3.1 (2.3-4.0)	4.5 (2.2-9.1)	NS	1.0 (0.4- 2.8)	2.3 (1.4- 3.8)	NS	
Husband/Wife	1.6 (0.9-2.7)	5.3 (4.3-6.6)	< 0.05	1.0 (0.3- 3.4)	3.1 (1.8- 5.4)	NS	
Relatives	6.3 (4.9-7.9)	4.1 (1.5-10.6)	NS	2.7 (1.5- 4.7)	2.1 (1.1- 3.9)	NS	
Neighbour	2.9 (2.6-3.3)	5.3 (4.0-7.1)	< 0.05	2.3 (1.4- 3.5)	2.9 (1.7- 4.7)	NS	
Community events (Tshechus etc)	5.0 (4.5-5.6)	2.1 (1.7-2.5)	< 0.05	2.7 (1.0- 7.1)	5.3 (3.7- 7.8)	NS	
Awareness Campaigns	42.5 (38.9- 46.3)	25.8 (20.4- 31.9)	< 0.05	33.4 (28.8- 38.4)	27.6 (20.5- 36.1)	NS	
Others	2.7 (2.1-3.5)	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	NS	2.3 (1.3- 4.1)	3.5 (1.9- 6.5)	NS	

Of all these sources listed above, the helpful sources for urban and rural participants were similar except for TV and radio. In urban areas TV and in rural areas radio was found to be the commonly stated helpful sources.

Urban participants said that TV, hospital /clinic/BHU and awareness campaigns were the most helpful sources while for rural respondents said hospital/clinic/BHU, health workers and radio were the most useful sources for HIV information. These differences reflect the difference in services available in the two areas.

#### Table 40: Helpful and most helpful source of learning about HIV/AIDS

% (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (P- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Helpful sources of information	N=1567	N=1618		N=3185
about HIV/AIDS (among those				
who had heard about				
HIV/AIDS)	19 / (1/ 2 22 2)	16 1 (12 0 21 2)	NS	177(148200)
Radio	16.4(14.5-25.5) 25.2(20.6-30.4)	52.0(46.0-58.0)	<0.05	17.7(14.6-20.9) 33.9(27.6-40.9)
TV	23.2(20.0-30.4) 67.7(63.4-71.8)	32.0(40.0-38.0) 25.6(18.2-34.9)	<0.05	53.9(27.0-40.9)
I V Booklet	07.7(03.4-71.8) 22.5(19.8-25.4)	23.0(18.2-34.3) 10.2(6.7-15.3)	<0.05	185(135-240)
School teacher/curriculum	22.3(19.6-23.4) 21.2(15.5.28.4)	10.2(0.7-13.3) 18.3(15.1.22.0)	<0.05 NS	10.3(15.5-24.9)
Health workers	21.2(13.3-28.4) 35.8(32.5-39.3)	13.3(13.1-22.0) 13.0(36.8-49.5)	ns	20.3(10.0-24.3)
Hospital/alinia/PHU	<u> </u>	43.0(30.8-49.3)	ll5 Ne	$\frac{38.2(34.3-42.2)}{1278(278,40.8)}$
Father	18(10-32)	49.3(44.6-34.1) 0.7(0.3-1.6)	NS	15(10-22)
Mother	1.0(1.0-3.2) 1 7 (0 9 3 3)	1.4(0.9-2.2)	NS	1.5(1.0-2.2)
Husband/wife	1.7(0.9-3.3) 1.7(1.5-1.0)	0.8(0.4-1.9)	NS	1.0(1.0-2.3)
Community events (Tshechus)	1.7(1.3-1.9)	24(16-38)	NS	1.4(1.0-1.3)
Awareness Campaigns	2.9(2.1-4.0) 34.2(30.8-37.8)	2.4(1.0-3.8) 25.6(20.8-31.4)	NS	2.0(2.0-3.7)
Awareness Campaigns	54.2(50.6-57.6)	17(28-70)	NS	51.4(27.9-33.1)
	3.2 (4.4-0.0)	4.7 (2.8-7.9)	115	5.0 (4.1-0.1)
Most helpful source of	N=1567	N=1618		N=3185
information about HIV/AIDS				
(among those who had heard				
about HIV/AIDS)				
Peer group/youth	3.2 (2.5-4.0)	1.8 (1.0-3.2)	NS	2.7 (2.2-3.5)
Radio	4.7 (3.1-7.0)	18.6 (15.4-22.5)	< 0.5	9.2 (6.2-13.6)
TV	26.2 (22.8-30.0)	7.9 (4.9-12.4)	< 0.5	20.2 (13.4-29.4)
Booklet	5.4 (2.8-11.3)	6.4 (4.5-8.9)	NS	5.9 (3.6-9.6)
Schoolteacher/curriculum	5.6 (4.3-6.8)	6.3 (4.5-8.9)	NS	5.9 (3.6-9.6)
Health workers	15.0 (12.8-17.4)	23.5 (18.3-29.6)	< 0.5	17.7 (13.9-22.2)
Hospital/clinic/BHU	20.0 (18.3-22.1)	27.1 (21.4-33.8)	NS	22.3 (18.6-26.6)
Father	0.6 (0.3-1.1)	0.1 ((0.0-0.6)	NS	0.4 (0.3-0.7)
Mother	0.2 (0.0-2.0)	0.5 (0.2-1.5)	NS	0.3 (0.1-1.3)
Husband/wife	0.5 (0.4-0.8)	0.7 (0.3-1.6)	NS	0.6 (0.4-0.9)
Community events (tshechus etc)	0.6 (0.5-0.8)	0.7 (0.3-1.6)	NS	0.6 (0.4-0.9)
Awareness Campaigns	16.1 (15.3-17.0)	8.5 (5.8-12.6)	< 0.5	13.7 (11.2-16.9)
Others	1.9 (1.0 -3.3)	0.9 (0.4-1.9)	NS	1.5 (0.9-2.9)

Multiple responses

There were no differences between males and females in the rural areas in response to identifying the most helpful source of information on HIV/AIDS. However, in urban areas, more males than females mentioned awareness programs, booklets and peer groups/youth.

# Table 41: Helpful and most helpful source of learning about HIV/AIDS among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban	Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N= 843 unless otherwise stated	Compari son between male and female (P- value)
Helpful sources ofinformation aboutHIV/AIDS (among thosewho had heard aboutHIV/AIDS)*	N=782	N=785		N=804	N=814	
Peer group/youth	23.5 (20.6-26.7)	13.4 (7.4-23.2)	NS	17.8 (10.9- 27.7)	14.3 (10.7- 19.0)	NS
Radio	26.4 (22.3-36.0)	24.0 (18.1- 31.0)	NS	47.5 (43.0- 52.1)	56.6 (46.1- 66.5)	NS
TV	64.4 (60.7-68.0)	71.0 (62.5- 78.3)	NS	27.8 (18.3- 39.8)	23.4 (15.6- 33.6)	NS
Booklet	20.1 (18.3-22.1)	15.5 (11.6- 20.6)	NS	11.6 (7.0-18.8)	9.3 (6.2-13.7)	NS
Schoolteacher/curriculum	20.0 (10.6-34.5)	22.4 (21.1- 23.7)	NS			
Health workers	37.6 (34.4-41.0)	34.1 (26.7- 42.3)	NS	43.9 (34.0- 54.3)	42.2 (34.6- 50.1)	NS
Hospital/clinic/BHU	39.3 (32.1-45.0)	42.6 (38.7- 47.0)	NS	45.2 (33.6- 57.5)	42.3 (34.6- 54.3)	NS
Father	3.3 (2.4-4.7)	0.4 (0.0-3.9)	NS	1.4 (0.7-2.9)	0	-
Mother	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	1.9 (0.6-2.9)	NS	1.0 (0.3-2.9)	1.6 (1.1-3.2)	NS
Husband/Wife	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	2.5 (2.3-2.8)	< 0.05			
Community events (Tshechus)	2.1 (1.7-2.7)	3.6 (1.9-6.7)	NS	1.8 (0.8-4.1)	3.1 (1.7-5.6)	NS
Awareness Campaigns	43.2 (41.4-45.0)	25.4 (20.1- 31.7)	< 0.05	28.7 (24.4- 33.4)	22.5 (15.6- 31.4)	NS
Others	4.4 (3.2-5.9)	6.0 (5.3-6.7)	NS	6.3 (3.4-11.47)	3.1 (1.8-5.3)	NS
Most helpful source of information about HIV/AIDS (among those who had heard about HIV/AIDS)						
Peer group/youth	4.0 (3.6-4.4)	2.5 (1.6-3.9)	< 0.05	2.0 (1.0-4.2)	1.6 (0.9-2.9)	NS
Radio	2.2 (1.6-3.0)	7.1 (4.6-10.8)	< 0.05	17.3 (14.4- 20.6)	20.1 (15.3- 25.9)	NS
TV	21.5 (19.7-23.4)	30.9 (25.9- 36.3)	< 0.05	7.2 (4.2-12.1)	8.6 (4.5-15.8)	NS
Booklet	8.5 (6.6-10.9)	2.4 (2.0-3.0)	< 0.05	4.1 (2.5-6.7)	2.5 (1.4-15.8)	NS
Schoolteacher/curriculum	6.7 (3.4-13.0)	4.6 (2.2-9.6)	NS	7.7 (5.7-10.4)	5.0 (3.1-7.9)	NS
Health workers	13.9 (10.2-18.7)	16 (12.4-20.3)	NS	23.6 (15.4- 34.4)	23.4 (18.8- 28.8)	NS
Hospital/clinic/BHU	16.6 (14.9-18.4)	23.3 (18.0- 29.6)	NS	23.8 (14.8-36)	30.6 (26.6- 34.9)	NS
Father	1.2 (0.7-2.1)	0	-	0.3 (0.0-1.4)	0	-
Mother	0	0.3 (0.0-4.0)	-	0.6 (0.1-3.5)	0.5 (0.1-1.5)	NS
Husband/Wife	0.8	(0.5 - 1.3)	-	0.2 (0.0-2.4)	0	-

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N= 843 unless otherwise stated	Compari son between male and female (P- value)	
Community events (tshechus etc)	0.8 (0.3-1.7)	0.4 (0.2-0.8)	NS	0.8 (0.3-2.0)	0.6 (0.2-1.9)	NS	
Awareness Campaigns	21.8 (19.6-24.3)	10.3 (9.7-11.0)	< 0.05	10.7 (6.2-17.6)	6.2 (4.3-9.0)	NS	
Others	2.0 (1.2-3.4)	1.9 (1.3-2.9)	NS	1.1 (0.4-2.6)	1.0 (0.4-2.2)	NS	

\* Multiple responses

#### 3.7.7. Preferred sources of learning about HIV/AIDS (Table 42 and 43)

Television and radio were the preferred sources of information for urban and rural respondents respectively. Other than these, both urban and rural respondents said that they would like to obtain their information through awareness programs, health workers, hospitals /clinics/BHU. Peers were however, not very popular.

#### Table 42: Preferred sources of learning about HIV/AIDS

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural (P- value)	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Preferred sources of information about HIV/AIDS (among those who had heard about HIV/AIDS) *	N=1567	N=1618	(I - value)	N=3185
Peer group/youth	3.6 (2.1-6.0)	5.5 (4.2-7.3)	NS	4.2 (2.7-6.6)
Radio	21.5 (19.7-23.4)	41.0 (36.3-45.7)	< 0.05	27.8 (22.9-33.3)
TV	63.9 (61.2-66.5)	29.6 (23.2-36.9)	< 0.05	52.7 (40.5-64.7)
Booklet	81.5 (14.6-23.3)	8.4 (6.2-11.3)	< 0.05	15.2 (10.2-22.2)
Schoolteacher/curriculum	11.4 (9.3-13.9)	8.7 (7.1-10.7)	NS	10.5 (9.2-12.0)
Health workers	26.3 (22.9-30.0)	34.3 (29.5-39.5)	NS	28.9 (24.6-33.6)
Hospital/clinic/BHU	29.3 (22.1-37.8)	40.9 (36.0-45.9)	NS	33.1 (25.2-42.1)
Community events (tshechus etc)	1.8 (1.6-2.0)	1.9 (1.2-3.2)	NS	1.8 (1.5-2.2)
Awareness Campaigns	33.9 (31.4-36.6)	21.8 (18.5-25.4)	< 0.05	30.0 (27.1-33.1)
Others	3.3 (2.6-4.1)	4.8 (3.1-7.4)	NS	3.8 (2.8-5.2)

\* Multiple responses

The preferred sources of knowledge on HIV/AIDS identified were similar for males and females in rural areas. However there were gender differences in urban areas; more males than females said they would prefer to get their information from radio, TV, booklets, schools and awareness programs.

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	
Preferred sources of information about HIV/AIDS (among those who had heard about HIV/AIDS) <sup>*</sup>	N=782	N=785		N=804	N=814		
Peer group/youth	4.7 (3.4-6.4)	2.5 (1.0-6.0)	NS	7.7 (5.8-10.1)	3.4 (1.9-5.9)	NS	
Radio	28.6 (26.7- 30.5)	14.5 (11.4- 18.3)	< 0.05	43.8 (37.8-50.0)	38.1 (29.7- 47.2)	NS	
TV	70.7 (67.6-73.6)	57.3 (52.6- 61.8)	< 0.05	33.8 (26.5-42.1)	25.3 (18.5- 33.5)	NS	
Booklet	26.0 (21.3- 31.2)	11.3 (7.4-17.0)	< 0.05	10.0 (6.6-14.2)	7.0 (4.8-10.2)	NS	
Schoolteacher/curri culum	15.4 (10.6- 22.0)	7.4 (6.1-9.0)	< 0.05	10.9 (8.6-13.7)	6.5 (4.8-8.8)	NS	
Health workers	26.4 (20.3- 33.3)	26.2 (20.0- 33.4)	NS	36.2 (30.3-42.6)	32.4 (26.4- 38.8)	NS	
Hospital/clinic/BH U	22.2 (16.3- 29.4)	36.3 (27.3- 46.4)	NS	31.9 (22.4-43.1)	50.0 (42.0- 58.1)	NS	
Community events (tshechus etc)	2.3 (1.6-3.3)	1.3 (0.9-2.0)	NS	2.4 (1.3-4.7)	1.4 (0.7-2.7)	NS	
Awareness Campaigns	46.6 (42.4- 50.8)	21.4 (18.9- 24.6)	< 0.05	31.9 (27.2-37.1)	11.4 (7.6-16.9)	< 0.05	
Others	4.2 (3.8-4.6)	2.4 (1.5-3.7)	NS	7.3 (4.7-11.2)	2.3 (1.3-4.0)	< 0.05	

# Table 43: Preferred sources of learning about HIV/AIDS among males and females

\* Multiple responses

# **3.8. SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS**

# 3.8.1. Knowledge regarding names of different STIs, symptoms of STIs and available treatment facilities (Tables 44 and 45)

More respondents had heard of gonorrhoea compared to other STIs in both urban and rural areas (Table 44). In general more urban respondents could say the names of STIs than their rural counterparts. A substantial proportion of respondents from both areas did not know the symptoms of STIs in men and women. Almost all felt that medical treatment should be sought and that hospitals or health providers would be the most appropriate to consult.

### Table 44: Knowledge regarding names of different STIs, symptoms of STIs and available treatment facilities

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban N=1576 unless otherwise stated	Rural N=1659 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between urban and rural	Total N=3235 unless otherwise stated
Could name STIs (responses	N=1575 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=1658 <sup>Φ</sup>	(p- value)	N=3233**
were unprompted)	10 1575	1050		14 5255
Svphilis	44.1 (40.5-47.7)	23.6 (19.6-28.1)	< 0.05	37.3 (28.9-46.6)
Gonorrhoea	60.6 (55.2-65.7)	38.1 (31.8-44.8)	< 0.05	53.2 (42.7-63.4)
Chancroid	2.2 (1.9-2.5)	0.9 (0.4-2.3)	NS	1.7 (1.2-2.4)
Genital herpes	5.9 (4.0-8.7)	1.4 (0.6-3.2)	NS	4.4 (3.0-6.4)
Knowledge on STI symptoms in males*	N=1575 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=1658 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=3233**
Urethral discharge	31.4 (26.9-36.3)	25.1 (18.7-33.0)	NS	29.4 (25.1-34.0)
Genital ulcer	34.3 (30.7-38.1)	33.5 (27.8-38.8)	NS	33.9 (30.9-37.3)
Burning sensation during urination	45.7 (36.9-54.8)	28.6 (21.7-36.8)	NS	40.1 (30.2-50.9)
Pain in scrotum	14.1 (11.3-17.4)	9.7 (6.7-13.8)	NS	12.7 (9.7-16.4)
Do not know	41.4 (36.6-45.7)	52.0 (45.4-58.7)	NS	44.8 (39.1-50.5)
Others	7.8 (6.3-9.6)	8.7 (4.5-16.1)	NS	8.1 (6.0-10.7)
Knowledge on STI symptoms in females*	N=1575 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=1658 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=3233**
Genital ulcer	30.2 (26.6-34.1)	25.1 (20.0-31.1)	NS	28.6 (24.9-32.6)
Increase vaginal discharge	21.3 (17.0-26.2)	21.0 (14.4-29.7)	NS	21.2 (17.5-25.4)
Pain during coitus	10.4 (9.0-12.0)	10.9 (6.4-18.1)	NS	10.6 (8.6-12.9)
May not have any symptoms	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	0.3 (0.2-0.7)	NS	0.6 (0.4-0.9)
Others	5.5 (4.3-7.0)	5.6 (3.5-9.0)	NS	5.5 (4.4-7.0)
Do not know	58.6 (54.6-62.5)	66.4 (58.8-73.3)	NS	61.2 (55.9-66.1)
What measures can be taken if worried about STIs	N=1575 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=1658 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=3233**
Consult a health provider/ hospital	97.2 (96.3-97.9)	95.2 (90.2-97.7)	NS	96.5 (94.5-97.8)
Seek advice of husband/wife	7.4 (6.7-8.3)	7.3 (5.9-8.8)	NS	7.4 (6.6-8.2)
Seek advice of parents	7.3 (6.2-8.6)	5.6 (4.0-7.8)	NS	6.8 (5.8-7.9)
Seek advice of peers/friends	17.2 (14.8-19.9)	12.8 (8.5-18.8)	NS	15.7 (13.2-18.7)
Seek advice from key adults	3.8 (2.8-5.1)	1.8 (1.1-3.0)	NS	3.1 (2.0-4.8)
Consult a traditional healer	2.4 (2.0-2.9)	4.5 (2.3-8.5)	NS	3.1 (2.1-4.6)
Consult a pharmacist	10.2 (8.7-11.9)	2.4 (1.0-5.7)	< 0.05	7.6 (4.9-11.8)
Others	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	3.9 (1.8-8.0)	NS	2.5 (1.6-4.0)
Feel the need for medical treatment for STI	96.3 (95.5-96.8) N=1575 <sup>Φ</sup>	94.6 (90.5-97.0) N=1658 <sup>Φ</sup>	NS	95.7 (94.1-96.9) N=3233**
Know where to get STI treatment	N=1575 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=1658 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=3233**
District hospital	92.5 (90.9-93.8)	50.3 (39.3-61.2)	< 0.05	78.6 (61.9- 89.2)
BHUs	2.8 (2.4-3.2)	43.8 (32.4-55.8)	< 0.05	16.3 (7.2-32.9)
ORCs	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	0.6 (0.2-1.7)	NS	0.2 (0.0-0.9)
Others	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	0.0 (0.0-0.2)	NS	0.1 (0.0-0.4)
No / Do not know	4.6 (3.0-6.8)	5.4 (3.4-8.4)	NS	4.8 (3.4-6.7)

<sup>\*</sup> Multiple responses
 <sup>Φ</sup> 1 observation is missing
 <sup>\*\*</sup> 2 observations are missing

More females had heard of gonorrhoea than males in urban areas and in both areas more males had heard of genital herpes. In urban areas, more males knew about STI symptoms in males and more females knew the symptoms in females. However, the proportions of both males and females who did not know the symptoms of STIs in either males or females were substantial.

Indicators		Urban			Rural	
% (95 % CI)						
	Male N=787 unless	Female N=789 unless	Comparison between male	Male N=816 unless	Female N=843 unless	Compariso n between
	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	and female (P- value)	otherwise stated	otherwise stated	male and female
		Ν. 700Φ		N. 017 <sup>Φ</sup>		(P- value)
Could name STIS		N=/88		IN=815		
(responses were unprompted)						
Syphilis	53.4 (47.0-	35.0 (33.3-36.8)	< 0.05	26.6 (19.7-34.8)	25.0 (17.4-	NS
~ ) P2	59.6)				24.0)	
Gonorrhoea	69.1 (60.5-	52.3 (49.1-	< 0.05	39.0 (30.9-47.9)	37.2 (31.4-	NS
	76.5)	55.40			43.4)	
Chancroid	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	3.0 (2.4-3.6)	< 0.05	1.0 (0.3-3.3)	0.9 (0.4-2.1)	NS
Genital herpes	6.8 (5.2-9.0)	5.0 (2.9-8.6)	NS	1.4 (0.6-3.5)	1.4 (0.6-3.5)	NS
Knowledge on STI		N=788 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=815 <sup>Φ</sup>		
symptoms in						
Ilrethral discharge	11 2 (25 8	22.0 (15.0.20.5)	<0.05	287(227242)	217(128	NS
Ofeunai discharge	41.2 (33.8-	22.0 (13.9-29.3)	<0.05	20.7 (23.7-34.3)	34.2)	113
Genital Ulcer	45.7 (39.5-	23.2 (17.8-29.7)	< 0.05	37.9 (32.2-44.0)	28.2 (21.0-	NS
	52.1)		0.00		36.7)	110
Burning sensation	59.9 (41.9-	32.0 (26.4-38.2)	< 0.05	30.8 (23.4-39.4)	26.5 (17.4-	NS
during urination	75.5)	. ,			38.1)	
Pain in scrotum	19.3 (12.5-	9.1 (6.9-11.8)	< 0.05	8.1 (5.6-11.5)	11.3 (6.4-	NS
	28.6)				19.0)	
Do not know	25.3 (15.9-	56.5 (51.2-61.7)	<0.05	45.1 (37.7-52.6)	59.1 (49.7-	NS
Othora	3/.8)	72(5605)	NC	110(59220)	67.9	NC
Villers Knowledge on STI	8.5 (0.0-11.4)	7.5(5.0-9.5) N=788 <sup><math>\Phi</math></sup>	115	$N=815^{\oplus}$	5.0 (5.5-9)	115
symptoms in		11-700		11-015		
females*						
Genital ulcer	17.8 (15.3-	42.4 (37.7-47.4)	< 0.05	12.8 (10.7-15.1)	37.6 (29.7-	< 0.05
	20.5)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· · · · · ·	46.2)	
Increase vaginal	8.2 (7.4-9.0)	34.0 (26.8-42)	< 0.05	7.0 (5.7-8.7)	35.0 (24-47.9)	< 0.05
discharge Pain						
during coitus			0.05	20(1250	10.1.(11.6	
Pain during coitus	6.3 (5.6-7.1)	14.3 (11.4-17.9)	<0.05	2.8 (1.3-5.6)	19.1 (11.6-	<0.05
May not have any	06(0408)	10(0518)	NS	0.6(0.2,1.5)	29.8	NS
symptoms	0.0 (0.4-0.8)	1.0 (0.3-1.8)	115	0.0 (0.2-1.3)	0.1 (0.0-0.0)	115
Others	1.2 (0.2-5.8)	9.7 (7.3-12.6)	< 0.05	4.3 (1.5-11.8)	7.0 (4.6-10.5)	NS
Do not know	75.4 (73.2-	42.2 (37.5-47.0)	< 0.05	82.1 (78.5-85.2)	50.8 (40.8-	< 0.05
	77.5)	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	60.6)	
What measures		N=788 <sup>Φ</sup>		N=815 <sup>Φ</sup>		
can be taken if						
worried about						
STIs*	00.0 (0.4.6		NG	04.2 (04.4.00.0)	06.0 (04.1	NG
Consult a health	98.2 (94.6-	96.2 (95.8-96.5)	NS	94.3 (84.4-98.0)	96.2 (94.1-	NS
Seek advice of	<u> </u>	$99(77_127)$	<0.05	81(55-110)	62(37-102)	NS
		$\int \int $	-0.05	0.1(0.0-11.))	0.2(0.7-10.2)	140

# Table 45: Knowledge regarding names of different STIs, symptoms of STIs and available treatment facilities among males and females

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=789 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=816 unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)	
husband/wife							
Seek advice of parents	5.3 (4.0-7.1)	9.3 (8.3-10.5)	< 0.05	5.0 (2.4-10.1)	6.3 (4.3-9.1)	NS	
Seek advice of peers/friends	24.4 (21.3- 27.8)	10.2 (8.6-12.0)	<0.05	17.1 (11.0-25.6)	8.4 (4.9-14.2)	NS	
Seek advice from key adults	3.8 (3.3-4.3)	3.8 (2.2-6.5)	NS	2.7 (1.5-4.8)	0.9 (0.4-2.3)	NS	
Consult a traditional healer	0.2 (0.0-2.8)	4.5 (3.7-5.5)	< 0.05	0.2 (0.0-0.6)	8.8 (4.7-15.7)	<0.05	
Consult a pharmacist	10.2 (7.1-14.5)	10.2 (7.0-14.8)	NS	2.0 (0.8-4.8)	2.8 (1.1-6.9)	NS	
Others	2.2 (1.6-3.1)	1.5 (1.5-1.6)	NS	3.3 (0.9-12.8)	4.2 (2.2-7.9)	< 0.05	
Do not know	0.2 (0.0-2.3)	2.7 (2.1-3.5)	< 0.05	2.5 (1.2-5.2)	1.9 (0.9-4.2)	NS	
Nothing	0.2 (0.0-2.8)	0.1 (0.0-1.4)	NS	0.1 (0.0-0.1)	0.1 (0.0-0.8)	NS	
Feel the need for medical treatment for STI	96.9 (94.3- 98.3)	95.6 (95.1-96.1) N=788 <sup>Φ</sup>	NS	92.7 (84.5-96.8) N=815 <sup>Φ</sup>	96.5 (93.7- 98.1)	NS	
Know where to get treatment							
District hospital	94.8 (93.7- 95.8)	90.2 (87.9-92.1)	< 0.05	49.4 (37.7-61.2)	51.2 (37.6- 64.6)	NS	
BHUs	3.1 (2.4-4.0)	2.5 (2.3-2.6)	NS	46.2 (33.3-59.6)	41.4 (28.0- 56.1)	NS	
ORCs	0	0.0 (0.0-0.4)	-	1.1 (0.4-2.9)	0	-	
Others	0.4 (0.2-0.8)	0	-	0	0.1 (0.0-0.5)	-	
No/ Do not know	1.7 (0.5-5.4)	7.3 (5.6-9.5)	< 0.05	3.3 (1.3-7.9)	7.4 (4.6-11.7)	NS	

\* Multiple responses <sup>Φ</sup> 1 observation is missing

#### 3.8.2. Reported symptoms of STI among male and female respondents and treatment sought (Table 46)

Very few (whether male or female) complained of any STI symptoms in the last one year. The most commonly reported complaint in males was burning sensation during urination and in females it was increased vaginal discharge.

Most of the respondents, who complained of an STI, consulted a doctor or went to a hospital. However, a substantial proportion in both urban and rural areas did nothing.

### Table 46: Reported symptoms of STI among male and female respondents and source of treatment

Indicators	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
% (95 % CI)	N=15/0	N=1059 uplace athorwise	between urban and	N=3235 unless
	stated	stated	rurai (n- value)	otherwise stated
Reported STI symptoms in	N=787	$N=815^{\Phi}$	(p- value)	N=1602 <sup>Φ</sup>
males in the last 1 year*	14 /0/	10 015		1002
(among those who are male)				
Urethral discharge	5.4 (3.5-8.2)	4.6 (2.5-8.2)	NS	5.1 (3.5-7.4)
Genital ulcer	6.7 (4.6-9.8)	4.6 (3.3-6.4)	NS	6.0 (4.1-8.8)
Burning sensation during	9.6 (8.1-11.5)	8.4 (4.2-16.0)	NS	9.2 (7.1-11.8)
urination				
Pain in scrotum	3.8 (2.5-5.6)	1.9 (1.0-3.8)	NS	3.1 (1.9-5.2)
Do not know	41.1 (36.8-45.7)	52.1 (45.4-58.7)	NS	44.7 (39.1-50.5)
Others	7.8 (6.3-9.6)	8.7 (4.5-16.1)	NS	8.1 (6.1-10.7)
Where treatment was sought	N=76	N=70		N=146
for the last STI symptom in				
last one year (among those				
who are male and had at least				
one STI symptom in last one				
year)*				
Consulted Doctor/Hospital	79.4 (74.3-83.7)	64.0 (47.4-77.7)	NS	75.1 (65.6-82.7)
Went to BHU	3.3 (2.8-3.9)	16.1 (9.0-27.1)	< 0.05	6.9 (3.1-14.6)
Went to local /traditional healer	3.2 (2.9-3.6)	7.4 (2.9-16.7)	NS	4.4 (2.1-8.7)
Went to village health worker	0	0.8 (0.1-7.5)	-	0.2 (0.0-2.4)
Shared with a friend	7.8 (4.3-13.8)	2.3 (0.5-10.1)	NS	6.3 (3.7-10.4)
Did nothing	7.9 (4.4-13.7)	17.1 (9.4-29.0)	NS	10.5 (5.7-18.5)
Others	6.1 (5.3-7.1)	7.6 (3.0-17.8)	NS	6.5 (4.6-9.2)
<b>Reported STI symptoms in</b>	N=788 <sup>Φ</sup>	N=843		N=1631
females in the last 1 year*				
(among those who are female)			210	
Genital ulcer	3.5 (3.0-4.1)	5.6 (3.5-9.0)	NS	4.2 (3.3-5.3)
Increase vaginal discharge	7.6 (7.0-8.3)	7.5 (4.9-11.2)	NS	7.6 (6.5-8.7)
Pain during coitus	3.5 (2.5-4.9)	3.7 (2.2-6.1)	NS	3.6 (2.7-4.7)
May not have any symptoms	0.2 (0.5-1.2)	0.3 (0.2-0.7)	NS	0.6 (0.4-0.9)
Others	5.5 (4.2-3.5)	5.6 (3.5-9.0)	NS	5.5 (4.4-7.0)
Do not know	58.6 (54.6-62.5)	66.4 (58.8-/3.3)	NS	61.2 (55.9-66.1)
	NL 07	N. 100		NL 204
where treatment was sought	N=95	N=109		N=204
lost one year (among these				
who are female and had at				
least one STI symptom in last				
one veer)*				
Consulted Doctor/Hospital	67 4 (56 4-76 9)	1 2 (0 1-10 5)	<0.05	93 (34-228)
Went to BHU	10(01-105)	23 3 (16 4-32 1)	<0.05	93 (34-22.8)
Went to local /traditional healer	36(16-77)	61(22-162)	NS	4 5 (2 6-7 9)
Went to village health worker	0	1.6 (0 3-8 5)	-	0.6 (09-3 4)
Shared with a friend	9.4 (8.3-10.7)	6.2 (2.6-14 2)	NS	8.3 (6.0-11 3)
Did nothing	13.8 (11.0-17.0)	11.7 (5.4-23.7)	NS	13.0 (9.6-17.5)
Others	4.6 (3.0-7.0)	3.7 (1.1-11.7)	NS	4.3 (2.7-6.8)

\*Multiple responses <sup>Φ</sup> 1 observation is missing

### 3.8.3. Barriers to seeking STI services and ability to overcome those barriers (*Tables 47 and 48*)

Most respondents felt that the health facilities where STI services were available were nearby and that the working hours were convenient for them. The majority did not feel that services were user friendly and lack of confidentiality was a concern more commonly expressed by rural respondents. Nonetheless, most respondents felt that they could talk about STIs with service providers. Most also felt that they could discuss this with their spouses or sex partners.

Indicators	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
% (95 % CI)	N=1575*	N=1658*	between urban	N=3233** unless
	unless otherwise	unless otherwise	and rural	otherwise stated
	stated	stated	(p- value)	
Said that there was a nearby	88.6 (83.3-92.3)	87.0 (81.2-91.2)	NS	88.1 (83.9-91.3)
health facility providing STI				
services				
Working hours of the health	90.2 (89.0-91.4)	92.7 (90.6-94.3)	NS	91.0 (89.8-92.1)
facility were convenient (among	N=1428	N=1483		N=2911
those who said that there was a				
nearby STI service)				
Felt STI services were user	10.4 (5.2-19.6)	21.6 (18.2-25.5)	NS	14.1 (8.0-23.7)
friendly				
Felt confidentiality was	23.3 (21.1-25.7)	31.5 (28.9-34.3)	< 0.05	26.0 (22.4-30.1)
maintained by service providers				
Felt confident to discuss STIs with	90.1 (87.5-92.2)	90.8 (89.3-92.2)	NS	90.3 (88.7-91.7)
health workers				
Felt confident to discuss STIs with	77.9 (75.6-79.9)	83.4 (79.9-86.3)	NS	79.7 (76.8-82.3)
spouse/partner				

#### Table 47: Barriers to seeking STI services and confidence in overcoming barriers

<sup>\*1</sup> observation is missing <sup>\*\*</sup> 2 observations are missing

Fewer males than females in urban areas felt that the working hours of the health facilities were convenient.

Indicators % (95 % CI)		Urban		Rural			
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788* unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815* unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	
Said that there was a nearby health facility providing STI services	92.2 (90.5-93.5)	85.1 (75.3- 91.5)	NS	92.2 (84.8-96.2)	81.8 (73.2- 88.1)	NS	
Working hours of the health facility were convenient (among those who said that there was a nearby STI service)	88.2 (87.1-89.2) N=746	92.4 (91.2- 93.5) N=682	<0.05	90.7 (87.8-92.9) N=780	94.9 (92.0- 96.8) N=703	NS	
Felt STI services were user friendly	9.7 (6.9- 13.6)	11.0 (4.0-26.8)	NS	20.2 (16.1-25.1)	23.1 (17-30.5)	NS	
Felt confidentiality was maintained by service providers	57.6 (46.2-68.2)	53.8 (52.2- 55.3)	NS	49.4 (38.3-61.0)	49.4 (44.5- 54.3)	NS	
Felt confident to discuss STIs with health workers	91.4 (85.7-94.9)	88.9 (88.2- 89.5)	NS	93.7 (90.7-95.8)	87.9 (85.2- 90.3)	<0.05	
Felt confident to discuss STIs with spouse/partner	73.8 (68.9-78.2)	81.8 (72.7- 88.3)	NS	78.0 (71.8-83.2)	88.7 (82.5- 92.9)	NS	

# Table 48: Barriers to seeking STI services and confidence in overcoming barriers among males and females

<sup>\*</sup>1 observation is missing

#### 3.9. Reported illicit drug use and needle sharing behaviour (Tables 49 and 50)

More urban versus rural respondents reported ever using any illicit drug in their lifetime and had a friend who injects drugs. Of those who had used illicit drugs approximately 9% had ever injected drugs and there were only two current injectors who were both in urban areas. Of the two currently injectors, one had been injecting drugs for three years and the other for seven years and one injected once a week while the other once monthly. Of all those who had ever injected drugs, 3% said they had shared their needles at least once.

A substantial proportion of respondents, particularly in the urban areas said they had a friend who injected drugs.

Table 47. Including use and needle sharing benaviour
--

Indicators	Urban	Rural	Comparison	Total
% (95 % CI)	N=1575*	N=1658*	between urban	N=3233** unless
	unless otherwise	unless otherwise	and rural	otherwise stated
	stated	stated	(p- value)	
Ever used illicit drugs	15.7 (10.6-22.7)	2.3 (1.4-3.8)	< 0.05	11.3 (5.5-21.9)
Ever injected drugs (among those	8.9 (4.1-18.4)	5.9 (1.5-20.2)	NS	8.7 (4.3-17.0)
who ever used illicit drugs)	N=151	N=43		N=194
Currently injecting drugs (among	3.9 (0.3-34.0)	0	-	3.8 (0.3-31.9)
those who ever injected drugs)	N=23	N=3		N=26
Duration of injecting drug (among	N=2	N=0	-	N=2
those who are currently injecting				
drugs)				
3 years	21.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	-	21.5 (0.0-100.0)
7 years	78.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	-	78.5 (0.0-100.0)
Frequency of injecting drugs	N=2	N=0		N=2
(among those who are currently				
injecting drugs)				
Once a week	78.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	=	78.5
Once a month	21.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	-	21.5
Shared needles in any occasion	3.1 (0.2-36.5)	0	-	3.0 (0.2-34.7)
(among those who ever injected	N=23	N=3		N=26
drugs)				
Have a friend/close associate who	20.2 (18.4-22.0)	9.6 (7.7-11.9)	< 0.05	16.7 (13.2-20.9)
injects drugs				

\*1 observation is missing \*\* 2 observations are missing

Illicit drug use and injecting drugs was reported more by males than females. In urban areas, more males than females said they had a friend who injects drugs.

Table 50:	<b>Illicit drug use</b>	and needle sharin	g behaviour amo	ng males and females
			0	0

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788* unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815* unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)
Ever used illicit drugs	27.9 (18.3-40.1)	3.9 (2.3-6.3)	< 0.05	4.3 (2.6-7.0)	0.3 (0.1-1.1)	<0.05
Ever injected	9.3 (5.3-15.8) N=136	5.9 (0.3-60.9) N=15	NS	6.3 (1.6-22.2) N=40	0 N=3	-
those who ever used drugs)	11-130	11-13		11-40	11-5	

Indicators % (95 % CI)	Urban			Rural		
	Male N=787 unless otherwise stated	Female N=788* unless otherwise stated	Comparison between male and female (P- value)	Male N=815* unless otherwise stated	Female N=843 unless otherwise stated	Compariso n between male and female (P- value)
Currently injecting drugs (among those who ever injected drugs)	4.3 (0.3-42.0) N=20	0 N=3	-	0 N=3	-	-
Duration of injecting drug (among those who are currently injecting drugs)	N=2	N=0	-	N=0	-	-
3 years	21.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	-	-	-	-
7 years	78.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	-	-	-	-
Frequency of injecting drugs (among those who are currently injecting drugs)	N=2	N=0		N=0	-	
Once a week	78.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	-	-	-	-
Once a month	21.5 (0.0-100.0)	-	-	-	-	-
Shared needles in any occasion (among those who ever/currently injecting drugs)	3.4 (0.2-44.7) N=20	0 N=3	-	0 N=3	-	-
Have a friend/close associate who injects drugs	23.0 (20.9-25.2)	17.4 (16.0- 18.9)	<0.05	9.6 (6.4-14.1)	9.5 (5.5-16.1)	NS

\*One observation is missing

# 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

A summary of the findings are presented in this section to facilitate a better understanding of the data.

#### Demographics and exposure to mass media

Some key demographic features which are likely to be relevant to the spread of HIV/STIs and for targeting HIV/STI prevention efforts are highlighted here:

- Education level in rural areas was lower and access to various sources of information in the print medium, public broadcasting system, and awareness raising campaigns were also less prevalent in rural areas.
- More urban males were unmarried compared to other groups (Fig. 1) and unmarried urban males were more likely to be living alone
- Fewer females were educated especially in rural areas
- Most participants lived with their families and relatives irrespective of geographic area and sex.

Fig. 1. Marital status of male and female respondents in urban and rural areas



These geographical and gender differences need to be considered when designing intervention programs.

#### Sexual behaviours and condoms

Extramarital and premarital sex was not uncommon in both urban and rural areas and reported more by males than females (Fig. 2). Premarital sex was more commonly

reported by unmarried males compared to extramarital sex by married males. It is noteworthy that the proportions of females having extramarital or premarital sex were also high compared to other countries in the SAARC region where this data is available (8, 9).



Fig. 2. Proportions reporting extramarital sex in the last year and premarital sex ever in their lifetime

Among those who had premarital sex, the average ages for males at first sex were 16-17 years, and for females this was 18-19 years; in both sexes it was lower in the rural areas. This finding is similar to that reported in the out-of-school youth survey of Bhutan (7). This is unusual as in most countries females are initiated into sex at an earlier age than males (10). It is not clear why this is the case in Bhutan but it has been suggested that increased education among females has played a role in delaying age at first sex.

The most common partner was girlfriend or boyfriend for either extra or premarital sex. Males also commonly had extra or pre marital sex with casual acquaintances or neighbours particularly in rural areas. However, despite the high levels of reported casual sex, 13-15% of urban males bought sex from sex workers in the last year (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Proportion of males who bought sex from sex workers in the last year

In a newly published report of the AIDS Commission submitted to the UN Secretary General, the risk factors that may accelerate an HIV epidemic in Asia have been analysed (8). According to this report, unprotected casual sex is not a major driver of HIV epidemics in Asia even where such levels are high such as in Japan and increases in the populations having unprotected casual sex do not contribute as much to the HIV epidemic as commercial sex. One of the key determinants of the epidemic is the numbers of clients per sex worker, so that where the proportions of men buying sex are high but the numbers of sex workers are low, the risk of HIV transmission is greater. Furthermore, using the Asian Epidemic Model to predict the course of HIV epidemics (11), it has been shown that if 5% of males of the general population in any country buy sex from sex workers in one year, the HIV epidemic remains at low levels. However, if 10% visit sex workers the epidemic is accelerated and can reach high levels in a few years (8, 12). The data from the present general population survey show that large numbers of men are not only having casual sex but are also buying sex from sex workers and there is other data suggesting that the numbers of sex workers in Bhutan are few and very hidden (13). If, as suggested by the AIDS Commission Report, the client sex worker ratio is indeed a key determinant in the spread of HIV, Bhutan is very vulnerable to an HIV epidemic.

The most common location for either extra or pre marital sex was own or partner's house for both sexes. But in urban areas, hotels were often used by men. In such a situation, working in hotels together with the hotel management to ensure that awareness messages and condoms are easily available should be actively undertaken as a strategy for HIV prevention.

Both males and females who had extra or pre marital sex in the last 6 months, reported multiple partners, and the numbers were higher for males than females especially in urban areas (Fig. 4). A global sex survey conducted in 41 countries revealed that among the countries surveyed, Indians reported the least average number of sex partners in their lives which was three (10). However, in the global survey the question was for lifetime sex partners whereas in our present survey the time frame was the last six months and the question was asked only to those who admitted having extramarital or premarital sex. Therefore, direct comparisons are difficult but given that these high numbers only reflect the numbers in the last six months, the numbers

of lifetime partners are likely to be much higher. In fact, the earlier survey of out-of-school youth did show high partner numbers for male youth (7).



Fig. 4. Average number of sex partners in the last six months amongst those who had extra or pre marital sex

In general knowledge about the uses of condoms was high but more so in men than women. Multiple sources were identified from where they had learnt about condoms - friends, peers, radio, TV, awareness programs, family members, health workers and even school teachers and the school curriculum. Interestingly, awareness programs were mentioned by approximately one third of all respondents but in urban areas this was stated more frequently by men than women. Since awareness programs have reached one third of Bhutan's population, it is worthwhile attempting to strengthen these programs so that all can benefit from them equally. The impact of mass media campaigns on behaviour change has been debated and a review of 24 mass media effectiveness studies published between 1990-2004 from developing countries (14) revealed that the experience was mixed – some studies showed an impact whereas others did not. However, overall significant differences in knowledge level and reduction in high risk sexual behaviours was observed. Therefore it can be worthwhile conducting awareness campaigns that are designed appropriately.

This survey found that condoms were frequently used in extra and premarital sex. The reasons provided for using condoms were multiple – contraception, prevention of HIV and/or STIs. The most common reason for not using condoms was disliking condoms. This is a common reason provided by men globally (15). More in-depth and qualitative studies are required to understand how this barrier can be overcome and approaches used by other countries can then be tailored to meet the needs of urban and rural Bhutanese. Among those respondents who thought of using condoms at the time of sex but did not use, the main reason for not using was not having a condom at hand. This reason was stated despite the evidence that condoms appear to be easily accessible from multiple sources.

However, considerable gender differences were observed in condom use and accessibility:

- Fewer women reported using a condom in last sex than men in both urban and rural areas (Fig. 5).
- Many more women than men said that they had never used condoms during extramarital or premarital sex in last six months (Fig. 5).
- More males felt that they had easy access to condoms than females (Fig. 6) while females reported more barriers to access.



Fig. 5. Condom use in last six months during extra or premarital sex

Fig. 6. Proportions of respondents who felt they had easy access to condoms


Taking all this into consideration and the fact that women had lower levels of knowledge on condom use, women need to be specifically targeted to receive prevention messages as well as condoms to enable them to practice safer sex.

### Knowledge about HIV/STI transmission and prevention

Among the sources of knowledge on HIV/AIDS, those that were mentioned by more than 40% of respondents (males and females in urban and rural areas) are shown below, ranked from the highest:

- Urban males TV, peer group/youth, health workers, hospital/clinic/BHU, books/newspapers/magazines, awareness campaigns.
- Urban females TV, hospital/clinic/BHU, peer group/youth, health workers
- Rural males Radio, hospital/clinic/BHU, health workers
- Rural females Radio, hospital/clinic/BHU, health workers

It is apparent that TV or radio, medical facilities including health workers were common to all. In urban areas, males had more choices than females and the awareness campaigns appear to be more geared for males.

When asked which sources they prefer, the same sources were most commonly mentioned. However, it needs to be borne in mind that many expressed concerns regarding the negative attitudes of service providers.

In general, knowledge on HIV transmission and prevention was better than that for STIs (Fig. 7); a substantial proportion did not know mode of STI transmission (Fig. 8). The proportions who said they did not know modes of transmission and prevention were higher in rural areas and in females. An exception was for STI prevention in rural areas where fewer females than males did not know (Fig. 8). This is indeed a missed opportunity because STIs and HIV are closely related in their mode of transmission and certain STIs can facilitate HIV transmission. The ongoing programs on HIV awareness such as campaigns, leaflets and mass media programmes, are obviously not including messages on STIs. This gap needs to be bridged.

Fig. 7. Knowledge on HIV/STI transmission where 1 = sex with HIV/STI infected person, 2 = sex with multiple partners, 3 = from HIV/STI infected blood, 4 = using non-sterile needles/syringes, 5 = From mother to child during pregnancy or delivery



Fig. 8. Proportions who did not know modes of HIV/STI transmission and prevention



Concurrently to having less knowledge about STI transmission and prevention, the proportions who did not know the symptoms of STIs were substantial. Urban males were the group that was the most knowledgeable about STI symptoms in males (Fig. 9).



Fig. 9. Proportions who did not know the symptoms of STIs

Among all respondents, the vast majority (96.5%) said that they would seek medical advice from health professionals if worried about STIs. Very few complained of STI symptoms in the last year and of those who did, 75.1% went to a hospital or a doctor. Regarding the barriers to seeking STI services, distance to service providers or their working hours were not issues for close to 90% of the respondents. The main barriers were concerns regarding maintenance of confidentiality and that services were not user friendly. Similar concerns were expressed by out-of-school youth in the survey conducted earlier (7). Negative attitudes towards people who may be infected with HIV were reported by many university graduates in the 2005 survey (5). Stigma and discrimination is a major factor globally that impedes service delivery programs for HIV/STI and addressing this is essential.

## Other issues that enhance vulnerability

There are certain behaviours which carry high risk of HIV transmission and some of these are discussed below.

### Male to male sex

An important behavioural determinant in the spread of HIV is male to male sex (8). In this survey, same sex partner was rarely reported. This may be an underreporting as male to male sex is very stigmatised and hidden in most societies (16).

### Illicit drugs

Approximately 11% of the population said they had used any kind of illicit drugs in their lifetime and this was most common in urban males (Fig. 10). Among those who took illicit drugs, a small proportion said they had injected drugs at some point in their lives. However, substantial proportions said that they had a friend who injected drugs. Many countries in Asia are experiencing IDU driven epidemics (17) and the data available so far from Bhutan suggest that the although IDUs exist the numbers are probably small.

Fig. 10. History of illicit drug use and injecting drugs



# Violence

35 unmarried respondents said they had been forced to have sex some time in their lifetime and of these 18 were men and 17 women; the overall proportions being higher for females than males and very often the perpetrators forcing sex were boyfriends or even girlfriends. It is believed that forced sex is likely to be unprotected and possibly associated with trauma, both of which can facilitate HIV /STI transmission. The proportion reported here is not large and whether this is an underreporting is not known. Nonetheless, this is an issue that requires special attention.

# **5. CONCLUSIONS**

Overall, this survey reveals that there are factors that may allow the spread of an HIV epidemic but on the other hand there are many positive measures being undertaken and protective behaviours that are being adopted.

The main concern is the high numbers of men and women engaging in casual sex with multiple sex partners and the large numbers of men buying sex from presumably a small group of sex workers. The encouraging findings are that condom use is relatively high, condoms appear to be readily available and there are many programs through which prevention messages for HIV are being provided. However, women, especially in rural areas, appear to be being left behind in terms of receiving knowledge and services. In addition, fear of disclosure and stigma are major barriers to service provision.

There are some major gaps in information in Bhutan particularly about the most-atrisk populations – sex workers, males having sex with males and injecting drug users, who in many countries in Asia are the main drivers of the epidemic. Data from this general population survey suggests that there are sex workers and that there are people who inject drugs. More in-depth studies are required to be able to reach such populations and make services available to them to prevent an HIV epidemic in Bhutan.

# 6. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Zaidi KM, Awashti S, deSilva H. Burden of infectious diseases in South Asia. BMJ. 2004;328:811-5.

2. Moses S, Blanchard JF, Kang H, Emmanuel F, Paul SR, Becker ML, et al. AIDS in South Asia: understanding a responding to a heterogeneous epidemic. Washington D. C.: The World Bank; 2006.

3. UNAIDS/WHO/UNICEF. Bhutan: epidemiological fact sheet on HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted diseases. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2002.

4. Ministry of Health Bhutan. An update on HIV/AIDS; 2005 December 31, 2005.

5. Ministry of Labor and Human Resource, NACP. Survey report of KABP on HIV/AIDS among university graduates of 2005.

Thimpu, Bhutan: Ministry of Labor and Human Resource, Dept. of Public Health; 2005.

6. Rabten Associates. Assessment of Risk and Vulnerability to STIs and HIV/AIDS in Bhutan; 2004.

7. UNICEF/Bhutan. Exploratory Study on HIV/AIDS Issues among Out-of-School Youth in Bhutan. Thimpu, Bhutan: UNICEF; 2006.

8. Commission on AIDS in Asia. Redefining AIDS in Asia; crafting an effective response. New Delhi; 2008.

9. Govt. of Bangladesh. Baseline HIV/AIDS survey among youth in Bangladesh. Dhaka: National AIDS/STD Program, Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Heath and Family Welfare, Govt. of Bangladesh; 2006.

10. Durex. 2005 global sex survey; 2005.

11. Brown T, Peerapatanapokin W. The Asian Epidemic Model: a process model for exploring HIV policy and programme alternatives in Asia. Sexually Transmitted Infections. 2004;80:19-24.

12. Govt. of Bangladesh. HIV in Bangladesh: is time running out? Dhaka: National AIDS/STD Program, Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of Bangladesh 2003.

13. Rabten Associates. Assessment of risk behaviours and vulnerability to HIV/AIDS and STIs in Bhutan. Thimphu; 2004.

14. Bertrand JT, O'Reilly K, Denison J, Anhang R, Sweat M. Systematic review of the effectiveness of mass communication programs to change HIV/AIDS related behaviors in devdeloping countries. Health Education Research. 2006;21(4):567-97.

15. Khan SI, Hudson-Rodd N, Saggers S, Bhuiyan MI, Bhuiya A. Safer sex or pleasurable sex? Rethinking condom use in the AIDS era. Sex Health. 2004;1(4):217-25.

16. Johnston LJ, Khanam R, Reza M, Khan SI, Banu S, Alam MS, et al. The Effectiveness of Respondent Driven Sampling for Recruiting Males who have Sex with Males in Dhaka, Bangladesh. AIDS Behav. 2007;12:294-304.

17. MAP Report. AIDS in Asia: face the facts. A comprehensive analysis of the AIDS epidemic in Asia. Bangkok: Mapping the AIDS Pandemic Network; 2004 July 2004.

# 7. APPENDIX

# HIV/AIDS General Population Baseline Survey Questionnaire, BHUTAN

Date :	
	Interviewer ID
Time started AM PM	finished AM PM
INFORMED CON	SENT FOR RESPONDENT
Hello. My name is	We are now conducting a OS under the authority of Ministry of Health. We on in this survey. I would like to ask you some /AIDS. This information will help the government to 'HIV/AIDS in Bhutan. The survey usually takes ever information you provide will be kept strictly ersons.
or all of the questions. However, we hope that are important.	at you will participate in this survey since your views
At this time, do you want to ask me anything May I begin the interview now?	about the survey?
Signature of interviewer:	Date:
RESPONDENT AGREES TO BE INTERVIEWED Yes <u>No</u>	

# INFORMED CONSENT OF PARENT/GUARDIAN FOR INTERVIEWING SUBJETCS 15 TO 17 YEARS OF AGE

Hello. My name is \_\_\_\_\_\_. We are now conducting a national survey about prevention of HIV/AIDS under the authority of Ministry of Health. Adolescents and youth will be interviewed from all over the country to have an idea about sexual health issues and HIV/AIDS. Your son/daughter was selected to be interviewed. We would very much appreciate your consent for his/her participation in this survey. This information will help the government to plan prevention services to stop the spread of HIV/AIDS in Bhutan. The survey usually takes between 30 to 40 minutes to complete. Whatever information your daughter/son provides will be kept strictly confidential and will not be shown to other persons.

At this time, do you want to ask me anything about the survey? May I now ask your son/daughter for permission to be interviewed?

Signature of interviewer:				Date:	
PARENT/GUARDIAN AGREES to son/daughter being interviewed	Yes	No			

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION: I would like to begin by asking a few questions about yourself and your family. If you do not understand my question, please tell me and I can further explain.		
1. DZONGKHAG:		
2. SITE:		
$\frac{1}{2}  \text{CENDER 1 - Equals 2 - Mala}$		
3.  OENDER  1 - Permate  2 - Mate		
4. AGE (in years)		
4. a. If 15-24 years, site of interview $1 = \text{school } 2 = \text{at home}$		
5. MARITAL STATUS: 1: married 2. formerly married 3. never married		
<ul> <li>3. GENDER 1 = Female 2 = Male</li> <li>4. AGE (in years)</li> <li>4. a. If 15-24 years, site of interview 1 = school 2 = at home</li> <li>5. MARITAL STATUS: 1: married 2. formerly married 3. never married</li> </ul>		

	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
101.	What is your religion?	Buddhism1Hinduism2Christian	
102.	What ethic group do you belong to?	Ngalop1Scharchop (Tshangla)2Kurtep3Bumthap4Lhotsampa5Khengpa6Tibetan7Other8	
103.	What is the highest class you completed? Enter only completed Class.	Never attended school 00 Class Don't Know99	Go to 104
103a.	If no schooling, other education?	Non-formal education1     Monastic Institution2     Other3     (Specify)     None4	
104.	Can you read in any language?	Yes1 No2	
105.	How often do you read newspaper or magazine: every day, at least once a week, or less than once a week?	Every day1 At least once or more in a week2 Less than once a week3 Do not read newspaper/magazine.4	
106.	How often do you listen to the radio: every day, at least once a week, less than once a week?	Every day1      At least once or more in a week2      Less than once a week	
107.	How often do you watch television: every day, at least once a week, less than once a week?	Every day       1         At least once or more in a week2       2         Less than once a week	

# SECTION I: SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

108.	What is your occupation, that is, what kind of	Student01
	work do you <b>mainly</b> do?	Housewife
		Civil Service(Officer)03
		Civil Service(Clerical)04
		Business05
		Trading (Small business)06
		Professional
		(Doctor/Teacher/Engineer)07
		Farmer
		Artisan09
		Skilled Laborers10

		Domestic Help 11
		Domestic Help11
		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Day labol} \\ \text{Deg} / \text{Transle driver} \\ \end{array} $
		Bus/Truck driver
		Driver
		Transport helper
		Restaurant worker16
		Lay monk 17
		Unemployed18
		Others
		(Specify)
109.	Do you work full time, part time or	Full time1
	occasionally?	Part time2
		Occasionally3
110.	How much does your family earn in an average	1 = Nu 0 - 499
	month?	2 = Nu 500 - 999
		3 = Nu 1000 –1999
		4 = Nu 2000 - 4999
		5 = Nu 5000 - 9999
		6 = Nu 10000 +
		7 = no answer
		8 = don't know
111.	Who do you usually live with?	Own Family 1
		Parents 2
		Relatives3
		Friends4
		Hostel5
		Alone6
		Others 7
		(specify)

# SECTION II: KNOWLEDGE ABOUT CONDOMS

Now I would like to ask you questions about condoms, some of which may be sensitive for you. However, your answers will be extremely helpful to us. Your cooperation will be highly appreciated.

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
201.	Do you know what a condom is?	Yes1	Go to
		No0 →	section 3
202	From where/whom have you learned about	Friend/PeersA	
	condoms?	RadioB	
		TVC	
		School Teacher/curriculumD	
	Probe and circle positive responses	Health WorkersE	
		Husband/wifeF	
		RelativesG	
		Awareness	
		ProgramsH	
		ShopkeepersI	
		FamilyJ	
		OthersX	

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
		(Specify)	
203.	Why is a condom used?	For contraception/Prevent	
		PregnancyA	
		Prevent HIV/AIDSB	
	Probe and circle positive responses	Prevent STIC	
		OtherX	
		(Specify)	
		Don't knowY	
204.	From where can you get condoms?	HospitalA	
		ORC/BHUB	
		PharmacyC	
		Shop D	
	Probe and circle positive responses	Village Health WorkerE	
		Condom/Daechong	
		BoxF	
		OtherX	
		(Specify)	
		Don't knowY	
205.	How many times can a condom be used for	Once1	
	sex?	More than once2	
		Don't know7	
206.	If you were to want a condom, do you have	No	
	easy access to them?	Yes	
		Don't know 3	

# SECTION III: SEXUAL PRACTICES

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
	Now I would ask you some questions about very personal for you; I will never tell any [If married ask questions 301 to 305] [If not married go to questions 306 to 315]	it your sexual practices. These are body what you tell me.	
301.	In the past year have you had sex with someone other than your spouse/partner?	Yes1 No0	→ 304
301a.	With whom? (Prompt for all possibilities – read out the options)	Girlfriend/BoyfriendA Commercial sex workerB Casual acquaintance or neighborC RelativeD (Specify) StrangerE OtherF	
301b.	Where did you have sex? (Prompt for all possibilities – read out the options)	Own house/partner's houseASomeone else' houseBHotelCWorkplaceDShopEOtherF	

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
		(Specify)	
301c.	Did you pay/receive any cash/kind for sex?	Paid CashA Paid KindB Received CashC Received KindD NoE	
302.	In the past 6 months with how many people (other than spouse/partner) have you had sex?	Number of persons 0	
302a.	Did you use condoms when you had extramarital sex?	Never	<ul> <li>302c only</li> <li>302b</li> <li>and d</li> <li>then go to 312</li> </ul>
302b.	For what reasons did you or your partner use a condom? (Probe for all possibilities – DO NOT read out the options)	For contraception/Prevent pregnancyA Prevent HIV/AIDSB Prevent STIC OtherD (Specify)	Go to 302d
302c.	What was the main reason for not using condom?	I do not like	
302d.	The last time you had sex (other than with your spouse) did you use a condom?	Yes1 No2	
303.	Has anybody ever taught you how to use a condom?	Yes	
303a.	Do you feel that somebody should?	Yes	
304.	Was there ever a time when you thought of using a condom but ended up not using it?	Yes	→ 305
304a.	Why did this happen?	Partner did not like 1 Was not available at that time 2 Other	
305	In the past year have you had intercourse (slept with someone) with someone from the same sex	Yes1 No2	Go to section IV

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
306.	Have you ever had sex with any one?	Yes 1	Go to
		No0→	section
			IV
307.	At what age did you first have sex?	Years	
308.	Did you pay/receive any cash/kind for sex?	Paid CashA	
		Paid KindB	
		Received CashC	
		Received KindD	
		No E	
309.	With whom did you have sex in the past 12	Girlfriend/Boyfriend A	
	months?	Commercial sex workerB	
		Casual acquaintance/	
	(read out the options)	NeighborC	
		RelativeD	
		(Specify)	
		StrangerE	
		Other F	
		(Specify)	Go to
		NoneX —	► 311c
309a.	Where did you have sex?	Own house/partner's houseA	
		Someone else' houseB	
		HotelC	
	(read out the options)	WorkplaceD	
		ShopE	
		Other F	
		(Specify)	
310.	In the last 6 months with how many people		
	have you had sex?	Number	
		If none –	▶ 312
311.	Did you or your partner use a condom	Never	► 311b
			only
		Sometimes2	
		Always	► 311a
			and c
			then go
			to 312
3112	For what reasons did you/your partner use a	For contraception/ to prevent	10 514
J 11a.	condom?	pregnancy A	
		Prevent HIV/AIDSB	
	(Probe)	Prevent STIC	
		OtherD	
		(Specify)	
		Don't knowE	
311b.	What was the main reason for not using a	L did not like A	
_ •	condom?	Partner does not like B	
		Bad experience with condom C	

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
		Was not available at that time D	
		Using other family planning	
		methodsF	
		Other G	
		(Specify)	
		No reasonH	
311c.	The last time you had sex did you use a		
	condom?	Yes1	
		No2	
312.	Has anyone instructed you about how to use a	Yes 1	
	condom?	No0	
312a.	Do you feel that somebody should instruct you	Ves 1	
	about how to use a condom?	No 0	
313.	Was there ever a time when you thought of	Yes 1	
	using a condom but ended up not using it?	No0 -	▶ 314
313a.	Why did this happen?	Partner did not like 1	
		Was not available at that time 2	
		Other7	
		(Specify)	
314.	Have you ever had forced sex against your	Yes1	
	will?	No2 –	→ 315
314a.	By whom?	Girlfriend/Boyfriend A	
		Casual acquaintance/	
	Prompt (read out all options)	neighborB	
		RelativeC	
		(Specify)	
		StrangerD	
		Other F	
		(Specify)	
315	In the past year have you had intercourse (slept	Yes1	Go to
	with someone) with someone from the same	No2	section
	sex		IV
			T A

# SECTION IV: KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDES TOWARDS HIV/AIDS AND OTHER STIs

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
401.	Have you heard about HIV/AIDS?	Yes1 No0 —	→ 404
402.	How does a person become infected with HIV?	Sex with HIV/AIDS infected personA Receiving HIV/AIDS infected bloodB	
	(Probe) Anything else?	Using non-sterile needles/syringesC Through pregnancy/delivery by a HIV/AIDS infected motherD Through breast feeding by a HIV/AIDS infected motherE By not using a condom during sexF By having sex with sex workerG Sex with multiple partnerH OthersI	
		(Specify)	
403.	Tell me all the ways that a person can protect himself/herself from becoming infected with AIDS? (Probe) Anything else?	Limit sex within marriage.       A         Use condom during sex.       B         Avoid HIV/AIDS infected/unscreened       B         blood transfusion.       C         Use sterile syringes/needles.       D         HIV/AIDS infected women should       D         consult doctors before getting pregnant       E         Have faithful partner.       F         Avoid sex with HIV/AIDS       infected person.         infected person.       G         Avoid sex with sex worker.       H         Avoid sex with multiple partner.       J         Others       J         (Specify)       99	
404.a	Have you ever heard of voluntary counseling and testing for HIV/AIDS?	Yes1 No2	
404 b.	Have you ever been tested for HIV/AIDS?	Yes1 No2	

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
405.	I would request you to answer the following questions: please tell me whether the statement is true or false. I will begin with a few practice questions.		
	I live in Bhutan	True         1           False         0           Don't know         7	
	Bhutan has no mountains	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	a. Coughing and sneezing spread HIV/AIDS	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
	b. A person can get HIV/AIDS by sharing food/water with someone who has HIVAIDS	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	c. All pregnant women infected with HIV will have babies born with AIDS	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	d. People are likely to get HIV/AIDS quickly and show serious signs of being infected	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	e. There is a vaccine that can prevent adults from getting HIV/AIDS	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	f. A person will not get HIV/AIDS if she or he is taking antibiotics	True	
	g. A person can get HIV/AIDS by taking bath in the same pool/tub with a person who has HIV/AIDS	True0False1Don't know7	
	h. HIV/AIDS is a curable disease	True         .0           False         .1           Don't know         .7	
	i. A healthy looking person may be HIV/AIDS positive	True         1           False         0           Don't know         7	
	j. Injection drug use can be a source of HIV/AIDS	True         1           False         0           Don't know         7	
	k. Unscreened blood can increase the risk of HIV/AIDS transmission	True         1           False         0           Don't know         7	
	<ol> <li>Risk of HIV/AIDS transmission can be reduced by using condoms</li> </ol>	I True         1           False         0           Don't know         7	
	m. Transmission of HIV/AIDS can be reduced by limiting sex with one faithful partner	True         1           False         0           Don't know         7	
	n. Using oil or a lubricant lowers the chance of getting HIV/AIDS	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	o. A woman cannot get HIV/AIDS if she has sex during her period	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	p. Taking a test for HIV/AIDS within one week after having sex will tell a person if she or he has HIV/AIDS	True         0           False         1           Don't know         7	
	<ul> <li>q. Having sex with more than one partner can increase a person's chance of being infected with HIV/AIDS</li> </ul>	True         1           False         0           Don't know         7	
	r. Showering, or washing one's genitals/	True0	

s.

getting HIV/AIDS

private parts after sex keeps a person from

kissing, putting their tongue in their partner's

People are likely to get HIV/AIDS by

mouth, if their partner has HIV/AIDS

False.....1

Don't know ......7

True......0

False.....1

Don't know ......7

	t. A woman can get HIV/AIDS if she has anal sex with a man having HIV/AIDS	True
	u. A person can get HIV/AIDS from oral sex	True
406.	From whom/where you have learnt about HIV/AIDS?	Peer group/YouthA RadioB TVC
	Probe, anything else?	BookletD School Teacher/curriculumE Book/newspaper/magazine/leafletsF PosterG Bill Boord/Sign Boord
		Health Workers I Hospital/Clinic/BHU III J Father K
		Husband/wifeM RelativesN NeighborO Community events (tshechus etc.) P
		Awareness CampaignsQ OthersX (Specify)
406a	For you, what sources of information about HIV/AIDS have been helpful?	Peer group/YouthA RadioB
406b	Probe, anything else? For you, from the sources you mentioned, what has	TV       C         Booklet       D         School Teacher/curriculum       E         Health Workers       F         Hospital/Clinic/BHU       G         Father       H         Mother       I         Husband/wife       J         Community events       (tshechus etc.)         (tshechus etc.)       K         Awareness       Campaigns         ChersX       (Specify)         Peer group/Youth       1
	been the most helpful source of information about HIV/AIDS?	Radio2TV3Booklet4School Teacher/curriculum5Health Workers6Hospital/Clinic/BHU7Father8Mother9Husband/wife10Community events(tshechus etc.) 11Awareness Campaigns12

			Others	(Specify).		13	
406c	For you, what would be the preferred source of information about HIV/AIDS in the future?		(Specify)         Peer group/YouthA         RadioB         TVC         BookletD         School Teacher/curriculumE         Health WorkersF         Hospital/Clinic/BHU         G         Community events (tshechus etc.) H         Awareness CampaignsI         Others         (Specify)				
407.	Have you heard about sexually transminfections, known as STIs (other than <b>HIV/AIDS</b> )?	nitted n	Yes No			. 1 . 0	
408.	Can you tell me the name of any STIs? (you can explain STI at this point)	STI	S	Unprompte d	Prompted	No	
		a. Syphilis	5	1	2	0	
	IF RESPONDENT CAN NOT TELL	b. Gonorr	hea	1	2	0	
	PLEASE READ OUT	c. Chancro	oid	1	2	0	
		d. Genital	herpes	1	2	0	-
409.	How does a person become infected with an STI? (PROBE) Anything else?		Unprotected sex with STI infected personA Receiving STI infected bloodB Through pregnancy/delivery by a STI infected motherC For not using a condom during sexD By having sex with sex workerE Sex with multiple partnerF OthersX (Specify) Don't KnowY				
410.	<ul><li>Tell me all the ways that a person can protect themselves from becoming infected with STI?</li><li>(PROBE)</li><li>Anything else?</li></ul>		Limit s Use co Have fa Use ne Avoid unscr Avoid Avoid Others	ex within marn ndom during s aithful partner w/sterile syrin HIV/AIDS inf reened blood t sex with sex w sex with multi (Specify) know	riage ex ges/needles . ected/ ransfusion orker ple partner	.A .B .C .D .E .F .G .X	

411		TT (1 1 1 1				1
411.	what are the symptoms of STIs in males?	Urethral discharg	e		A	
		Ulcer in genital r	egion		В	
	(PROBE)	Burning sensation	n during			
		urination			C	
	Anything else?	Pain in scrotum			D	
		Other			X	
		(Specify	y)			
		Don't know			Y	
412.	What are the symptoms of STIs in females?	Genital ulcer			A	
		Increase vaginal	discharg	e	B	
	(PROBE)	Pain during coitu	s		C	
		May not have any	y sympto	oms	D	
	Anything else?	Other			X	
		(Specify)	)			
		Don't know			Y	
413.	Did you have any of the following symptoms in the	Symptoms	Yes	No	NA	
	past year?	ONLY FOR MA	LE			
		A Urethral	1	0		-
		discharge	1	Ŭ		
	Read out the options	B Ulcer in	1	0		
	······································	genital region	1	Ŭ		
		C Burning	1	0		
		c Durning	1	Ŭ		
		urination				
		D Doin in	1	0		
		D Falli III	1	0		
		UNLY FOR FE		0		
		E. Genital ulcer	1	0		
		F. Increase	1	0		
		vaginal				
		discharge			-	-
		G. Pain during	1	0	8	
		coitus (sex)				<b>N</b>
			If no	sympto	oms —	<b>4</b> 14
413a	IF YES. What did you do for most recent symptom?	Consulted Doctor	rs/Hosni	tal	Δ	
IIJu.	in TES, what are you do for most recent symptom:	Went to BHU	15/1105p1	uu1	B	
		Went to local/tra	ditional l	healer	Б С	
		Went to village h	ealth wo	rker	C D	
		Shared with frien	d	/I KOI	Ъ Е	
		Shurea with men	<b>G</b>		L	
		Other			F	
		(Speci	fv)		1	
		Nothing	<i></i>		G	
414.	If a person has an STI, is there any place known to	No/Don't know			. 1	
	vou where one can get help? IF YES. from	District hospita	1		2	
	where/whom?	BHUs			3	
		ORCs			4	
		Other			5	
		(Specify)				
1		I (~ F J /				1

415.	Do you think that all STIs require medical treatment?	Yes
416.	If you were worried you had an STI, what would	Consult a health provider/hospital A
	you do?	Seek advice of husband/wifeB
		Seek advice of parentsC
		Seek advice of peers/friendsD
	(PROBE)	Seek advice from key adults E
		Consult a traditional healerF
		Consult a pharmacistG
		OtherH
		(Specify)
		Don't know I
		NothingJ

# SECTION V: ATTITUDES, BARRIERS, SELF EFFICACY, SOCIAL NORMS, PERCEIVED RISK, REGARDING CONDOM USE, STI SERVICES AND OVERCOMING BARRIERS

NO.	QUESTIONS AND FILTERS	CODING CATEGORIES	SKIP
	<b>A. BARRIERS TO ACCESSING STI SERV</b> Now I would like to read you the following state yes, no or don't know.	<b>ICES AND CONDOMS</b> ments. Please tell me whether it is	
501.	a. Do you know a health facility close to you where one can get STI services?	Yes	Go to ► c
	b. Are the working hours of this health facility convenient to you?	Yes	
	c If you ask for STI services do you think that the providers will refuse ?	Yes	
	d. Do providers keep information private?	Yes	
	e. Is there any place close by where you can get condoms?	Yes	
	f. Would you feel shy when getting condoms from these places?	Yes	
	g. Do people think badly if an unmarried person takes condoms?	Yes	

502	G BARRIERS				
	How confident are you that you would be able to (if sexually active):				
	a. to discuss STIs with health workers?	Yes, comfortable1 Not, comfortable0 Don't know 7			
	b. to discuss STIs with your spouse/partner?	Yes, comfortable1 Not, comfortable0 Don't know7 NA8			
	c. [for females only] ask your (regular/casual) spouse/partner to use condom?	Yes1 No0 Unsure7 NA7			
	d. [for females only] convince/negotiate your spouse/partner to use condoms?	Yes, I can			
	e. to buy a condom in a nearby shop even if the owner knows your family?	Yes, I can			
	C. SELF-EFFICACY FOR CONTROLLING INTERCOURSE:	G PARTICIPATION IN SEXUAL			
503	How confident are you that you would be able	to:			
	a. To be able to say "no" when you do not want to have sex	Yes confident1 Not confident0 Unsure7			
	b. Ask for STI services at a nearby health center	Yes confident1 Not confident0 Unsure7			
504	D. PERCEIVED RISK				
	a. How likely is it that you could get a STI such as Syphilis or Gonorrhoea?	Yes, likely1 Unlikely0 Don't know7			
	b. Is it possible you could become infected with AIDS sometime in the future?	Yes1 No2 Don't know3			
	c. How likely do you think it is that you could get HIV/AIDS? (chance)	Yes, likely			

SECTION 6: This last section deals with the use of drugs. Again, let me emphasize any answers you give me will be strictly confidential and cannot be tied to you personally.

	E. DRUG USE		
601	Do you have a friend, close associate, who is an injection drug user?	Yes 1 No0	
602	Have you ever used drugs?	Yes 1 No 0-	→ end
603	Does that include any injection use?	Yes 1 No 0-	→ End
604	Are you injecting drug currently?	Yes 1 No0-	Go to ► 607
605	For how long have you been injecting drug?	Years	
606	What is the frequency of use?	Once a day1Once a week2Once a month3Less than monthly4	
607	Did you share needles in any occasion?	Yes 1 No 0	

### END OF INTERVIEW Thank You